

Archived: Friday, March 28, 2025 3:57:54 PM

From: [Anonymous](#)

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 3:31:55 AM

To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)

Subject: We've got to cut the state land commission's funding if cont...

Response requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Archived: Friday, March 28, 2025 3:57:54 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

You need to cut the state land commission's funding they have [REDACTED] poor attitudes a location that should never be working for the public they need to be fired unless you want 70,000 plus phone calls and emails to your personal email address which is available online through a third party company

From: [Marc Davis](#)
To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)
Subject: People employees behavior
Date: Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:26:01 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

I found it disheartening to see how our public employees interacted with Bay Area Transparency . The young lady acting as the receptionist and the one gentleman that did call a member of the public a " [REDACTED] " excuse the language please . The said gentleman also lied about said statement and tried laugh the whole thing off . The whole interaction was shameful and the gentleman should not work for the public .Thank You

Note: Links provided in this email not verified by Commission staff. Open at your own risk.

Archived: Sunday, March 30, 2025 10:17:12 AM

From: [Tracy Eaves](#)

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2025 5:45:53 PM

To: [Public, ExecutiveOfficer@SLC](#); [Public, AssistantExecutiveOfficer@SLC](#); [Public, ExternalAffairsChief@SLC](#); [Public, AssistantChiefCounsel@SLC](#); [Public, MEPDAssistantChief@SLC](#); [Public, ASDAssistantChief@SLC](#); [Public, ASDChief@SLC](#); [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#); [PRARquest, CSLC@SLC](#); [Public, ChiefCounsel@SLC](#); [FederalJurisdiction@SLC](#); [agreen@pd.cityofsacramento.org](#); [bnorton@pd.cityofsacramento.org](#); [bmeyer@pd.cityofsacramento.org](#)

Subject: Public employees show contempt for the citizens. Shameful behavior.

Sensitivity: Normal

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

Hello Sacramento Officials,

- * I want to commend Sacramento Police for professionalism, not escalating, understanding basic laws and for not trying to convert citizens rights into crimes. Thank you!
- * The Land Commission employees should be cited for misuse of 911 if it was used to call police.

The gentleman who called the citizen a _____ Head should be fired for behavior unbecoming of a public employee. If he has a family then demote him or re-assign to a position where he does not interact with the public. He laughed at the citizen asking for information. Exercising the Constitutional right to film cannot be converted into a crime or a reason to name call and deny services.

It is disturbing when public employees working in public service organizations fail to understand or respect citizens' constitutional rights and their own responsibilities. This behavior is problematic for several reasons:

Violation of Constitutional Rights

Public employees who do not understand or respect the right to film in public spaces are infringing on citizens' First Amendment rights. The First Amendment protects the right to film government officials, including law enforcement officers, in the discharge of their duties in public spaces. [1](#), [2](#). This right is vital for transparency and accountability in government operations. After all the public literally pays for everything down to the paper clips to the salaries of all employees.

Erosion of Public Trust

When public employees call citizens names for exercising their constitutional rights or give them a hard time for making legitimate requests, it erodes public trust in government institutions. This behavior can lead to a breakdown in the relationship between citizens and their government, potentially undermining the democratic process.

Inefficiency and Obstruction of Public Services

Public employees who make excuses for not providing services, such as accepting FOIA requests, are failing to fulfill their duties and obstructing the public's right to access information. The Freedom of Information Act is designed to ensure government

transparency, and failure to comply with FOIA requests can result in serious consequences, including litigation, fines, and sanctions. [5](#).

Lack of Accountability

When public employees try to pass off their work onto the public or do not respond to requests because they "don't feel like it," they are shirking their responsibilities and failing to be accountable for their roles. This behavior can lead to inefficient government operations and a lack of proper service to the public.

Misuse of Authority

Public employees who misunderstand their role and authority may attempt to restrict citizens' rights or intimidate them into compliance with unlawful demands. This misuse of authority can lead to violations of civil liberties and undermine the principles of democratic governance.

DOGE Implications for Government Efficiency

The failure of public employees to understand and fulfill their duties can lead to increased litigation, wasted resources, and overall inefficiency in government operations. For example, agencies that fail to comply with FOIA requests may face lawsuits, which can be costly and time-consuming. [6](#).

In conclusion, it is crucial for public employees to understand and respect citizens' rights, fulfill their duties efficiently, and maintain a professional demeanor when interacting with the public. Failure to do so not only violates constitutional rights but also undermines the very foundations of public service and democratic governance.

Thank you

Tracy Eaves

Citations:

1. <https://dlglearningcenter.com/first-amendment-audits-and-the-civilian-right-to-film-law-enforcement-part-1/>
2. <https://www.freedomforum.org/first-amendment-audits/>
3. <https://bwlawonline.com/blog/employee-rights/retaliation-public-employees/>
4. <https://houstonlawreview.org/article/73668-the-free-speech-of-public-employees-at-a-time-of-political-polarization-clarifying-the-pickering-balancing-test>
5. <https://www.civicplus.com/blog/rr/what-can-happen-if-your-agency-does-not-comply-with-a-foia-request-properly/>
6. <https://www.poynter.org/reporting-editing/2025/public-records-requests-trump-administration-federal-government-foia/>
7. https://www.reddit.com/r/NoStupidQuestions/comments/1gy8uy6/why_do_so_many_americans_seem_to_hate_government/
8. https://www.reddit.com/r/fednews/comments/1j18jw3/some_government_employees_are_instructed_again_to/
9. <https://canons.sog.unc.edu/2022/11/responding-to-first-amendment-audits-is-filming-protected-by-the-first-amendment/>
10. <https://www.rcfp.org/reporters-recording-sections/right-to-record/>
11. <https://www.cirsa.org/blog/handling-interactions-with-angry-citizens/>
12. <https://www.nhpr.org/2025-02-23/what-did-you-do-last-week-email-stokes-confusion-and-anger-among-federal-workers>
13. https://repository.uclawsf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2530&context=hastings_law_journal
14. <https://www.aclupa.org/en/know-your-rights/know-your-rights-when-taking-photos-and-making-video-and-audio->

recordings

15. <https://www.lgit.org/DocumentCenter/View/3233/RMB-June2022-Audit-Best-Practices?bidId=>
 16. <https://www.freedomforum.org/recording-in-public/>
 17. <https://johndrogerslaw.com/the-first-amendment-and-photography-in-public-spaces-navigating-rights-and-responsibilities/>
 18. <https://www.masc.sc/uptown/01-2020/keeping-calm-first-amendment-audits>
 19. <https://www.ce9.uscourts.gov/jury-instructions/node/147>
 20. <https://www.hklaw.com/en/insights/publications/2006/07/supreme-court-limits-first-amendment-rights-of-pub>
 21. <https://www.rcfp.org/open-government-sections/f-are-there-sanctions-for-noncompliance/>
 22. <https://www.foia.gov/faq.html>
 23. https://about.usps.com/handbooks/as353/as353add_003.htm
 24. <https://www.foia.gov>
 25. <https://www.secretservice.gov/foia/exemptions>
 26. <https://www.tba.org/index.cfm?pg=LawBlog&blAction=showEntry&blogEntry=33467>
 27. <https://www.eeoc.gov/prohibited-employment-policiespractices>
 28. <https://www.ctas.tennessee.edu/eli/public-employee-political-activity>
 29. <https://firstamendment.mtsu.edu/article/public-employees/>
 30. <https://www.eustis.org/files/assets/public/city-hall-mgrs-office/docs/1st-amendment-memo.pdf>
 31. <https://www.acludc.org/en/know-your-rights/if-stopped-photographing-public>
 32. <https://mrsc.org/stay-informed/mrsc-insight/april-2023/rights-and-limits-on-filming-in-public-facilities>
 33. <https://www.nhmunipal.org/town-city-magazine/new-hampshire-town-and-city-julyaugust-2023/first-amendment-audits-what-are-they>
 34. <https://www.workplacefairness.org/retaliation-public-employees/>
 35. <https://www.thefire.org/research-learn/pronouns-free-speech-and-first-amendment>
 36. <https://www.nyclu.org/resources/know-your-rights/speaking-out-public-employee>
 37. <https://www.taterenner.com/1stam.php>
 38. <https://www.joeldillard.com/free-speech-public-employees-as-private-citizens.shtml>
 39. <https://bclawreview.bc.edu/articles/1075/files/63bbc89d56986.pdf>
 40. <https://www.justice.gov/oip/freedom-information-act-5-usc-552>
 41. <https://www.nationalsecuritylawfirm.com/freedom-of-information-act-foia/>
 42. https://www.flra.gov/Guidance_information
 43. <https://abc11.com/post/elon-musk-email-what-did-do-last-week-nc-federal-worker-advocate-urges-employees-not-respond-opm/15950940/>
-

From: [Nieuws Amsterdam](#)
To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)
Subject: unacceptable
Date: Thursday, March 20, 2025 3:00:17 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express my deep frustration and disappointment with the way citizens are currently being treated. It is unacceptable that the very people who contribute to the functioning of society are being treated with such disregard.

This situation cannot continue. We are at a critical juncture, and the time has come for change. We will no longer stand by while those who have entrusted you with responsibility are treated as if they are irrelevant or insignificant.

I strongly urge you to take this message seriously and begin making the necessary changes. Citizens deserve respect and consideration, and it is your duty to ensure that they receive it.

Take note of this, as this issue will not go unaddressed.

Sincerely,
Willem Holleerder.

From: [Jonathan Rivera](#)
To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)
Subject: Nasty Government Employee
Date: Sunday, March 23, 2025 2:01:36 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

Maria @ suite 201 would not open the door for the public. This is unacceptable. You guys work for the public some common courtesy would be appreciated coming from this office.

From: [jerry urbanek](#)
To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)
Subject: Bay Area Transparency
Date: Thursday, March 20, 2025 3:39:02 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

I recently watched a video posted to the YouTube channel Bay Area Transparency regarding an audit of the public employees of the California State Lands Commission. As a member of the public, I have the right to visit those offices to request services. Since I do not reside in California, I am grateful to have these videos published as I can personally attest that this is a matter of public interest. Unfortunately, the behavior of your employees shocked and dismayed me. The disconnect between the taxpayers and our government has never been greater. This disconnect is fostering a general feeling that our government does not provide a benefit equal to our tax dollars. Your staff did nothing to respect the rights of the public as is mandated by law. This makes it difficult to disagree with those citizens who want to see government agencies dissolved. I hope you review the videos and take the appropriate action necessary to correct the behavior of those employees.

Sincerely,
Jerry Urbanek

Archived: Tuesday, April 1, 2025 2:37:28 PM

From: [Nina Beety](#)

Sent: Monday, March 31, 2025 2:19:16 PM

To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings; Public, ExecutiveOfficer@SLC; .MEPDAssistantChief.Public@slc.ca.gov; SLCOGPP@SLC](#)

Cc: [REDACTED]t

Subject: Halt geophysical surveys in the ocean

Sensitivity: Normal

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

To the State Lands Commission:

I protest the issuance of geophysical survey permits by the State Lands Commission in the Monterey Bay, off Eureka in Humboldt County, in the San Francisco Bay, the delta, and elsewhere in the ocean.

The Commission is issuing these permits without regard for the intensity of the sound waves generated by this geophysical equipment and without regard for the science. Being outside normal hearing range --"above the known functional hearing ranges of marine mammals and humans" -- has nothing to do with physical impacts. Infrasound, for example, is below hearing range and can cause organ death and organism death. In the case of geophysical surveys, the decibel levels are extremely high and comparable to a Saturn 5 rocket. Those sound waves would destroy the rocket but for the sound deflection devices protecting the rocket from the full impact of its decibels. As you know, the Saturn 5 rocket does not have ears nor can it hear. It is the decibel level, not the hearing range, that is relevant. In addition, resonance is a harmful impact related to the frequency/ies used that can damage a creature's organs and whole body.

These sonar surveys have measurable effects. Fisherman in San Luis Obispo County are suing the Coastal Commission because surveys there reduced their catch by 67-70%.

<https://calcoastnews.com/2024/10/central-coast-fishermen-sue-california-coastal-commission-over-wind-energy/>

A local master skindiver no longer goes in the ocean because of the terrifying experience he had with sonar that could have been deadly and was not audible.

The Commission well knows that marine creatures are acutely sensitive, and that the ocean is a sound-sensitive environment.

A large group of anchovies crowded into Santa Cruz Harbor last week. Were they fleeing the sonar of MBARI and eTrac? They could die in the harbor due to lack of oxygen. <https://kion546.com/news/2025/03/21/santa-cruz-wharf-sees-another-wave-of-anchovies-sparking-fears-of-another-potential-die-off/>

How many species could not flee?

The State Lands Commission is failing the ocean and failing to protect the lands under its jurisdiction. Biologically-rich and unique marine protected areas are being subjected to damage and death by this high decibel sonar geophysical equipment without even a cursory environmental review. That the Commission sought this level of streamlining and ignores the impacts of sound and decibels is shocking. Commission staff have refused to respond to the information I provided previously.

This article "Near Death Diagnosis" was published in Spring 2024 on the state of the Monterey Bay.

<https://www.ediblemontereybay.com/online-magazine/spring-2024/near-death-diagnosis/>

This is reality.

Unless the Commission takes immediate action to halt the detrimental actions affecting the ocean, using all the power within its jurisdiction, the Commission is facilitating this death. If the ocean dies, so does the climate, and so does our species.

What are you going to do to protect the living ocean and stop this high decibel sonar assault now before it's too late?

Please send my letter to the full Commission.

Sincerely,

Nina Beety
Monterey, California

Recent permits include:

Permit 8394

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/03/USGS-Offshore-Eureka-8394.pdf>

Permit 9791

https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/02/MBARI_2024-01-03-9791S-08_9791.pdf

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2024/09/MBARI-Offshore-Moss-Landing-9791.pdf>

Permit 9235

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/03/eTrac-Monterey-Bay-9235.pdf>

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/03/eTrac-Half-Moon-Bay-9235.pdf>

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/02/eTrac-GGStrait-9235.pdf>

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/02/eTrac-SFBay-9235.pdf>

Permit 9376

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/03/Merkel-Encinitas-9376.pdf>

Permit 8391

<https://slcprdwordpressstorage.blob.core.windows.net/wordpressdata/2025/03/Fugro-Offshore-Ventura-8391.pdf>

Archived: Tuesday, April 1, 2025 9:02:38 PM

From: [Victoria Sievers](#)

Sent: Tuesday, April 1, 2025 6:46:37 PM

To: [Public, ExecutiveOfficer@SLC](#); [Public, MEPDAssistantChief@SLC](#); [SLCOGPP@SLC](#); [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)

Subject: Halt geophysical surveys in the ocean

Sensitivity: Normal

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

May I please call immediate attention to this critical letter to the Commission from Nina Beety of Monterey. Unintended consequences of a sobering nature will result from current action that has been authorized out of apparent disregard for relevant science.

Ms. Beety's authoritative points must be taken seriously.

Thank you.

Vicki Sievers, MA

CA public school educator, ret

San Rafael, CA

To the State Lands Commission:

I protest the issuance of geophysical survey permits by the State Lands Commission in the Monterey Bay, off Eureka in Humboldt County, in the San Francisco Bay, the delta, and elsewhere in the ocean.

The Commission is issuing these permits without regard for the intensity of the sound waves generated by this geophysical equipment and without regard for the science. Being outside normal hearing range --"above the known functional hearing ranges of marine mammals and humans" -- has nothing to do with physical impacts. Infrasound, for example, is below hearing range and can cause organ death and organism death. In the case of geophysical surveys, the decibel levels are extremely high and comparable to a Saturn 5 rocket. Those sound waves would destroy the rocket but for the sound deflection devices protecting the rocket from the full impact of its decibels. As you know, the Saturn 5 rocket does not have ears nor can it hear. It is the decibel level, not the hearing range, that is relevant. In addition, resonance is a harmful impact related to the frequency/ies used that can damage a creature's organs and whole body.

These sonar surveys have measurable effects. Fisherman in San Luis Obispo County are suing the Coastal Commission because surveys there reduced their catch by 67-70%.

<https://calcoastnews.com/2024/10/central-coast-fishermen-sue-california-coastal-commission-over-wind-energy/>

A local master skindiver no longer goes in the ocean because of the terrifying experience he had with sonar that could have been deadly and was not audible.

The Commission well knows that marine creatures are acutely sensitive, and that the ocean is a sound-sensitive environment.

A large group of anchovies crowded into Santa Cruz Harbor last week. Were they fleeing the sonar of MBARI and eTrac? They could die in the harbor due to lack of oxygen. <https://kion546.com/news/2025/03/21/santa-cruz-wharf->

[sees-another-wave-of-anchovies-sparking-fears-of-another-potential-die-off/](#)

How many species could not flee?

The State Lands Commission is failing the ocean and failing to protect the lands under its jurisdiction. Biologically-rich and unique marine protected areas are being subjected to damage and death by this high decibel sonar geophysical equipment without even a cursory environmental review. That the Commission sought this level of streamlining and ignores the impacts of sound and decibels is shocking. Commission staff have refused to respond to the information I provided previously.

This article "Near Death Diagnosis" was published in Spring 2024 on the state of the Monterey Bay.

<https://www.ediblemontereybay.com/online-magazine/spring-2024/near-death-diagnosis/>

This is reality.

Unless the Commission takes immediate action to halt the detrimental actions affecting the ocean, using all the power within its jurisdiction, the Commission is facilitating this death. If the ocean dies, so does the climate, and so does our species.

What are you going to do to protect the living ocean and stop this high decibel sonar assault now before it's too late?

Please send my letter to the full Commission.

Sincerely,

Nina Beety
Monterey, California

Archived: Wednesday, April 2, 2025 10:19:15 AM

From: [Francis Coats](#)

Sent: Wednesday, April 2, 2025 7:25:29 AM

To: [CSLC CommissionMeetings](#)

Subject: Providing the public with the location of former school lands subject to the public right to fish

Sensitivity: Normal

Attention: This email originated from outside of SLC and should be treated with extra caution.

When will the State Lands Commission, as trustee of the absolute right in the people, provide the people with a usable list of school and in lieu lands transferred out expressly subject the reservation in the people of the absolute right to fish thereupon as provided in section 25 article I of the California Constitution - practically every transfer off school and in lieu lands and swamp and overflowed lands since 1910?

And yes, the commission has the lists of school and I lieu lands owned by the state and published to facilitate their sale.

Francis Coats

Yuba City, Ca

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Get