MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION

ZOOM PLATFORM

CITY OF GOLETA

COUNCIL CHAMBERS

130 CREMONA DRIVE

GOLETA, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, JUNE 5, 2023 12:10 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

Eleni Kounalakis, Lieutenant Governor, Chairperson

Malia Cohen, State Controller

Joe Stephenshaw, Director, Department of Finance, represented by Gayle Miller

STAFF:

Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer

Colin Connor, Assistant Executive Officer

Seth Blackmon, Chief Counsel

Sheri Pemberton, Chief, External Affairs Division

Katie Robinson-Filipp, Environmental Scientist, Executive Office

Drew Simpkin, Public Land Manager, Land Management Division

ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Andrew Contreiras, Deputy Attorney General

ALSO PRESENT:

Andy Caldwell, The Coalition of Labor, Agriculture, and Business

Ann Cantrell, Los Cerritos Wetlands Task Force
Anna Christensen, Los Cerritos Wetlands Task Force
Jim Dantona, San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

ALSO PRESENT:

Emely Garcia, Natural Resources Defense Council
John Geesman, Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility
John Hamon, Mayor Pro Tem, City of Paso Robles
Marcia Hanscom, Defend Ballona Wetlands
Chris K.

Mark Krausse, Pacific Gas and Electric

Russell Marine

Dawn Ortiz-Legg, Supervisor, County of San Luis Obispo, District 3

Paula Perotte, Mayor, City of Goleta

Myla Reson, California Solartopia

Hunter Stern, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1245

Robert Jan van de Hoek

Jane Velez-Mitchell, Unchained TV

Colleen Weiler, Monterey Bay Aquarium

PAGE

I CLOSED SESSION

1

At any time during the meeting the Commission may meet in a session of Government Code section 11126, part of the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

A. Litigation

The Commission may consider pending and possible litigation pursuant to the confidentiality of attorney-client communications and privileges provided under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e).

- 1. The Commission may consider pending and possible matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(A), concerning adjudicatory proceedings before a court, an administrative body exercising its adjudicatory authority, a hearing officer, or an arbitrator, to which the Commission is a party. Such matters currently include the following:
 - California State Lands Commission v. Signal Hill Service, Inc.; Pacific Operators, Inc., dba Pacific Operators Offshore, Inc.; DOES 1-100
 - California State Lands Commission, et al. v. Martins Beach 1 LLC, et al.
 - Candlestick Heights Community
 Alliance v. City and County of San
 Francisco, et al.
 - Eugene Davis v. State of California and California State Lands Commission
 - In re: Temblor Petroleum Company, LLC, Bankruptcy Chapter 11

- In re: Venoco, LLC, Bankruptcy Chapter 11
- Martins Beach 1, LLC and Martins Beach 2, LLC v. Effie Turnbull-Sanders, et al.
- Oakland Bulk and Oversized Terminal, LLC v. City of Oakland
- Owens Valley Committee v. City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, et al.
- San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority v. State of California; State Lands Commission
- State of California v. International Boundary and Water Commission, et al.
- State Lands Commission v. Plains Pipeline, L.P., et al.
- United States v. Walker River Irrigation District, et al.
- White v. California State Lands Commission; California Public Works Board
- Whitred Holdings, LLC v. The McConnel Foundation; California State Lands Commission (as cross defendant)
- 2. The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(b), under which;
 - a. A point has been reached where, in the opinion of the Commission, on the advice of its legal counsel, based on existing facts and circumstances, there is a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission, or

- b. Based on existing facts and circumstances, the Commission is meeting only to decide whether a closed session is authorized because of a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission.
- 3. The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(C), where, based on existing facts and circumstances, the state body has decided to initiate or is deciding whether to initiate litigation.
- B. Conference with real property negotiators

The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (c)(7), under which, prior to the purchase sale, exchange, or lease of real property by or for the Commission, the directions may be given to its negotiators regarding price and terms of payment for the purchase, sale, exchange, or lease.

C. Other matters

The Commission may also consider personnel actions to appoint, employ, or dismiss a public employee as provided for in Government Code section 11126(A)(1).

II Open Session

2

Open Session will begin immediately after Closed Session, which may be as early as 12:30 p.m.

III Public Comment

7

Public comments will be heard for items not on the agenda, for no more than 30 minutes. At the discretion of the Chair, speakers will be given up to 3 minutes. For those unable to attend the early public comment period, there may be additional comment time available later in the day. Note: Comments made during the general public comment period regarding matters pending before the

INDEX CONTINUED

PAGE

Commission do not become part of the official record for those matters.

- IV Confirmation of Minutes for the April 7, 2023 meeting

V Executive Officer's Report

88

27

Continuation of Rent Actions to be taken by the Executive Officer pursuant to the Commission's Delegation of Authority:

No items for this section.

Tomales Bay Assignment Actions to be taken by the Executive Officer pursuant to the Commission's Delegation of Authority:

- No items for this section.
- VI Consent Calendar 01-87

29

The following items are considered to be noncontroversial and are subject to change at any time up to the date of the meeting.

Land Management

Northern Region

- WILLIAM MICHAEL ANDERSEN AND MARILYN WILSON ANDERSEN, TRUSTES OF ANDERSEN FAMILY TRUST, DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 2014 (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4180 Ferguson Avenue, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for one existing mooring buoy previously authorized; and installation and use of one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 8696, A3548; RA# 2021257) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: L. Anderson)
- 02 BEACH LANE ASSOCIATES, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND FRANKEL TAHOE LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of

sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8669 and 8675 Beach Lane, near Tahoma, El Dorado County; for an existing joint-use pier, boathouse with boat lift, breakwater, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4176; A3542; RA# 2021253) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D. Romero)

- BLUE BRONCO LLC (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6049 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for one existing mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission; an existing water intake line not previously authorized by the Commission; and removal of an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 4065; A3963; RA# 2022214) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D. Romero)
- WALTER E. BURCH AND CAROL A. BURCH (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake
 Tahoe, adjacent to 4990 North Lake Boulevard, near
 Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for use of one existing
 mooring buoy not previously authorized by the
 Commission; and installation and use of one mooring
 buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions.
 (A3552; RA# 2021264) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: L. Ward)
- O5 CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 010-103-01, West Sacramento, Yolo County; for an existing concrete boat ramp, boat dock, two gangways, two boarding floats with cable system related to the Broderick Boat Launch Facility, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4798; A3670; RA# 2021375) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)
- DATED FEBRUARY 2, 1994 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5500 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 6798; A3979; RA# 2022241) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D.

Romero)

- O7 SANDRA J. CLARKSON, TRUSTEE OF THE CLARKSON SURVIVOR'S TRUST, UNDER TRUST AGREEMENT DATED MARCH 21, 1994 (LESSEE); DENNIS G. PALMER AND GAYLE ANNE PALMER, TRUSTEES OF THE PALMER 2018 TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease 6955 and issuance of a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 22551 Adobe Road, Red Bluff, Tehama County; for an existing boat dock, gangway, and two galvanized steel cables. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 6955; A4043; RA# 2022286) (A 3; S 1) (Staff: J. Plovnick)
- DAGMAR DOLBY, AS TRUSTEE OF THE DAGMAR DOLBY 2010 HOMEWOOD QPRT, DATED OCTOBER 26, 2010; DAGMAR DOLBY, AS TRUSTEE OF THE DAGMAR DOLBY TRUST ESTABLISHED UNDER THE DOLBY FAMILY TRUST INSTRUMENT DATED MAY 7, 1999 (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider amendment of Lease Number 5556, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2530 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for the installation and use of one additional mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5556; A3578; RA# 2021290) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D. Romero)
- OP DEBORAH GAY ELLIOT AND RICHARD CASE ELLIOT, TRUSTEES OF THE ELLIOT FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED APRIL 13, 1993, AS AMENDED AND RESTATED ON DECEMBER 4, 2018 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3540 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier with boat hoist previously authorized by the Commission; and an existing marine rail, water intake line, and two mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3880; A2266; RA# 2019072) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D. Romero)
- 10 LAWRENCE JOSEPH GANNON AND PATRICIA ANNE GANNON, TRUSTEES OF THE GANNON FAMILY TRUST DECLARATION OF LIVING TRUST DATED DECEMBER 9, 2005 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake

Tahoe, adjacent to 8754 Brockway Vista Avenue, near Kings Beach, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5624; A3910; RA# 2022170) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: L. Ward)

- PETER H. GEREMIA, TRUSTEE OF THE GEREMIA FAMILY TRUST (LESSEE); PETER H. GEREMIA AND JANET L. GEREMIA, TRUSTEES OF THE GEREMIA FAMILY TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider amendment of Lease Number 8887, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3600 Idlewild Way, near Homewood, Placer County; for the construction and use of a pier, boat lift and catwalk; use of one existing mooring buoy; and removal of one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 8887; A3943; RA# 2022197) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D. Romero)
- JAROSLAW GLEMBOCKI, OR HIS SUCCESSOR(S), TRUSTEE UNDER REVOCABLE TRUST AGREEMENT DATED AUGUST 24TH, 2001, AS AMENDED (LESSEE); SHELL LANE LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease Number PRC 9204, a General Lease Recreational Use; and application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5070 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9204; A3495; RA# 2021216) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: D. Romero)
- PETER E. HAAS JR., INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE PETER HAAS TRUST, ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PETER AND JOANNE HAAS FAMILY TRUST INSTRUMENT, DATED MAY 3, 2001; DANIEL S. HAAS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE DANIEL S. HAAS TRUST, DATED DECEMBER 21, 2004; AND BRADLEY J. HAAS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE BRADLEY J. HAAS TRUST, DATED MARCH 17, 2009 (LESSEE): Consider amendment of Lease Number 3512, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5690 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for a revision of rent. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (Lease 3512; A3771; RA# 2022065) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: M. Waldo)

- RICHARD M. HUNT AND SHANNON L. MICOCH (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Elk
 Slough, adjacent to 52265 Nishida Lane, Clarksburg,
 Yolo County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant
 facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption.
 (Lease 9052; A4036; RA# 2022277) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M.
 Waldo)
- LOYD BINGHAM HUTCHINS, ELIZABETH DAISY HELMAN, AND KATHERINE PAGE BINGHAM (LESSEE); WEST LAKE RENTALS LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease Number 4033, a General Lease Recreational Use; and application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6730 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoma, Placer County; for the modification and use of an existing pier, and use of two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4033; A3911; RA# 2022171) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: M. Waldo)
- BRYAN F. KELLY AND EMILY C. WHITE, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE KELLY-WHITE LIVING TRUST DATED DECEMBER 22, 2010 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8849 Rubicon Drive, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring buoys and a low water anchor not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7018; A3908; RA# 2022169) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: L. Anderson)
- 17 LAKESIDE PARK ASSOCIATION (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease Number PRC 5883, a General Lease Commercial and Recreational Use, of sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4041 Lakeshore Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for a marina, known as Lakeside Marina, and a beach accretion area and breakwater, known as Lakeside Park Association Beach and Swim Area. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5883) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: N. Lee)
- 18 LIBERTY UTILITIES (CALPECO ELECTRIC) LLC (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use of sovereign land located in Lake
 Tahoe, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers

018-041-004 and 018-060-005, crossing Emerald Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing submarine electrical power cables previously authorized by the Commission and two marker buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 944; A3304; RA# 2021176) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: J. Holt)

- SISTERS OF ST. DOMINIC, CONGREGATION OF THE MOST HOLY NAME (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2800 Lake Terrace Avenue, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4379; A4013; RA# 2022245) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: J. Plovnick)
- FRANK SLOOTMAN AND BRENDA L. SLOOTMAN, TRUSTEES OF THE SLOOTMAN LIVING TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 8, 1999; AND LIP-BU TAN AND YSA LOO, TRUSTEES, FBO LIP-BU TAN AND YSA LOO TRUST (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed and issuance of a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2050, 2140, 2200 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County; for construction and use of a joint-use pier; installation of three boat lifts; use of three existing mooring buoys; and removal of three existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A3873; RA# 2022139) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: L. Ward)
- TAHOE CITY PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT (PERMITTEE):
 Consider amendment of Permit Number PRC 2754, a
 General Permit Public Agency Use, of sovereign land
 located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2500 Lake Forest
 Road, near Tahoe City, Placer County; to authorize
 periodic maintenance dredging. CEQA Consideration:
 categorical exemption. (Permit 2754; A3901; RA#
 2022188) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: S. Avila)
- TAHOE TAVERN PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION
 (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a Lease
 Quitclaim Deed and issuance of a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake
 Tahoe, adjacent to 300 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoe
 City, Placer County; for an existing pier, 90 mooring
 buoys, and 4 marker buoys. CEQA Consideration:
 categorical exemption. (Lease 5956; A3487; RA#

2021207) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: M. Waldo)

- WOODLAND PIER, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider application for an acceptance of a Lease Quitclaim Deed and issuance of a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8243 Meeks Bay Avenue, Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and davits previously authorized by the Commission; and 12 existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 1742; A3134; RA# 2020453) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: L. Anderson)
- WS MARINA, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE/APPLICANT); EQUITRUST LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY (SECURED-PARTY LENDER): Authorize an Agreement and Consent to Encumbrance of Lease 3953, a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5190 West Lake Boulevard, Homewood, Placer County; for an existing commercial marina known as Homewood High & Dry Marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (Lease 3953; A4042, RA 14810) (A 1; S 1, 4) (Staff: J. Holt)
- YUBA COUNTY WATER AGENCY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Yuba River, near Smartsville, Yuba County; for restoration and rehabilitation of the bed of the Yuba River channel and floodplain to improve the wildlife and aquatic habitats. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the Yuba County Water Agency, State Clearinghouse No. 2022120635, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (A3852; RA# 2022161) (A3; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)

Bay/Delta Region

ALAMAR, LP (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 5110, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 5999 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for a commercial marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5110) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

- ASHISH ATREJA AND SURABHI M. ATREJA (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of
 sovereign land located in the Sacramento River,
 adjacent to 2395 Garden Highway, near Sacramento,
 Sacramento County; for an existing covered boat dock,
 appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA
 Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5042;
 A3327; RA# 2021111) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)
- BANDWIDTH IG, LLC (APPLICANT): Consider adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration, State Clearinghouse Number 2023040125, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program, and consider application for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the San Francisco Bay, San Leandro and Brisbane, Alameda and San Mateo Counties, for the installation and use of two buried fiber optic cables and two conduits. (A3655; RA# 2021357) (A 20, 21; S 9, 13) (Staff: K. Connor)
- GERALD CAMPBELL AND KELLIE CAMPBELL, TRUSTEES OF THE CAMPBELL REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST, DATED SEPTEMBER 14, 2000 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 405 West Willow Tree Lane, Isleton, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, deck, and appurtenant facilities previously authorized by the Commission; and seven existing unattached pilings, and bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4726; A3252; RA# 2021052) (A 9; S 3) (Staff: L. Anderson)
- DAVID MANNING CHODOS AND MARK CHODOS (LESSEE); MARK CHODOS (APPLICANT): Consider termination and application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2181 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9152; A3719; RA# 2022018) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)

INDEX CONTINUED

- MARK CHODOS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2191 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (A3720; RA# 2022019) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)
- 32 CITY OF BENICIA (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Dredging, of sovereign land in the Carquinez Strait at the entrance to the Benicia Marina, Benicia, Solano County; for maintenance dredging. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7466; A3741; RA# 2022032) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- 33 CITY OF STOCKTON (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the San Joaquin River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 163-330-030, Stockton, San Joaquin County; for construction and use of an outfall pipeline, bank protection, and a temporary cofferdam associated with the Regional Wastewater Control Facility Modifications Project; removal of an existing apron and use of existing bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: Supplemental Environmental Impact Report, certified by the City of Stockton, State Clearinghouse No. 2018092017, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program and Statement of Findings. (A3628; RA# 2022124) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: J. Holt)
- TRACIE L. CONE (LESSEE); EUGENE GRANT LARSON AND ANGELINA OPPEDISANO LARSON, TRUSTEES UNDER THE LARSON FAMILY TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 11, 1995 (APPLICANT): Consider termination of a General Lease Protective Structure Use; and application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 7115 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for use of existing bank protection previously authorized by the Commission; and construction and use of a boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption.

(Lease 5493; A3337; RA# 2021112) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: S. Avila)

- COUNTY OF STANISLAUS (LESSEE): Consider amendment of Lease Number PRC 2202, a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land in the San Joaquin River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 022-004-001 and 047-037-013, near Patterson, Stanislaus County; to install supplemental grouted steel column/pile encasements on piles of the East Las Palmas Avenue Bridge. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by Stanislaus County, State Clearinghouse No. 2020059042, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (PRC 2202; A3313; RA# 2021106) (A 22; S 4) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- JANE C. CRABLE AND RICHARD E. CRABLE, TRUSTEES OF THE JANE C. AND RICHARD E. CRABLE LIVING TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2145 Garden Highway, Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5029; A3616; RA#2021316) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)
- 37 DELTA BEACH PROPERTIES, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located on the Sacramento River, adjacent to 14250 Isleton Road, Isleton, Sacramento County; for an existing boat launch ramp, accommodation dock, and 20 double-point mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5383; A3389; RA#2022093) (A 9; S 3) (Staff: L. Ward)
- FISHBIO, INCORPORATED (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Other, of sovereign land located in the Stanislaus River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 074-003-022 and 247-250-120, near Riverbank, Stanislaus and San Joaquin Counties; for seasonal installation and use of a resistance board fish counting weir, and placement of warning buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9049; A3964; RA# 2022217) (A 9; S 5, 4) (Staff: J. Holt)

- JOSHUA WEISS HARMATZ AND LESLIE HARMATZ, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE HARMATZ FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 8, 2009 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4171 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection previously authorized by the Commission; and two existing personal watercraft floats not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5697; A3905; RA#2022168) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)
- THE ISLAND CLUB, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Montezuma Slough, adjacent to 3372 Gum Tree Road, Grizzly Island, near Suisun City, Solano County; for an existing boat dock, covered storage shed, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9053; A3982; RA# 2022229) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: M. Waldo)
- MANUEL C. JARDIN AND GAIL A. JARDIN, TRUSTEES OF THE JARDIN FAMILY TRUST DATED MAY 13, 2005 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4233 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9045; A3993; RA#2022252) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)
- THOMAS L. JONES AND SHARON M. JONES, TRUSTEES OF THE THOMAS L. JONES AND SHARON M. JONES REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JULY 15, 2003; RONALD P. WOOD, TRUSTEE OR SUCCESSORS IN TRUST, UNDER THE WOOD FAMILY TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 5, 2003 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 3474 Snug Harbor Drive, near Walnut Grove, Solano County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease

5748; A3403; RA# 2021254) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: S. Avila)

- PEDER E. JONES AND NANCY B. JONES, TRUSTEES OF THE PEDER AND NANCY JONES LIVING TRUST U/D MARCH 25, 2009 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 17436 Grand Island Road, near Isleton, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4762; A3739; RA#2022031) (A 9; S 3) (Staff: S. Avila)
- MIDDLE RIVER SKI CLUB (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Middle River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 129-170-060, west of Stockton, San Joaquin County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities, and a seasonal slalom course with 22 marker buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 6702; A3968; RA# 2022272) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: J. Plovnick)
- GERALD ELLIOTT MILLS AND MEREDITH BAKER MILLS, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE 2014 GERALD ELLIOT MILLS AND MEREDITH BAKER MILLS REVOCABLE TRUST, U/A DATED JULY 23, 2014 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6508 Benham Way, Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 8564; A3761; RA# 2022246) (A 9; S 8) (Staff: M. Waldo)
- PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 5438-A, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for transportation, distribution, and gathering natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5438-A) (A: Statewide; S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
- 47 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to

Lease Number PRC 5438-B, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for transportation, distribution, and gathering natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5438-B) (A: Statewide; S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

- PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 5438-C, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for transportation, distribution, and gathering natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5438-C) (A: Statewide; S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
- PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 5438-D, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for transportation, distribution, and gathering natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5438-D) (A: Statewide; S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
- PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 5438-E, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for transportation, distribution, and gathering natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5438-E) (A: Statewide; S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
- PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 5438-F, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for transportation, distribution, and gathering natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC

5438-F) (A: Statewide; S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

- PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider continuation of rent and revision of surety bond to Lease Number PRC 6205, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State sovereign land located on various waterways, in various counties, statewide; for electric distribution lines and fiber optic cables. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 6205) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
- VICKI A. PFINGST, AS TRUSTEE OF THE VICKI A. PFINGST TRUST, U.D.T., DATED MARCH 3, 1998 (LESSEE/ASSIGNOR); LARRY BRACCO (APPLICANT/ASSIGNEE): Consider assignment and amendment of a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2521 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4939; A3769; RA#2022064) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: S. Avila)
- FRANK T. RAUZI AND JUDITH D. RAUZI, TRUSTEES UNDER THE FRANK T. RAUZI AND JUDITH D. RAUZI FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 22, 2020 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Calaveras River, adjacent to 2943 Calariva Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County; for an existing boat dock, boathouse and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 8473; A4035; RA# 2022260) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: M. Waldo)
- STOCKTON WATER SKI CLUB (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the San Joaquin River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 129-070-01, near Ward Island, San Joaquin County; for two existing boat docks and appurtenant facilities, a swim float, and five speed control buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5373; A3974; RA# 2022244) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: J. Plovnick)
- 56 PADRA TARIFARD (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land

located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2931 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for the construction and use of a boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A4063; RA# 2022269) (A 6; S 8) (Staff: D. Romero)

- 57 PAUL B. TIETJEN & LANA T. TIETJEN, TRUSTEES OF THE PAUL B. TIETJEN AND LANA T. TIETJEN DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED AUGUST 6, 2002; AND HOWARD A. COOPER (LESSEE); PAUL B. TIETJEN & LANA T. TIETJEN, TRUSTEES OF THE PAUL B. TIETJEN AND LANA T. TIETJEN DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED AUGUST 6, 2002; AND STRAWBERRY RIDGEWOOD HOLDINGS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease 4674, a General Lease - Recreational Use and issuance of a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 555 and 575 Larkspur Plaza Drive, Larkspur, Marin County; for an existing joint-use boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4674; A3795; RA# 2022073) (A 12; S 2) (Staff: M. Waldo)
- STEVEN K. YOKOI AND BEVERLY J. YOKOI, TRUSTEES OF THE YOKOI REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST DATED JULY 14, 2011 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 907 Piedmont Drive, Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing covered boathouse with boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4616; A3593; RA# 2021351) (A 10; S 8) (Staff: S. Avila)

Central/Southern Region

59 CITY OF DEL MAR (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use and Dredging, of sovereign land in the San Dieguito River Inlet and the Pacific Ocean, Del Mar, San Diego County; for dredging of the San Dieguito River Inlet and the deposition of a maximum of 180,000 cubic yards of sand over 5 years (up to 50,000 cubic yards of material annually) at Del Mar City Beach under the City of Del Mar Sand Compatibility and Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUP).

CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the City of Del Mar, State Clearinghouse No. 2020040181, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (A3435; RA#2021179) (A 77; S 38) (Staff: K. Connor)

- CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease Number PRC 6616, a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land in the Pacific Ocean, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing municipal public pier and related concession subleases. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 6616) (A 72; S 36) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
- CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, near San Buenaventura, adjacent to Marina Park, Ventura County; for the construction, operation, and maintenance of one 20-inch-diameter outfall pipeline and diffuser, with protective rock cover, and concrete ballast weights. CEQA Consideration: Environmental Impact Report, certified by the City of San Buenaventura, State Clearinghouse No. 2017111004, and Addendum, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program and Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations. (A3712; RA# 2022050) (A 38; S 21) (Staff: K. Connor)
- COUNTY OF ORANGE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 672-171-03 and -06, near Dana Point, Orange County; for an existing rock revetment and fill. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7253; A3572; RA# 2021283) (A 74; S 36) (Staff: J. Toy)
- 63 FOURTH OF JULY YACHT CLUB (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean at Fourth of July Cove, Santa Catalina Island, Los Angeles County; for an existing pier, ramp, three floats, one swim float, and two dinghy tie lines. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 6444; A3945; RA# 2022208) (A 69; S 24) (Staff: J. Plovnick)

- ERIC KEATING AND MELISSA KEATING (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Colorado River, adjacent to 1170 Beach Drive, Needles, San Bernardino County; for an existing boat dock, gangway with railing, two large concrete patios with rock retaining walls, small concrete patio with railing and planter area, electrical and irrigation appurtenances, concrete stairs with rock walls, concrete landing, gangway with railing, and riprap bankline protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9039; A3735; RA# 2022028) (A 36; S 18) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- 65 CHARLES F. NICHOLS AND JUDITH A. NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEES OF THE COLLIN STEHL NICHOLS TRUST (QPRT) DATED 2/1/2018; CHARLES F. NICHOLS AND JUDITH A. NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEES OF THE TREVOR CHANCE NICHOLS TRUST (OPRT) DATED 2/1/2018; CHARLES F. NICHOLS AND JUDITH A. NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEES OF THE CHRISTEN COLETTE WRIGHT TRUST (QPRT) DATED 2/1/2018; AND CHARLES F. NICHOLS AND JUDITH A. NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEES OF THE VALARIE LYNN DEEN TRUST (QPRT) DATED 2/1/2018 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Midway Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 3492 Gilbert Drive, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3078; A3966; RA# 2022238) (A 72; S 36) (Staff: J. Plovnick)
- JOSEPH R. RYNIAK AND CYNTHIA M. RYNIAK (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of
 sovereign land located in the Colorado River, adjacent
 to 1226 Beach Drive, Needles, San Bernardino County;
 for an existing boat dock and gangway with railing,
 planter area, stairway with railing, and riprap
 bankline protection; CEQA Consideration: categorical
 exemption. (Lease 9091; A3819; RA# 2022220) (A 36; S
 18) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- ANTHONY J. SOLETO, OR HIS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE, TRUSTEE OF THE ANTHONY J. SOTELO FAMILY TRUST DATED JUNE 15, 2011 (LESSEE); TRONG VA MA AND HUONG T. NGUYEN (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease 3312, a General Lease Recreational Use, and application for

a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Midway Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 3392 Gilbert Drive, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock and access ramp. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3312; A4031; RA# 2022253) (A 72; S 36) (Staff: D. Romero)

- SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Industrial
 Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean,
 near the southeast coast of Santa Catalina Island, Los
 Angeles County; for two source saltwater wells, buried
 water pipeline and electrical conduit, two well heads
 on concrete pads, a fence, and the improvement and
 enhancement of a portion of a rock rip rap revetment.
 CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease
 8330; RA# 2021322) (A 69; S 24) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- 69 CARL W. TUNNELL AND BETSY TUNNELL (LESSEE); JADJ LAND HOLDINGS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed and issuance of a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Kings River, adjacent to 38550 Road 16, near Kingsburg, Tulare County; for an existing fixed recreational dock. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 8773; A3957; RA# 2022213) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: J. Plovnick)
- ONSITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Public
 Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific
 Ocean, near Surfside Sunset and Newport Beaches,
 Orange County; for dredging in the Pacific Ocean from
 an offshore borrow site, and placement of beach fill
 along the shoreline in the Cities of Seal Beach and
 Newport Beach under the Surfside Sunset Beach
 Nourishment Project. CEQA Consideration: adopted Final
 Supplemental Environmental Assessment/Finding of No
 Significant Impact. (L4551; RA# 2022226) (A 72; S 36)
 (Staff: K. Connor)
- 71 U.S. BORAX, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease Number PRC 2976, a General Lease Industrial Use, of State sovereign land located in the dry lakebed of Owens Lake, Inyo County; for a mineral processing site, access road, water wells, solar

evaporation ponds, and an electrical transmission facility. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 2976) (A 8; S 4) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

School Lands

- CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (APPLICANT):
 Consider authorizing, acting as trustee of the School
 Land Bank Fund, the sale and subsequent issuance of a
 patent to the State of California, Department of
 Transportation (Caltrans), for 6.99-acres, more or
 less, of indemnity school lands located along State
 Highway 247 in Sections 3, 4, and 10, Township 3
 North, Range 4 East, SBM, east of the community of
 Lucerne Valley, San Bernardino County. CEQA
 Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted
 by the California Department of Transportation, State
 Clearinghouse No. 2022040204. (SA 5776; RA#2022117) (A
 34; S 12) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- 73 KARUK TRIBE (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of previous Lease Authorization and issuance of a General Lease Public Agency Use, on State-owned indemnity school lands, in a portion of section 28, T9N, R3E, HM along Bald Hills Road, located between Weitchpec and Orick, Humboldt County; for installation and use of two fiber optic cables. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission, State Clearinghouse No. 2022060515, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (A3459; RA# 2021212) (A 2; S 2) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

Mineral Resources Management

74 COASTAL FRONTIERS CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Offshore Geophysical Survey Permit to conduct geophysical surveys on granted and ungranted tidelands and submerged lands statewide, from the mean high tide line to 3 miles offshore. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring Program, and addendum, State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021. (A4080; Lease 9404; RA# 2022285) (A & S: Statewide Offshore) (Staff: C. Fox)

- 75 ECOSYSTEMS MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Offshore Geologic
 Permit to conduct geological surveys on granted and
 ungranted tide and submerged lands state-wide, from
 the mean high tide line to 3 miles offshore, under the
 jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission.
 CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A3933;
 Lease 8536; RA# 2022206) (A & S: Statewide Offshore)
 (Staff: C. Fox)
- FUGRO USA MARINE, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Offshore Geologic Permit to conduct geological surveys on granted and ungranted tide and submerged lands state-wide, from the mean high tide line to 3 miles offshore, under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A4026; Lease 9622; RA# 2022295) (A & S: Statewide Offshore) (Staff: C. Fox)
- GAHAGAN & BRYANT ASSOCIATES, INCORPORATED,
 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General
 Offshore Geophysical Survey Permit to conduct
 geophysical surveys on granted and ungranted tidelands
 and submerged lands statewide, from the mean high tide
 line to 3 miles offshore. CEQA Consideration:
 Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring
 Program, and addendum, State Clearinghouse No.
 2013072021. (A4112; RA# 2022306) (A & S: Statewide
 Offshore) (Staff: C. Fox)
- HI-GRADE MATERIALS CO. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a Mineral Extraction Lease (minerals, other than oil, gas, or geothermal resources) on approximately 116 acres of 100 percent reserved mineral interest school lands, 449-111-23 and 449-111-26, located on lots 10, 11, and 12, containing 38.14 acres, 37.64 acres and 40.06 acres, respectively, all within Section 30, Township 4 North, Range 1 East, San Bernardino Baseline & Meridian, and situated east of Victorville in a remote area of Lucerne Valley vicinity of Mojave Desert, in San Bernardino County. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration adopted by San Bernardino County, State Clearinghouse No. 2013121017. (A3849; Lease 8831; RA 2022166) (A 34; S 12) (Staff: R. Lee)

- TETRA TECH, INCORPORATED (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Offshore Geophysical Survey Permit to conduct geophysical surveys on granted and ungranted tidelands and submerged lands statewide, from the mean high tide line to 3 miles offshore. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring Program, and addendum, State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021 (A4074; Lease 9246; RA# 20222308) (A & S: Statewide Offshore) (Staff: C. Fox)
- TETRA TECH, INCORPORATED (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Offshore Geologic Permit to conduct geological surveys on granted and ungranted tide and submerged lands state-wide, from the mean high tide line to 3 miles offshore, under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A4116; RA# 2022309) (A & S: Statewide Offshore) (Staff: C. Fox)
- UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Offshore Geophysical Survey Permit to conduct geophysical surveys on granted and ungranted tidelands and submerged lands statewide, from the mean high tide line to 3 miles offshore. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring Program, and addendum, State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021. (A4000; Lease 8394; RA# 2022265) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: C. Fox)

Marine Environmental Protection
- No items for this section.

Administration

- No items for this section.

Legal

- 82 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY): Consider an amendment to the Delegation of Authority to the California State Lands Commission staff to update division names and authorize staff to extend construction deadlines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 9301) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: W. Crunk)
- MELVIN E CHENEY; CHARLES SMITH D/B/A SACRAMENTO TUGBOAT; GREGER PACIFIC MARINE INC (PARTIES): Consider taking title to and authorizing the removal and

disposal of an abandoned vessel on sovereign lands in Haypress Reach, San Joaquin River, near McDonald Island, San Joaquin County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (W27250) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: A. Kershen, V. Caldwell)

- MARCUS FELTON (PARTY): Consider taking title to and authorizing the removal and disposal of an abandoned vessel on sovereign lands in the Calaveras River, adjacent to 2929 Calariva Drive, Stockton, San Joaquin County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W27250) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: A. Kershen, V. Caldwell)
- JOHN STUBBE; ERIC ISAACSON; EUGENE ISAACSON (PARTIES): Consider taking title to and authorizing the removal and disposal of an abandoned vessel on sovereign lands in the Sacramento River, near Freeport, Sacramento County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (W27250) (A 4, 9; S 3) (Staff: A. Kershen, V. Caldwell)

Kapiloff Land Bank Trust Acquisition/Expenditure
 No items for this section.

External Affairs

Granted Lands

- CITY OF PITTSBURG (TRUSTEE): Consider a 3-month license agreement, issued by the City of Pittsburg, pursuant to Chapter 422, Statutes of 2011, for the removal of residual oil from an unused storage tank by barge, in the City of Pittsburg, Contra Costa County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (G 02-03) (A 14; S 7) (Staff: R. Boggiano)
- 87 CITY OF LONG BEACH (TRUSTEE): Review a proposed tideland oil revenue expenditure in an amount not to exceed \$7,975,000 by the City of Long Beach for 12 capital improvement projects on and adjacent to legislatively granted sovereign land in the City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (G 05-03) (A 70; S 33) (Staff: M. Moser)

82

	INDEX CONTINUED	PAGE
VII	Informational Calendar	
88	CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Report on the continued monitoring of possible subsidence, Long Beach Unit/Tidelands, Wilmington Oil Field, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 10442, W 16001) (A 70; S 33, 34) (Staff: H. Rassamdana, J. Abedi)	
VIII Regular Calendar 89-90		
89	CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Discussion and possible action on state legislation relevant to the California State Lands Commission. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)	7 4
90	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider amendment of Lease Number 9347, a General Lease - Industrial Use, of sovereign land located in and adjacent to the Pacific Ocean, near Avila Beach, San Luis Obispo County; to extend the lease term an additional five years for the existing improvements associated with Diablo Canyon Power Plant and modify the Lease consistent with the provisions of Senate Bill 846 (Dodd, Chapter 239, 2022). CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 9347; RA# 2022212) (A 30; S 17) (Staff: D. Simpkin)	32
IX	Public Comment	92
Χ	Commissioners' Comments	92
Adjournment		93
Reporter's Certificate		94

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: This meeting of the State Lands Commission is being resumed after the commissioners participated in an event to celebrate the successful removal of Piers 421. All the representatives of the Commission are present. I'm Lieutenant Governor Eleni Kounalakis. I'm joined today by State Controller Malia Cohen. Gayle Miller representing the Department of Finance is joining us virtually.

Ms. Lucchesi.

1.3

2.2

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Madam Chair, we have a quorum present. In addition, I would like to identify members of the staff of the Commission that are participating virtually this hybrid meeting to help us in the background ensure that it goes smoothly. Our meeting liaison is Kim Lunetta and our Zoom co-hosts are Katie Robinson-Filipp and Kyle Pierce.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much, Ms. Lucchesi. We will now adjourn into closed session and we'll return soon after. Thank you.

(Off record: 12:11 p.m.)

(Thereupon the meeting recessed

into closed session.)

(Thereupon the meeting reconvened

open session.)

(On record: 1:11 p.m.)

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. This meeting is called back to order. All members of the Commission are present. For the benefit of those in the audience, the State Lands Commission manages State property interests in over five million acres of land, including mineral interests. The Commission also has responsibility for the prevention of oil spills at marine oil terminals and offshore oil platforms and islands, and for preventing the introduction of marine invasive species into California's marine waters. Today, we will hear requests and presentations involving the lands and resources within the Commission's jurisdiction.

I want to take a moment to acknowledge and express gratitude to the Chumash people on whose lands this meeting is being held. The Chumash have maintained a constant presence on the landscape, living on this coast, and fishing in these waters for countless generations. The Commission takes seriously its trust relationship with these sovereign governments and recognize that California's Native people are essential stewardship partners of this State's land and resources.

I also want to take a moment to honor the California Native American communities all across the State for persisting, carrying on diverse cultural and

linguistic traditions, and sustainably managing the land we now share. Native people have maintained a constant presence on the landscape for many thousands of years and they're essential stewardship partners, whether along the coast, along our rivers and valleys, or in our fragile deserts. We thank California Native American communities for participating in this Commission's activities and for their essential role in maintaining and adding to our State's rich cultural history.

2.2

I would like to welcome Mayor Paula Perotte, our special guest, to our Commission meeting. Thank you for hosting us in your beautiful and thriving city. It is a pleasure to spend the day with you and your city team.

Mayor Perotte, please, the floor is yours.

GOLETA MAYOR PEROTTE: Thank you so much and good afternoon. I am Paula Perotte. And as Mayor, I have the honor of welcoming State Lands Commission to the City of Goleta. This I think is our -- your second time having a meeting in Goleta and we are truly honored that you're here.

Today, before the meeting we celebrated major accomplishments, the removal of 421 wells and piers. You choosing Goleta as the location for your meeting is a compliment to the City, as it highlights the importance of oil and gas decommissioning work happening along our

coast. And we know that the work of removing legacy oil and gas infrastructure in Goleta is not yet done. We look forward to the continued collaboration with assistance from California State Lands Commission and the State of California on the full decommissioning of both Platform Holly and the Ellwood Onshore Facility.

1.3

2.2

And for those of you who would like to learn more about the State Lands Commission's staff, their staff has scheduled a town hall meeting to share the status of these efforts on Wednesday night, June 7th at 6 o'clock in the Goleta City Council Chambers. So I welcome people to come and be informed. And thank you for doing that. Thank you.

So on behalf of our city, I thank this Commission for the impact -- the work that you do and wish you a great meeting today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thank you so much, Madam Mayor. And let me just say again on behalf of the members of this Commission and all the State Lands staff, this has been a very, very special meeting of this Commission, starting out on your beautiful beach in recognition of the final completion of the removal of the 421 operations, way past due, and now to be here in the wonderful offices and the city council hearing rooms. It really is a very special part of the state of California,

and you all are I think well aware of how lucky you are, and we feel very lucky to share the day with you.

2.2

GOLETA MAYOR PEROTTE: Thank you for that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: The next item of business will be public comment. Before I open the public comment period for items not on the agenda, first let me thank everyone, especially our stakeholders and members of the public for taking the time to join our meeting. Now, I want to turn it over to Ms. Lucchesi to quickly share some instructions on how we can best participate in this meeting, so that it runs as smoothly as possible.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Certainly. Thank you, Chair Kounalakis. For public comment, we will take those members of the public who are here in person first and then hear comments from those members of the public who are participating virtually. I do think all of the people that want to speak during public comment are participating virtually.

So for those that do wish to speak either during our open public comment period or during a public comment period that is part of an agenda item, please complete and electronic request to speak form available on our website. We will call you in the order in which you submitted the request to speak form. For those participating virtually,

please make sure you have your microphones sand phones muted to avoid background noise. To help us call on you through Zoom, please raise your hand during the appropriate comment section in one of two ways. First, if you are attending on the Zoom platform, please raise your hand. If you are new to Zoom and joined our meeting using the Zoom application, click on the hand icon at the bottom of your screen. When you click on that hand, it will raise your hand.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Second, if you are joining our meeting by phone, you must press star nine on your keypad to raise your hand to make a comment. If you are calling in and want to view the meeting, including the PowerPoint presentations, please view the meeting through the CAL-SPAN live webcast There will be a slight time delay, but it will avoid an echo or feedback from using the Zoom application and your phone. We will call on individuals who have raised their hands in the order they are raised using the name they registered with or the last three digits of their identifying phone number. After you are called on, you will be unmuted so that you can share your comments. Please also remember to unmute your computer or phone and identify yourself. You will have a limit of three minutes to speak on an item team. Please keep your comments respectful and focused or we will mute anyone who fails to

follow these guidelines lines, or at worst dismiss them from the meeting.

1.3

2.2

The Commission has also established an email address to compile public comments for our meeting. We have received various emails from parties that have been shared with all the commissioners prior to the meeting. If we receive any emails during the meeting, they will be shared with the commissioners and made available on our website, along with the public comment letters and emails we have already received.

Chair Kounalakis, that concludes my hybrid meeting instructions and we are ready to move to public comment.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Thank you very much, Ms. Lucchesi. And as we move into public comment, if anyone would like to address the Commission on any matter not on today's agenda, I will call on those who are here in person first, but apparently everyone -- is that correct, no one is here in person?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: (Nods head).

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. So for those who are participating on line, you will have three minutes to provide your comments. So Katie, would you please call the first person who would like to make a public comment.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

```
Thank you, Madam Chair. Our first public commenter is
1
    Mayor Pro Tem John Hamon.
2
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mayor Pro Tem.
 3
             PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON:
                                               Thank you,
 4
   Madam Chair. Can you hear me all right?
5
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS:
                                      Yes, we can.
6
             PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: All right.
7
8
    Thank you. And Honorable members of the State Lands
    Commission, I am John Hamon, Mayor Pro Tem Paso Robles.
9
    I'm here to express our support in PG&E with regard to the
10
    relicensing efforts that they are doing. In past years --
11
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: That's on the agenda.
12
   Mr. Mayor Pro Tem, can I -- can I ask you.
                                                This is an
13
    item that is on our agenda today. Could you hold your
14
    comment until we reach that part of our meeting, so that
15
16
    you can provide your comment as the Commission has taken
   up the item?
17
             PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: Yes, ma'am.
18
                                                             Ι
19
   thought I was asked to speak now though.
20
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. My --
             PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: So no problem.
21
    I'll stand by.
2.2
23
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: My apologies.
                                                      That is
    the typical protocol, so that should be coming up --
24
25
             PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: Yes, it is.
```

```
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: -- not too -- not too
1
2
    distant. Thank you so much.
             PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: Understood.
 3
    Thank you.
 4
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Katie -- thank you.
5
   Katie, our next speaker, please.
6
             ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP:
7
8
   you, Madam Chair. Our next speaker is Marcia Hanscom.
9
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Hanscom.
             MARCIA HANSCOM: Yes, I have a PowerPoint, if
10
    that could be brought up, please.
11
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Do we have the
12
    capability to bring it up?
13
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Our colleague
14
15
   Kyle is managing the PowerPoint presentations virtually.
16
    So Kyle, if you could please bring up the PowerPoint
17
   presentation.
             MARCIA HANSCOM: I was told staff would have that
18
19
   available and ready.
20
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Is it ready to
   go?
21
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We are working on
2.2
   it.
23
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. We're in a
24
25
    special location today, so it's --
```

MARCIA HANSCOM: Yes, and one to celebrate.

2.2

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: It appears that we're having some technical difficulties with the PowerPoint presentation. So Marcia, my apologies for that.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: There it is. There it is.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Oh, there we go. Never mind.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Please proceed with your comment.

MARCIA HANSCOM: Great. Honorable commissioners, staff, Marcia Hanscom with Defend Ballona Wetlands. I -- you may or may not have heard that a judge has ruled that the California Environmental Quality Act certification for the proposed project at the Ballona Wetlands has been ruled to not be adequate and it is -- an injunction has been issued. And there are -- there will be more in the judgment later this month, but I just wanted to show you some photographs of the land that thankfully this judge has saved at least for now.

So could you go to the next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: All of these photos were taken in the area that's owned by the State Lands Commission.

This is a seasonal rain-fed marsh. It is not tidal. 1 2 Next slide, please --000--3 MARCIA HANSCOM: And then the next slide. --000--5 MARCIA HANSCOM: I'm not sure why we're going 6 7 backwards. 8 Okay. There we go 9 You can see these are sedges in the front of the 10 photograph, the foreground. These are -- those are fresh water, and in the background, non-tidal pickleweed marsh, 11 which is a brackish area. 12 Next slide, please. 13 --000--14 MARCIA HANSCOM: Next slide. 15 --000--16 MARCIA HANSCOM: You can see this from above. 17 This is again part of the 60 acres that are owned by you, 18 19 the State Lands Commission, as trustees for the public. 20 Next slide, please. --000--21 MARCIA HANSCOM: Now, we're losing the slides. 2.2 Ι hope I'm not losing my time. 23 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: No. Here we go. 24 MARCIA HANSCOM: Okay. Next slide, please. 25

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: And again, this is the water source for Ballona, rain, not seawater. And the new science that has not been paid attention to for the past 12 to 15 years by the State, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, is something I hope you will pay attention to. That this area was not open to the sea year-round. And the species that rely on this land need the fresh and brackish water not more tidal water.

Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: Again just what I -- just what I said, it's not all purely fresh water. There is -- there are alkali soils, but it's not tidal water either.

Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: And this winter, many, many, many different birds came and have been foraging and are still there.

Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: And instead of borings, which the Coastal Commission did approve in December, there was a missed opportunity for invertebrate zoologists and plant ecologists to ascertain what animals and plants are in the

water in order to understand the base of the food chain and the food web here.

Next slide, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: Here, a white-faced ibis is feeding, black-necked stilt is nesting, American avocets are feeding.

Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: And if not for the court ruling, these wetlands would be destroy -- would have been destroyed or very close to, because the fish and wildlife department was getting a permit from the Coastal Commission.

Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: Again, these are non-tidal, pickleweed habitat, alkali soils. These are the lands that you own.

Next slide, please.

--000--

MARCIA HANSCOM: So again, we want to say, please, don't bulldoze Ballona. This is a -- this is a thriving wetland. It is not dead and dying, which some at Fish and Wildlife unfortunately have asserted. The really good scientists at Fish and Wildlife were not even allowed to look at this project. This was only done by the Land Management Division of Fish and Wildlife. And that's been a problem.

The land needs better management, not an industrial alteration. And we would ask you, the State Lands Commission, to help us convene all of the stakeholders, not just the stakeholders that are given donations by SoCalGas and not SoCalGas, but have all of us there, so we can determine what's best together for this land.

Thank you.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much, Ms. Hanscom.

Katie, do we have the next speaker?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

21 Thank you, Madam Chair. Our next speaker is Robert Jan 22 van de Hoek.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. van de Hoek, are you there?

ROBERT VAN DE HOEK: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes, we can hear you now. Go ahead.

1.3

2.2

ROBERT VAN DE HOEK: Excellent. Honorable Madam Lieutenant Governor and Honorable Madam State Controller and staff of the Commission. My name is Robert Jan van de Hoek. And thank you to Katie for excellent pronouncing my name correctly. Thank you so much.

I would like to say a word first about the Mayor of Santa Barbara and a comment there. I was concerned that with the greeting and -- of your excellent -- Lieutenant Governor, excellent indigenous people's, California Native American, Indian tribal message from the Chumash people. Really well done and very well covered. And at the close of that, I thought maybe we might have a Chumash tribal leader representative say a few words, but we went right to the Mayor of Santa Barbara. And it was kind of a shock that it just switched over like that. And then the Mayor didn't say anything about the indigenous peoples and the Chumash there.

And then when I heard it mentioned that you were -- you, as Lieutenant Governor, were pleased to be on a field trip and be on her beach, their beach, the city's beach. It was really, if I'm not mistaken our beach, your beach as the State Lands Commission public land, not the city's land. They might manage it for the State under

your direction, but that's it.

2.2

I wanted to address the previous speaker, Marcia Hanscom, all the -- and her photos. All of her photos or slides were showing State Lands Commission land in the foreground and in the background. And it makes a difference when you see the animals there. I am a wildlife biologist, environmental scientist, oceanographer, marine biologist, and ornithologist too. And I just wanted to say that it makes a difference when you see the animals there. And those were all predator animals, birds that feed on animals in the food chain.

And I wanted to say that there was a Southern California Gas Company spill there a number of years ago that killed a lot of the life right in those same photographs that you saw there. There's been some healing and that has happened there.

And lastly, I only have 20 seconds left, but I would like to say something about that State Lands Commission is not having open meetings on their land there. There's a conservancy that was established and the public would like to be able to go to those meetings. One member of the public is allowed to go from a -- called the Friends of Ballona Wetlands. But the city council member goes, but the public is not -- those are public meetings and I'm hoping that you allow -- set it up or push for

```
the -- push for this -- for the public to be able to attend the regular meetings of this Ballona Conservancy. And you have assigned the State Department of Fish and Wildlife to have their representative there for you. But they're all meeting in secret and private and I don't think it's correct. And I think you can do something.
```

1.3

2.2

Thank you for the extra 30 seconds by the way. Have a great day.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Katie, our next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Our next speaker is Anna Christensen.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Christensen.

ANNA CHRISTENSEN: Sorry. I had too many windows open.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Please proceed.

ANNA CHRISTENSEN: I'm co-chair of the Los
Cerritos Wetlands Task Force of the Sierra Club. I'm also
the Executive Director of an organization called Puvungna
Wetlands Protectors, another name for the Los Cerritos
Wetlands is the Puvungna Wetlands.

I kind of -- you know that line what he said, what she said. This is in reference to what Marcia Hanscom said about the Ballona Wetlands, the same thing

applies to the Los Cerritos Wetlands. We are facing, in Los Cerritos Wetlands, another massive industrial level restoration project. Another State agency, the Los Cerritos Wetlands Authority, which is made up of two other State environmental agencies, the Coastal Conservancy and the Rivers and Mountains Conservancy.

2.2

Sierra Club opposed a massive expansion of oil drilling in the Los Cerritos Wetlands, which was approved due to a loophole in the Coastal Commission. And this restoration includes that project. It -- and -- as well as embracing three other oil companies operating on these lands. I was -- it was amazing to hear what happened in Goleta, because we could talk further under another agenda item about that, but you know Long Beach is not phasing out oil drilling. In fact, it's expanding oil drilling. And this is a perfect example of a massive expansion of oil drilling and unfortunately signed on to by a number of other State environmental agencies.

Now, the State Lands does have a property within these wetlands. It's not a huge parcel. We are grateful that the changes in the plan for the southern Los Cerritos Wetlands project, which is under the umbrella of a massive EIR, has changed and they won't be -- won't be trying to build a second visitors center and parking lot on this property.

However, we would -- we would ask State Lands to get involved, not only on its own property, but also in looking at how these things happen. How does it happen that an area that is so sacred to tribes, that it's been so disrespected in both Ballona where hundreds of burials were removed for the benefit of a proper -- private The same thing in Los Cerritos at Heron Pointe. And now we have these in-house committees, the very same people, actually COGs, creating these in-house tribal communities that are going up against the tribal leaders, like Anthony Morales, who have been fighting for most of his life to protect these lands. We'd ask you to question that not any disrespect to the tribal people involved, but honestly just the idea of in-house committees basically form, in my opinion, not so much out of respect, but out of an ability to talk back to the tribal people who have consistently stood up and fought to have less development and more respect for nature.

And again, in this case, less is more. We don't need more salt water. We don't need flooding here and we certainly don't need it in Ballona.

Thank you so much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much. Katie, next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Our

next speaker is Jane Velez-Mitchell.

1.3

2.2

JANE VELEZ-MITCHELL: Hi. Can you hear me? CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes, we can.

JANE VELEZ-MITCHELL: Thank you. Honorable members of the Commission. My name is Jane Velez-Mitchell. I am a journalist and the founder of the Unchained TV streaming television network who lives near the Ballona Wetlands.

And I see every day with my own eyes that the ecological reserve is thriving, not dead and dying as developers claim. I see the pelicans, I see the egrets - saw them this morning - the cormorants, the seals, and the many other animals who call this wetlands home and they are thriving. The 10-year bulldozing plan, falsely described as a restoration, is, in fact, a wholesale destruction of the wetlands using bulldozers for a decade at a cost of more than 200 million public dollars. Well, now the courts have agreed with what we bulldozing opponents have been saying for years.

This seriously flawed ballona bulldozing plan is so broken that a judge has now thrown out the Environmental Impact Report. In the words of the LA Times quote, "A CDFW plan to introduce tidal flows into the Ballona Creek Wetlands, has come to a screeching halt after a judge ruled recently that the agency's

Environmental Impact Report on the project failed to adequately account for flood risk," and I would add -- end quote -- in an area that is densely populated and on the edge of the Pacific Ocean.

1.3

2.2

The judge invalidated the EIR and halted all
State work at the reserve, also saying the plan didn't
have specific enough performance criteria for preserving
wildlife. In people terms, the plan does not account for
the one million wild animals who would be wiped out by a
decade of bulldozing, animals with nowhere else to go, at
a time where we are begging other nations to stop
bulldozing their wildlife habitats, because we are in the
midst of 6th Mass Extinction and we should be doing
everything to preserve the homes of these animals, not
destroy them. We really need to question this deeply
flawed and morally bankrupt plan. There is no way to cage
and relocate a million wild animals and there is nowhere
to put them.

Another opponent of this plan, Academy Award nominated actor James Cromwell recently declared we will win, as he spoke to hundreds of animal lovers, environmentalists, and community leaders gathered in Venice to oppose this plan. You may not be aware of the just intense opposition to this plan amongst the people who actually live in this area. We know that half a

```
million dollars is being spent to try to convince indigenous and BIPOC residents to side with the bulldozing plan, but BIPOC and indigenous community leaders recently held a new conference saying don't destroy these wetlands in our name. We want public access to nature. There are trails, and seats, and bike paths already there. We do not need a Disneyland Park. We already have a Disneyland.
```

Let's face it, this ruling is a great opportunity for everyone to rethink this seriously flawed and dangerous plan. We can come up with a compassionate solution. It's the 21st century not the 19th century.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much for your comments.

Katie, the next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

Thank you. Our next speaker is Chris K.

2.2

17 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Chris K., please 18 proceed.

CHRIS K.: Hello. Thank you. I -- can you hear me? Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes.

CHRIS K.: I don't if anyone has mentioned, but this is regarding the Ballona Wetlands in Southern California, one of the last Los Angeles wetlands around. And folks need to know that one of the biggest threat of

these wetlands right now is the presence of underground methane gas storage facility.

2.2

I mean, I would love to see a show of hands that who even knows about this. We've been trying to tell all the appropriate authorities about this and the dangers of this. It includes more than 200 toxic chemicals from operating all these extractions of gas, and these old pipelines. And, you know, we're supposed to be getting out and phasing out of gas products.

So can someone please answer why are we keeping that there? Why are we not closing it down? And it seems to -- the majority of thou -- tens and thousands of people who have signed the, you know, petition to close down the gas tanks, that it seems like this so-called restoration, which after we read the details of how that would be conducted, is literally bulldozing. They are lifting up 200 -- over 200 million cubic yards of soil and ground and removing it. What else do you call that?

By calling it restoration is just really disingenuous and very deceptive honestly. And, yeah, they're digging it up, so it makes it easier to access these fossil fuel infrastructures and storage fields. So it looks to the public that the biggest beneficiary of all this bulldozing is SoCalGas.

I mean, can anyone make an argument otherwise?

we're trying to give a comment, but we get no feedback on what everybody on the boards, on the commissions, what you all really think. So we would love to get an answer.

Doesn't it look like it's benefiting someone and it's not the wildlife there. It's not the community, because we're all exposed to potential methane gas explosion. So why is

For a few years now, we've been on these calls and we --

2.2

And thank goodness that a very experienced judge on CEQA matters decided that, and ruled, that the CDFW failed to meet numerous important requirements, such as the flood analysis. It failed. And it failed to address how one million wildlife will be taken care of, addressed, and how to deal with them. These are major failures.

this even happening? Why are we even talking about this?

And as a citizen, as someone who lives by the wetlands, we absolutely do not understand how all these agencies are supporting this restoration so-called bulldozing. So we need you all to please listen and use the 20 point gentle plan instead.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Katie, next speaker, please. And again, just to remind everyone that we are hearing comments about items that are not on the agenda. And for us to have a conversation about issues that come up in public comment, it has to be done when those items are scheduled for a discussion, but it's obviously

beneficial always to hear from the public.

1.3

2.2

So the next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Our next speaker is Russel Little Hollywood.

RUSSELL MARINE: Hello. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes.

RUSSELL MARINE: Yes. Hi. Russell Marine from Little Hollywood.

Thank you for this opportunity today and thank you, commissioners. And a special shout-out to my former Supervisor, Commissioner Malia Cohen. I'm glad that you're on this Commission.

I just want to say -- talk briefly about the Candlestick Point State Recreational Area. I believe you had a topic maybe an update on this in your closed session. So hopefully you know what's going on with the vehicle triage center at this location.

At some point, the City of San Francisco is going to ask for an extension. If they haven't already asked for it, I believe they talked to staff for a continued use of the vehicle triage center in this public park. And before you make that decision, I'm just hoping that you and your staff take the time to listen and to understand the concerns and the opposition to it continuation of this

use within the public park.

2.2

I initially wasn't in favor of this use, and it was really not because of the homeless issue. It was just -- it's in a public park and there's no place else in San Francisco that you're going to put this type of use in a public park. So I don't think it was a good decision to put this in that particular park. If the vote was today, I would ask you not to make the -- not to extend it. I know the vote is in the future.

So I guess my ask today is that before we get to that vote, before you get to that vote, just take some time to understand that concerns of the community, the concerns of what's worked and what didn't work. A lot of what the City promised hasn't worked. Feeling that it should continue, that's a decision I think it needs a lot more data points.

So I just wanted to be brief, wanted to say hopefully this will be on your radar in the future. Hopefully, there will be an opportunity for community members to have more discussions and you can hear the pros and cons of it, at some point, before the decision is made to continue the use of the vehicle triage center at Candlestick Point State Recreational Area. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

Katie, next speaker, please.

1.3

2.2

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

At this time, we have no other hands raised to provide public comment.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. So thank you, Katie. That concludes our public comment period.

The next item of business -- actually, before I go into the adoption of minutes, I do just want to note that we're going to have a slight change to the order of the items. We will take up Item 89 after Item 90. So we will take up Item 90 before Item 89. So just for everyone's awareness, we will switch the order of those two items.

Okay. So the next item of business will be the adoption of the minutes from the Commission's meeting of April 7th, 2023. May I have a motion to approve the minutes and a second?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Chair --

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Oh, your report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- I do need to remove some items.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Sorry.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I actually plan to trail my Executive Officer's report, so we can get to Item

90.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Got it. Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: But I do need to remove some items from the agenda before you vote on the consent agenda.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Ms. Lucchesi.

and 73 are removed from the agenda and will be considered at a later time. Consent Item 87 is moved from consent to the regular agenda and will be taken up after we consider 90 and 89, because we do have a couple of members of the public that would like to speak on that item.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. So the minutes of the last meeting. Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I'll make a motion to accept the minutes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: We have a motion by Commissioner Cohen.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: And I'll second, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Second from Commissioner Miller.

Ms. Lucchesi, will you please conduct a roll call vote?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Cohen?

```
COMMISSION COHEN:
                                Aye.
1
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Miller?
2
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER:
                                          Aye.
 3
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Chair Kounalakis?
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ave.
 5
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The motion passes
 6
7
    unanimously.
8
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. So before we
9
   proceed with the Executive Officer's report, we will adopt
    the consent calendar, is that right and then --
10
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. And I screwed
11
    up. I am so sorry. I thought in the beginning you were
12
   moving straight to the consent agenda --
1.3
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay.
14
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- that's why I
15
16
   removed those.
             We adopted the minutes. I will trail my
17
   Executive Officer's report till the end and then we are
18
    now at the consent agenda. I apologize.
19
20
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: That's all right.
    the next order of business will be adoption of the consent
21
2.2
    calendar with the modifications that Ms. Lucchesi just
23
   made. Do we have a motion and a second?
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'll move.
24
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Commissioner Cohen and
```

25

Commissioner Miller, first, are there any items you would like removed from the consent calendar?

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I don't have any items to remove.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: And I don't either. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. So is there anyone joining us who wishes to speak on any item remaining on the consent calendar?

Okay. So hearing none, we will now proceed with the vote. May I have a -- oh, but I will -- a few complications of this meeting.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Right.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: So we will vote on the consent calendar in two separate actions, because I will be abstaining from voting on consent agenda Item number 8. So may I have a motion to adopt the consent agenda, with the exception of Item 8, and the items removed I described -- as described by Ms. Lucchesi, and a second.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'm happy to move approval with the exception of Item 8.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: And a second.

Okay. So we have a motion from Commissioner
Miller, a second from Commissioner Cohen. Ms. Lucchesi,

```
please conduct a roll call vote.
1
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Certainly.
2
             Commissioner Cohen?
 3
             COMMISSIONER COHEN:
                                  Aye.
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI:
                                          Commissioner Miller?
 5
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER:
                                          Aye.
 6
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI:
                                          Chair Kounalakis?
7
8
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Aye.
9
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Oh, abstain.
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: No, because that --
10
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Oh, because you're
11
   going to abstain on the next one.
12
1.3
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yeah. May I have a
   motion --
14
             (Laughter).
15
16
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I have to pull
17
   myself together here. I'm so sorry.
             The motion passes unanimously.
18
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: May I now have a motion
19
20
   to adopt consent item number 8?
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I will move approval
21
   to adopt consent item number 8.
2.2
23
             COMMISSIONER COHEN: Second.
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: We have a motion from
24
    Commissioner Miller, a second from Commissioner Cohen.
25
```

Ms. Lucchesi, please call the roll. 1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Cohen? 2 COMMISSIONER COHEN: Aye. 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Miller? ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: 5 Aye. EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Chair Kounalakis? 6 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Abstain. 7 8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The motion passes 9 two to zero with one abstention. CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. 10 The next order of business will be the regular 11 calendar and we will move directly to Item 90. Item 90 is 12 to consider an amendment of an existing lease associated 1.3 with the Diablo Canyon Power Plant to extend the lease 14 term an additional five years. 15 16 May we please have the presentation. (Thereupon a slide presentation). 17 PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: 18 19 afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Drew Simpkin and I 20 will give a -- be giving staff's presentation on Agenda Item 90. 21 And we do have a presentation. 2.2 23 Perfect. Next slide, please. 24 25 --000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: The Diablo Canyon Power Plant is located west of the community of Avila Beach in San Luis Obispo County.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, or PG&E, owns and operates the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, also known as Diablo Canyon, California's last operating nuclear power plant. PG&E completed construction of the 2,240 megawatt Diablo Canyon in 1973. Diablo Canyon contains two reactors that have been operating since 1985, Unit 1 and 1986 Unit 2.

The units are currently licensed by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or NRC, to operate until November 2nd, 2024 for Unit 1, and August 26th, 2025 for Unit 2.

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: On June 28th, 2016, the Commission authorized the issuance of a general lease to PG&E for use of the existing cooling water discharge channel, water intake structure, breakwaters, and associated infrastructure at Diablo Canyon. On April 29th, 2020, the Commission authorized an

amendment of the lease to change the deadline by which PG&E must submit a proposed restoration plan for the leased premises from August 26th, 2020 to August 26th, 2023. The current lease will expire on August 26th, 2025.

Pursuant to Senate Bill 846, which will be discussed momentarily, PG&E has submitted an application requesting a five-year lease term extension for continued use of the structures on State Lands associated with Diablo Canyon.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: On September 2nd, 2022, Governor Gavin Newsom signed Senate Bill 846. This Bill authorizes the extension of Diablo Canyon operations up to five additional years beyond the current NRC license dates for Units 1 and 2, no later than 2029 and 2030 under specified conditions.

The bill also authorizes a loan of 1.4 billion from the State to PG&E to facilitate the Diablo Canyon's operational extension. The bill provides expedited permitting to facilitate relicensing, including limiting State agency review of applications related to the extension to 180 days and exempting Diablo Canyon from the California Environmental Quality At. SB 846 also addressed seismic safety of the proposed extension, which

will be discussed later in the staff presentation.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: As required by SB 846, the California Public Utilities

Commission, or CPUC, began a new rule making to implement the new law in January 2023. The CPUC is expected to establish new retirement dates for Diablo Canyon in December of this year. Under SB 846, the California

Natural Resources Agency plays a coordinating role among several State agencies, including the California Coastal Commission, the State Lands Commission, the State Water Resources Control Board, regional water quality control boards, and the State Air Resources Board.

As required by the legislation, it prepared a detailed description and plan of actions needed to extend operations, held a public informational listening session in San Luis Obispo in January 2023, and recently issued a land conservation and economic plan consistent with decommissioning efforts.

The California Coastal Commission is anticipated to act in 2024 on the Coastal Zone Management Act consistency determination. The Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board is anticipated to act in 2026 on the revised National Pollutant Discharge Elimination

System permit application. The State Lands Commission's consideration of the proposed lease amendment is occurring now relatively early in the process, because site control is required for other necessary permits and approvals needed for extension of operations.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN:

Diablo Canyon utilizes a once-through cooling system where operations -- where seawater is drawn from the Pacific Ocean through the shoreline intake structure, and is used to cool plant components. A seawater reverse osmosis treatment system provides the majority of fresh water for Diablo Canyon's primary and secondary systems, fire protection system, and drinking water. Seawater is then released back to the Pacific Ocean at the discharge structure.

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: The Water Board adopted a once-through cooling policy, which specifies State policies with respect to water quality as it relates to coastal marine environment. The policy requires new or expanded coastal power plants in other industrial installations using seawater for cooling,

heating, or industrial processing to use the best available site, design, technology, and mitigation measures feasible and minimize the intake and mortality of all forms of marine life.

SB 846 specifies that the final compliance date for the OTC policy for Diablo Canyon is October 31st, 2030. Following a March 7th 2023 public hearing, the Water Board stated that the once-through cooling compliance date for Diablo Canyon is extended to 2030 and is treated as a change made by the Legislature, SB 846, with no decision or action to be made by the Water Board.

Next slide, please.

2.2

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN:

--000--

Diablo Canyon's[SIC] currently provides approximately 17 percent of California's zero carbon electricity supply and approximately eight and a half percent of California's total electricity supply.

Preserving the option of continued operations of Diablo Canyon for an additional five years beyond 2025 may be necessary to ensure statewide energy system reliability as we transition to a more renewable energy future.

An Extension of Diablo Canyon operations is intended to reduce the risk of brownouts during high demand periods, reduce emissions of greenhouse gases,

while additional renewable energy and zero carbon resources come online, and bridge the energy gap, and smooth the transition, until new renewable energy and zero carbon resources are adequate to meet demand.

Next slide, please.

--000--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: March 2023, the California Energy Commission, or CEC, released an energy forecast analysis on the potential impacts of extending or not extending Diablo Canyon operations beyond 2025. The CEC assessment concluded it is prudent for the State to pursue extension of Diablo Canyon. The extended operation of Diablo Canyon through 2030 will allow PG&E to continue contributing zero carbon electricity to California's total electricity supply. CPUC may ultimately issue an order that reestablishes the current retirements dates of 2024 and 2025, as Diablo Canyon retirement date or that establishes new retirement dates if the CPUC determines that new renewable energy and zero carbon resources that are adequate to substitute for Diablo Canyon and that have met the State's planning standards for energy reliability have already been constructed and interconnected by the time of its decision in December of this year.

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: The Central Coast ranges are a product of tectonic forces, including folding, faulting, and uplift that continue to influence the geologic and topographic features of the reason -- of the region. No active faults or alquist priolo zoned faults cross or are in the vicinity of Diablo Canyon. The offshore shoreline fault is the closest fault to Diablo Canyon site. However, little is known about the activity of this fault, while numerous earthquakes of up to magnitude 4.0 commonly occur throughout the region, larger earthquakes are somewhat rare.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN:

Landslides triggered by earthquakes have been a significant cause of regional earthquake damage. Diablo Canyon is located within an area of moderate to steep slopes, and landslides have been mapped throughout the hills over time.

An assessment of seismic slope stability was conducted for numerous areas throughout Diablo Canyon to determine where landslides could impact key structures. The assessment revealed that while small slumps, mudslides, and rock topples may occur in an earthquake,

none of the Diablo Canyon structures or facilities would be negatively impacted by these slope failures.

1.3

2.2

SB 864 requires PG&E to conduct an updated seismic assessment. The proposed lease amendment includes a provision allowing the Commission to direct PG&E to provide to Commission staff a written analysis of preventative actions, if any, to remediate any newly identified seismic vulnerabilities of the facilities within the leased premises.

The Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee is a three-person committee charged with reviewing and making recommendations concerning the safety of operations at Diablo Canyon. SB 846 requires the Safety Committee to review the seismic safety of Diablo Canyon facilities and to provide an updated studies related to earthquake faults near the plant. The Committee's next public meeting will be June 28th through 29th, 2023.

Additionally, the Safety Committee must consult with the Diablo Canyon Independent Peer Review Panel, which is overseen by the CPUC about the safety of Diablo Canyon operations during the current NRC license period and safety-related issues associated with continued operations.

Under SB 846, the CPUC may determine that the upgrades necessary to address seismic safety or the NRC's

conditions of license renewal require expenditures that are too high to justify incurring. If so, the CPUC may issue a new order that reestablishes the current expiration dates or establish new retirement dates that are earlier than the proposed 2029 and 2030.

NRC also has specific requirements for the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants to ensure they can withstand an earthquake.

Next slide, please.

2.2

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: In keeping with the Commission's Strategic Plan,
Environmental Justice Policy, and Tribal Consultation
Policy, which stress the importance of early, frequent,
and meaningful engagement with tribal governments,
Commission staff reached out to geographically and
culturally affiliated tribes in April of 2023. The Native
American Heritage Commission provided a sacred lands file
search with negative results and the negative American -a Native American contact list on March 7th, 2023. The
Commission's Tribal Liaison sent letters to notify the
tribes of the proposed lease amendment on April 14th,
2023.

Next slide, please.

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: Staff received comments and correspondence from the tribes listed on this slide.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: In accordance with SB 846, on January 19th, 2023, the CNRA sent tribal consultation emails to geographically and culturally affiliated tribes. As part of that effort, Commission staff participated in a joint tribal consultation meeting on February 1st, 2023 with CNRA and the California Coastal Commission. These consultation meetings are an ongoing effort and Commission staff will continue coordinating with CNRA and other partner agencies.

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN:

Consistent with the Commission's Environmental Justice Policy, and as part of an environmental justice outreach and engagement effort, staff sent emails to environmental justice organizations in San Luis Obispo County providing notification of the proposed lease amendment and requesting input. The emails included a brief description of the lease amendment and conveyed a

desire to learn from the perspective of the local community. Commission staff sent follow-up emails and phone calls to the environmental justice organizations. However, staff did not receive any feedback from the organizations it reached out to. Ongoing activities at Diablo Canyon are not anticipated to affect environmental justice communities.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: In 2021, PG&E filed a land use permit application and additional informational supplements to the County of San Luis Obispo for the planned Diablo Canyon decommissioning. The county is the lead agency under CEQA for the preparation and review of the Environmental Impact Report for the Diablo Canyon decommissioning project. In October, 2021, the County filed a Notice of Preparation and Notice of Scoping Meeting for the preparation of the EIR. Commission staff have been closely involved with the County and other State agencies during the Draft EIR process. The County is anticipated to release -- to -- anticipate its release of the Draft EIR for public review in 2023.

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN:

Commission staff has received public comments regarding radiation remediation standards to be required after termination of the plant's NRC Part 50 operating license. Consistent with its role as trustee of the State's sovereign lands and as a CEQA responsible agency on the upcoming EIR for plant decommissioning, the Commission is working to better understand and explore the NRC standard and any proposed variation to it. Future decommissioning activities are subject to additional Commission consideration and authorization at a publicly noticed meeting.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: The facilities at Diablo Canyon have existed for nearly 50 years. The intake structure, discharge channel, and other infrastructure on State Lands directly support operations at Diablo Canyon. If the conditions of SB 846 are met and Diablo Canyon continues operations beyond 2025, the facilities will continue to provide a critical public service of supplying approximately eight and a half percent of California's electricity generation.

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: The proposed lease amendment contains new lease provisions that provide for the Commission's continuing supervisory control over the Public Trust lands leased to PG&E.

Additionally, the existing bond has been increased from one million to 70 million dollars to adequately cover the cost of removing the authorized improvements. The proposed lease term extension is limited to five years, expiring on October 31st, 2030, does not grant -- does not alienate the State's fee simple interest, and does not grant PG&E exclusive rights to the leased premises. The lease requires PG&E to insure and indemnify the State for any liability incurred as a result of their activities.

The lease also requires the payment of annual rent.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: Staff recommends that the Commission authorize the amendment of lease number 9347, a general lease industrial use, effective June 5th, 2023 to extend the lease term to October 31st, 2030, amend the bond or other security to \$70 million, and modify the lease special provisions substantially in the form described in the proposed amendment portion of this staff report. All other terms and conditions of the lease will remain in effect without

amendment.

1.3

2.2

Next slide, please.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST SIMPKIN: And that concludes staff's presentation. Staff are available to answer questions and representatives from PG&E are also available.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thank you very much for that excellent presentation.

I'd like turn first to Commissioner Cohen with any questions or comments.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Thank you. I was wondering the representatives from PG&E, are they here or are they online?

Oh, there you guys are. Hi. Nice to see you. I just wanted to know.

I have no -- I really have no questions. I feel like I've very been very well briefed on this topic. To the staff, thank you for the thorough presentation. And Ms. Lucchesi, I just want to thank you and your -- you guys just did a really great job of just demystifying the issues that are around Item 90.

So Madam Chair, I don't have any questions. I'm ready to go when you are.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Commissioner Miller.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: No questions, Madam Chair. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: So maybe actually I think it's worth recognizing what a remarkable turn of events has happened relative to Diablo Canyon. Biden administration went into office, they recognized that the -- while the commitment to transitioning to a clean energy, renewable future for the United States is more resolute than ever, and certainly here in California, we are determined to meet our 2045 goals, the reality is that if eight and a half percent of the electricity for the state, powering the state, is about to come offline in two years when this closes, and this question of whether or not we were ready for that and the likelihood that we would actually have to make up for this with fossil fuels, that the very difficult but necessary task of looking at Diablo Canyon and assessing whether or not it could be extended and kept online for another five years became front and center of the question of our transition.

And I will tell you at the beginning of this -- and I had a meeting with Secretary Granholm, when she first asked what I thought the possibility was, I was -- I was skeptical. It took a lot of time, and effort, and public engagement to get to the point that we could begin the process to close down Diablo Canyon. But under the

circumstances, and I think it's part of the reason why we have not heard voices in opposition, though I know we do have some public speakers on this, is because it's been recognized as the right thing to do.

1.3

2.2

And so I want to thank the staff of the State
Lands Commission. I want to thank the -- all the members
of the Legislature, certainly the leadership of the
Governor, and the partnership with the federal government,
and the work of PG&E that operates this facility to be
able to come up with a plan. And I also want to recognize
the very significant changes that were agreed upon by PG&E
including increasing the bond 70 times, as well as the
additional work that needs to be done to analyzing the
seismic issues, and the fact that this is not a decision
that's been taken lightly or one that does not include
additional analysis and work in a changed environment in
order to be able to keep it open for another five years.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: May I make additional comments?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes. And then after -I will turn it back over to Commissioner Cohen and then we
will go -- we'll proceed to public comments as well.

Commissioner Cohen.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: You know, I can wait till public -- after public comment.

1 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. All right.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: No problem.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. All right, then Katie do we have speakers who would like to address this item?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm just checking that there's no one in person who wants to provide a comment first?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Oh, we do have one person.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you.

MARK KRAUSSE: Madam Chair and Commissioners,
Mark Krausse with Pacific Gas and Electric. Just wanted
to express our strong support for the staff report and
thank them for their hard work. I know there were a
couple of last minute change-ups late last week that we
worked through and very pleased with that. We've got Mr.
Tom Jones, our senior director for environmental and
regulatory at Diablo Canyon.

And I will pont out that 70 times increase was in part due to his team's work, because of course they've been working on decommissioning. So all those estimates of what it would require to remove that material was already there. So if we -- if you have any questions,

we're both here to answer.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

Katie, anyone who would like to address us virtually?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair. Our first public speaker is Mayor Pro Tem John Hamon.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mayor Pro Tem, thank you for your patience and if you can hear us, please proceed.

PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: Okay. We'll try this one more time. Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes.

PASO ROBLES MAYOR PRO TEM HAMON: All right.

Thank you, Madam Chair and Honorable members of State

Lands Commission. Again, I'm John Hamon, Mayor Pro Tem,

speaking on behalf of our city council and all the Roblans
in Paso Robles. We bring you greetings.

Over the past several years, our city has worked very closely with regional stakeholders to ensure that the substantial actions related to Diablo Canyon operations would pro -- prioritize the safety, health, and economic security of our community. These are -- our objectives still are very relevant with the City of Paso Robles and we support PG&E's request for authorization to relicense California's very important power generator at Diablo

Canyon.

1.3

2.2

Changes to operational status of Diablo Canyon have reverberated impacts with the city, county, school districts, private businesses, and community organizations. We encourage the California State Land Commission and all other regulatory entities to recognize the magnitude that future decisions on Diable Canyon will have on local communities. I request that your honorable Board provide the necessary support to PG&E on their relicensing efforts in allowing them to continue to -- critical role in supplying energy to the state of California.

And that concludes my statement, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Katie, is there anyone else who would like to address this item?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. Next, we have Supervisor Ortiz-Legg.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Supervisor, please proceed.

SAN LUIS OBISPO SUPERVISOR ORTIZ-LEGG: Thank you. Good afternoon, Honorable Lands Commission, Madam Lieutenant Governor. We're very happy to see the

presentation. I'm assuming you can -- oh, can you hear
me?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes.

1.3

2.2

SAN LUIS OBISPO SUPERVISOR ORTIZ-LEGG: Okay. Good, because I keep getting the panelist message here. Thank you so much.

So I am Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg from District 3 in San Luis Obispo County. And 6th of December 2020, I have serviced as the county supervisor representing the Diablo Canyon region and our office has ongoing interaction such as monitoring agency regulatory reports, meeting monthly with PG&E's Diablo's leadership team, site visits, and responding to constituents' requests for specific information and plant updates.

The safety oversight of Diablo Canyon by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is well documented and accessible to all. It demonstrates the highest standard of redundancy, inspections, and oversight to ensure safe operations. Regarding your agency's oversight, PG&E has demonstrated excellent land stewardship, which includes the monitoring of intake and outtake structures. While every form of power has environmental impacts, the permit exemption requested for extended operations must be compared to the potential of replacing Diablo Canyon with fossil fuel-based power generation. And the amount of

power needed can be compared to dumping 60 tons of greenhouse gas into the atmosphere.

1.3

2.2

It is clear when nuclear plants go offline, greenhouse gas emissions rise to fill the void. And we all love our ocean and we must realistically review what this could mean for our beloved sea as well as its species.

In March of 2020, the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors submitted a letter of request to Governor Gavin Newsom requesting assistance for Diablo Canyon's extension of operations. I've submitted that letter to your staff. For many residents of SLO County and many in Santa Barbara County, the potential of continued op -- plant operations is a welcome relief regarding the 1,200 head of household jobs, hundreds of related subcontractor opportunities, and the many other economic benefits that Mayor Pro Tem Hamon highlighted.

And lastly, Diablo Canyon's 24/7 operations safely generating carbon-free electricity supplying nearly 10 percent of California's power produced on less than 600 acres of land is notable and it's a huge point of pride for our county. I also would like to add that I thought staff's overview on the overall energy portfolio for the State and why we're having these conversations now was well done and very much appreciated.

So thank you for your review and efforts on behalf of our State and our county. And I bid you a good day.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

Katie, next speaker.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Andy Caldwell.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. Caldwell. If you can hear us, please proceed.

ANDY CALDWELL: Yes. My name is Andy Caldwell, Madam Lieutenant Governor and members of the Commission. I represent the Coalition of Labor, Agriculture, and Business of Santa Barbara County. And I'm also here today representing the Coalition of Labor, Agriculture, and Businesses of San Luis Obispo County. Together, we have a about 2,000 members, including ag, labor unions, and business members representing tens of thousands of employees.

We urge you to do what you need to do to comply with SB 846 and extend Diablo for another five years. We literally cannot do without this power. And also, Diablo has a ginormous effect on our local economy. Its payroll by itself is a quarter of a billion dollars a year. The multiplier effect in all that is at least a billion

55

```
dollars a year. And we support the continued operation
1
    and we're grateful that the Governor and the State
2
    Legislature has enacted this bill to extend its life,
3
    because we literally cannot live without its power.
 4
             Thank you very much.
5
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.
 6
7
             Katie, next speaker, please.
8
             ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP:
    you. Our next public speaker is Robert Jan van de Hoek.
9
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. van de Hoek.
10
             Mr. van de Hoek, please proceed.
11
             Katie, I think he spoke earlier, so why don't you
12
    go to the next speaker and if he comes back, we'll give
13
    him the floor.
14
             ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP:
15
16
    Yes. Our next public speaker is John Geesman.
17
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. Geesman, please
   proceed.
18
             Mr. Geesman, if you can hear us.
19
             ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP:
20
    sorry. It looks like Mr. Geesman is not online currently,
21
    so then we'll move on to the next one until --
2.2
23
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS:
                                       Okay.
             ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP:
24
25
    comes online.
```

Our next public speaker is Myla Reson.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: If you can hear us, please proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MYLA RESON: Oh, thank you. This is Myla Reson. I'm calling from Southern California where I live downwind from Diablo. If all of your predictions about safety are not borne out, a radioactive plume will reach the greater Los Angeles area within about five minutes. When Diablo was first proposed PG&E claimed that were no earthquake faults within 40 miles of the plant. Since then, numerous faults, interlocking faults have been discovered. closest to the plant, the Shoreline Fault, was mapped around 2011. At that time, the senior resident inspector for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission stationed at Diablo Canyon said the plant was simply not designed to withstand a credible -- a credible earthquake, and that that could trigger a catastrophic release of radiation that would endanger most life in Southern California.

If Dr. Peck was right, perhaps the best thing to do would be to shut it down. Dr. Peck said that PG&E should shut the plant until they could prove that the plant could withstand the kind of quake that might happen, that big quake that's been predicted for a long time.

I'd like to know why PG&E is applying for a 20-year extension and that you're all talking about a

five-year extension. Commissioner Ortiz claimed that Diablo's carbon free. That's simply not true. Dr. Professor Mark Jacobson from Stanford has set -- has mapped out a way that we can have zero emissions -- zero carbon without nuclear and without fossil fuel. It's a -- we don't have to pick our poison. We don't have to choose between Diablo and the risk of a catastrophic nuclear meltdown, and using coal or fossil fuel. It's just a lie.

I really urge you to rethink this. I know you've got a lot of people that are claiming that Diablo is safe. But why risk it when we can do so much better with renewable solar, wind, and batteries, and tidal energy. I hope that you will reconsider and ask PG&E why they're applying for a 20-year extension. They could -- they can apply for a five-year extension, if they're really serious about that time.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Lucchesi, do you want to clarify the five versus 20?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Certainly. So the application from PG&E to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is for 20 years, because that is the time frame that the NRC looks at for unit relicensing. We, as the State Lands Commission, are bound and limited to a maximum of extension of five years, based on SB 846.

1 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Thank you.
2 Katie, next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you. We'll go back to Mr. van de Hoek. I believe he's able to unmute himself now.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. van de Hoek, please proceed.

Mr. van de Hoek, if you can hear us, please unmute yourself.

Katie.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

2.2

23

24

25

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you. Yes, it shows that he's unmuted, but perhaps he's having some technical issues. So maybe he can try to rejoin and then we can go back to him.

15 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Are there any 16 other speakers?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

18 Our next public commenter is John Geesman.

19 CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. Geesman, please 20 proceed.

JOHN GEESMAN: Yes. Are you able to hear me? CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes.

JOHN GEESMAN: Thank you very much. John Geesman. I'm an attorney for the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility. We were a signatory to PG&E's joint

proposal to retire the plant at the expiration of its current licenses. I would salute the prior speaker who pointed out PG&E's bait-and-switch with respect to five versus 20 years. There is precedent at the NRC for a five year extension. It's called Indian Point Plant in New York.

2.2

The State Legislature in SB 846 said five years. The Public Utilities Commission in decision 22-11-015 said five years. PG&E has preferred to proceed with a 20-year application, because they're able to get away with it. No other reason.

I will say that it would be unwise for the Commission to get too far out in front of the evaluations being performed now by the Public Utilities Commission and the State Energy Commission, and the California ISO about the potential availability of non-fossil alternatives to a license extension. Those judgments have not been made yet. They will be at least conditionally made by the end of the year. And I would think it would be prudent behavior on the part of any State agency to wait until that evidence is in before pronouncing support for the extension.

That said, my client does, in fact, support your staff recommendation in terms of extending the lease for the important reason that it contains language recognizing

that the seismic update should address plant reliability concerns as well as safety. The State is putting nearly one and a half billion dollars of taxpayer money into this plant extension. And taxpayers have a right to know whether or not there are prudent investments that can be made to engineer the facility to be seismically resilient, not just for safety reasons, but to assure the plant's continued operation. The NRC's focus is safety, pure and simple, whether the plant can safely shut down. The State's interest as a lender is whether the plant can restart after an earthquake. Your staff recommendation wisely takes that into account and we are supportive of it for that reason.

And I thank you very much.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

Katie, are we able to hear from Mr. van de Hoek?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Mr. van

18 de Hoek, you should be able to unmute yourself.

ROBERT VAN DE HOEK: Hello. My name is Robert Jan van de Hoek. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes, we can. Please proceed.

ROBERT VAN DE HOEK: Thank you, Madam Lieutenant Governor and Chair, and thank you also, Madam State Controller for the opportunity to speak.

I'm going to start as a environmental scientist and then switch to my other academic background where I worked professionally in the federal government for more than 10 years as an -- as a cultural resource manager and archeologist who had biological experience.

1.3

2.2

It's been my experiences when discussing items with the Paiute culture, Modoc culture, Pit River Ajumawi culture in northeastern California and here in Southern California, when I've worked with Chumash on the Carrizo Plain is that emails don't work and that's what I've heard you've done in reaching out to the indigenous peoples and the four tribal groups that you mentioned in the presentation. That was not adequate. You need to have gone personally to the tribal leaders and asked to be invited to come to them to speak. You need to do this sensitively. It kind of makes sense when I see the Executive Director of PG&E come up to speak and it's an Anglo European white male individual, it really makes sense what's going on here.

And I don't think that there is a genuine effort really being done. And this is traditional within the federal government and the State government to not genuinely reach out to the indigenous peoples. Although, Lieutenant Governor, you were really excellent in reading a really good statement at the very beginning of the

meeting that the indigenous peoples are inclusive and to be -- and that you know and recognize that you're on their land that they've lived for many, many generations. It's really well stated by you, yet I do not think you have really done the right. And I think for that reason, you have violated CEQA and another -- because under environment includes a cultural environment too.

And I know. I'm a CEQA expert, I -- you know, in Environmental Impact Reports. And all that's involved and also at the federal government with NEPA, National Environmental Policy Act. I can see that things aren't going well. In terms of the biology and physical science, soon as I heard the word "osmosis" being mentioned, I realized there was an alteration of seawater. And we all know a great amount of death is happening and impacts are happening to the marine environment. And so much spin was given. And when Secretary Granholm was mentioned, I know she comes from the industry of pro-nuclear. So everything is kind of disinformation and misinformation that's been given to you as the elected voted members of the Commission.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Katie, do we have any other speakers?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

Thank you, Madam Chair. Our next public speaker is Ann Cantrell.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Cantrell. Please proceed.

ANN CANTRELL: Good afternoon. Ann Cantrell. Ι live in Long Beach and so I'm not really that familiar with Diablo, but I do know that our nuclear plant in -- at San Onofre was able to just be discommissioned[SIC] in the allowed allotted time. And so I'm asking you not to give any further time to PG&E for Diablo. As was just mentioned, the warming of the waters from the nuclear plant are killing marine life around there. It's warming the ocean, not to mention the fact that this is now known to be on an earthquake -- on numerous earthquake faults. And instead of spending millions of taxpayer's dollars --I understand it's billions of taxpayer money to make this plant, so it is earthquake proof, I would suggest that solar panels be put on every building surrounding the plant in all the towns, every house have a solar panel, and we won't have to use nuclear or fossil fuels for our energy.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

Katie, do we have any other members of the public who would like to address us?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

Thank you, Madam Chair. We have about four more people on the list. Our next public speaker is Howard Stern -- or, sorry, Hunter Stern.

(Laughter).

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Mr. Stern please proceed.

Madam Lieutenant Governor and State Lands Commission. My name is Hunter Stern. I'm with IBEW Local 1245. On behalf of our 27,000 members and 500 plus members who work at Diablo Canyon, we strongly urge you to approve this application. We are obviously very interested in the plant and the operation — continued operation of the plant, but not just on behalf of our membership that works there and the community that it serves, but also on behalf of all of our members and the reliability of the State electric grid. We are at a very, very dangerous point, both now and the near term with a lack of supply.

Diablo Canyon is an essential part as the staff report indicated, and we very much need these resources, particularly non-GHG emitting resources. So we do strongly support this step.

I would also point out that 1245 was among the parties who signed the agreement that was referred to

earlier. In our view, the agreement was never fully honored and it was also a fundamental -- it was more of an understanding between the parties and a fundamental -- something that the California Public Utilities Commission and only the California Public Utilities Commission had the authority to enact. And they did not, in the end, put the resources in place on time to meet those -- meet this deadline that's coming up in 2024 and 2025. So again, we're very much strongly in favor and we appreciate the chance to give our input. Thank you so much.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much. Katie, next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you. Our next speaker is San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce.

JIM DANTONA: Good afternoon, Madam Lieutenant Governor, Madam Controller, and Commissioners. My name is Jim Dantona. I am the President and CEO for the San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce. And I'm here today just to share with you, you know, our thoughts on this project. With regard to business's most major concern is a stable statewide grid that will ensure our community and the State's long-term energy stability. As you saw, Diablo Canyon puts out about eight and a half percent of our state energy. I really want to give staff a lot of credit

for putting together a great presentation that showed that.

1.3

2.2

We're willing to do our part here in the central coast as always. We're getting ready to put wind energy off our coast. That will take at least five years in order to get those up and going. And we hopefully will work towards obviously a carbon-free energy system.

Additionally, we would say that PG&E has been an excellent steward of safe operations at the facility and its surrounding natural lands. Talking about a couple things the former speakers just talked about, PG&E has engaged the YTT Northern Chumash tribes, among all the native tribes in our area. So they have been working closely with the them. The environmental waters outside their facility are incredibly healthy and have shown many studies that support that.

We know there's so many issues to go before final determination of Diablo's official status are made, but what we hope is that our federal, State, and local jurisdictions do not take any actions prior to those determinations that would limit the determinations for us to have a strong and healthy stable energy grid. We would recommend that the State Lands Commission approve the additional time for Diablo Canyon in order to ensure we do not undercut those determination and risk the stable power

grid.

1.3

2.2

Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much for your comments.

Katie.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you.

Our next public speaker is Marcia Hanscom.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Hanscom, please proceed.

MARCIA HANSCOM: Yes. Honorable Commissioners and staff, Marcia Hanscom. I'm speaking to you as a member -- an active member Indivisible Westside Los Angeles and on the board of the Americans for Democratic Action Southern California.

I've got to tell you, you know, I -- most of my activism work has been about habitat and wildlife, but I have had to learn, because of the gas storage facility at the Ballona Wetlands what happens with utility companies who, for some reason, don't want to really transition to the renewable energy we need. You know, these utility companies want to get every dollar out of their current infrastructure. And the fears about the grid failing and the brownouts are just not true. The last time we had a brownout emergency, it was CAISO mistakenly sold some of

our energy to other states. So there's a problem with CAISO. There's not a problem with keeping all of these facilities that we don't need and that are dangerous open.

2.2

So whether it's SoCalGas, Edison, PG&E, all people saying we shouldn't close Aliso Canyon yet, we shouldn't close Playa Del Rey Ballona yet, we shouldn't close Diablo Canyon yet, I've got to tell you I want to refer you to Mark Jacobson, who was mentioned by a previous speaker. I just got the book it's called No Miracles Needed. And I would ask that this Commission delay any approval of any kind of commitment to this until each of you commissioners and the -- every single staff member working on this project reads this book.

No Miracles Needed: How Today's Technology Can Save Our Climate and Clean our Air. Mark Jacobson is a professor at Stanford. He knows what he's talking about. He's a really top researcher in this area. And it -- you know, this book explains how to use existing technologies to harness, store, and transmit energy from wind, water, and solar sources to ensure reliable electricity and heat supplies. It also discusses which deck -- technologies are not needed, including natural gas, carbon capture, direct air capture, blue hydrogen, bioenergy, and nuclear energy.

Please read this book and please delay keeping

this very dangerous situation open. And if you -- if you need something to do in your time off, you won't be relaxed after you watch it, but please watch the movie, stream it online, China Syndrome. You will see things have not changed since that movie was made. In fact, they've gotten worse because of the -- what we know about the earthquake situation.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Katie, next speaker.

Katie, is there anyone else who would like to address this item?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

Apologies. I did not unmute myself. There are no other hands raised to provide comment on this item. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Before we ask for a motion, Commissioner Cohen.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. What an amazing topic. I've been a part of this Commission, I think this is my second or third meeting. I'm not quite sure. So I am learning and growing about a subject matter that I did not know very much about, and I just want to acknowledge that. I am fully embracing this education. It feels like a master class on thermal energy, environmental causes, activism, as well as a history lesson.

So I want to thank my staff, Kristina Kunkel, who's been instrumental in just kind of helping me understand the lay of the land. In particular -- in particular, in my background, I am -- I'm a former -- you know, I'm a policymaker. And I come to this body as -- sitting on it as the State Controller, so I look at things through a fiscal lens, and also in my previous life serving as a policymaker.

1.3

2.2

One of the things that I particularly appreciate is the language that ensures that this Commission can revisit the lease agreement if the applicant is not compliant with Senate Bill 846 and if extended operations are not the most fiscally prudent use of taxpayer and ratepayer dollars. That is I think just incredibly important and also makes me feel a little bit more comfortable.

The -- we already know that Diablo Canyon produces just -- nearly nine percent of California's in-state electric generation. But what I'm also thinking about, and Madam Chair, I've heard you mention this numerous times, is just the climate crisis, understanding the place where we are today, and California's role in the ongoing discussion, not only here in the state, but across the nation and in the -- across the world. What is our responsibility? What can we do?

And so we heard from stakeholders, people from both sides of the aisle. We've heard from our stakeholder at PG&E. But the reality is is that the climate crisis and its effect on the ocean, the land, and the people are among the most urgent issue of our time. And I am deeply committed to responsibly accelerating toward a fossil fuel free future, fossil fuel free future.

That would be a great T-shirt. (Laughter).

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER COHEN: And I think about it and I think about our children. And I just think about we were on the beach just today and it was actually Senator Limón -- Assemblywoman Limón -- Senator Limón actually had her daughter there who's about -- around the same age as my daughter. And she talked about what it was like to grow up and to be a part of this beach and how it was toxic, and how it had a stench, and now her daughter will not know that future. And I know you have children, the Director of Finance has children, and his representative, Ms. Miller has children. We all are doing this for our children and our future generations. This is part of the legacy that we will leave -- we'll be in elected office what, four, maybe eight -- you know who knows what our futures entail, but we want to make sure that the decisions that we're making are going to have a positive

impact.

1.3

2.2

And really, for me, I want to learn from the mistakes that people have made in our -- in -- previous policymakers, the decisions that they've made to allow this dependence on fossil fuel. So I think that it's crucial that we use these additional five years to diversify and to increase the reliability of the State's energy portfolio.

I also had the opportunity to visit the plant last month and I was moved by the magnificent beauty of the site and the coastline. I was saddened that there wasn't very much sun. But nonetheless, it was just a visual reminder of the importance of preserving natural beauty, natural life. And it took -- and I look forward to the conversations about the future use of the site, returning ancestral tribal lands to the rightful owner and expanding public access.

So in the meantime, I believe that this is a temporary solution that aligns with the State's goals to reach carbon neutrality by 2045 and keeps the lights on for Californians while we continue with an equitable transition to renewable energy.

And I heard one piece of comment that I wanted to talk about about the water warming that's killing marine life, once-through cooling -- once the through-cooling is

7.3

```
completed. And the commenter, she's right, it's not --
1
    it's not good for the State. It's not good for the
2
    wildlife. The only concern is is that that doesn't fall
 3
    into under our jurisdiction. Now, I'm not saying --
 4
    suggesting that we turn a blind eye to it, but in terms of
5
    our ability to actually address an issue or to effectuate
6
7
    change, we have to work within our parameters.
8
    it's the State Water Board that has a jurisdiction and the
    regulatory authority as well as the mitigation authority
9
    to deal with the once-through cooling. So I just wanted
10
    to share those thoughts with the members of the public
11
    that are here today.
12
             Thank you.
1.3
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.
14
15
             May I have a motion to adopt staff's
16
   recommendation and a second?
             COMMISSIONER COHEN: So moved.
17
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'll second, Madam
18
    Chair.
19
20
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: We have a motion by
    Commissioner Cohen and a second by Commissioner Miller.
21
             Ms. Lucchesi, will you please conduct a roll call
2.2
23
   vote?
```

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Certainly.

Commissioner Cohen?

24

25

7 4

```
COMMISSIONER COHEN:
                                  Aye.
1
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Miller?
2
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER:
                                          Aye.
 3
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Chair Kounalakis?
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ave.
 5
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The motion passes
 6
7
    unanimously.
8
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS:
                                      Thank you.
9
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: And Madam Chair, I
    sincerely apologize, but I actually have to hop off now.
10
11
    I'm so sorry.
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: No, we understand.
12
   We'll continue the meeting. We're going to take a
1.3
14
    five-minute break. Five minutes.
             ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you very much.
15
16
    Thank you, Madam Chair. Take care. Bye-bye.
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: We'll see everyone back.
17
    Thank you.
18
19
             (Off record: 2:47 p.m.)
20
             (Thereupon a recess was taken.)
             (On record: 2:54 p.m.)
21
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: We are going to go back
2.2
23
   to the Executive Officer's report first or --
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Actually, Item 89.
24
25
             CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Item 89, all right. Let
```

me find -- Item 89 is to discuss an potentially take action on legislation relevant to the California State Lands Commission. May we have the presentation, please.

2.2

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: Yes.

Thank you, Madam Chair. I'll be brief, because I know

time is of the essence.

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a support position on AB 80 by Assembly Member Addis. This legislation would create, subject to a legislative appropriation, a west coast offshore wind science entity within the Ocean Protection Council to coordinate and oversee the science and monitoring necessary to inform the environmentally responsible development of offshore wind energy on the California coast.

The entity would be modeled on a similar entity on the west -- on the east coast and its purpose would be to oversee the scientific work necessary for decision makers to understand how habitat and marine life will interact with offshore wind infrastructure development. The bill has no opposition and is supported by a wide array of environmental organizations. And we would ask that the Commission take a support position on the legislation.

And that concludes my report.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Thank you very

much.

1.3

2.2

Commissioner Cohen, do you have any comments or questions.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Yeah, thank you for that. That was brief.

(Laughter).

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I give you credit. A lot of times people say I have a brief report and they don't have one, but that was brief. You get an award for that. Gold star.

(Laughter).

COMMISSIONER COHEN: First, I want to commend
Assemblymember Addis for authoring this important bill and
our own Commissioner Chair Lieutenant Governor Kounalakis
for co-sponsoring, along with NRDC and the Monterey Bay
Aquarium. I'm happy to make a motion to support AB 80 at
the appropriate time.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you so very much. Thank you very much, Sheri, for the update. It is a daunting task to keep up with 2,000 bills that I think just passed in the last week.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Or that moved from one house to the other in the last week. It's a very, very busy time in the Legislature, and -- as well as, of course, the budget moving forward by the 15th.

So I am thrilled to be the coauthor -- or the co-sponsor of AB 80, Dawn Addis's bill which will help California gather and use the best available science to inform how we go about deploying offshore floating wind off of our coast. Our goal is to develop five gigawatts of offshore wind by the end of this decade. So there is going to be a lot going on in this area. And I'm very grateful to Assembly Member Addis for moving this forward and for the -- for the staff to recommend that we sponsor or -- and support.

So with that, may we have a motion.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I'll make a motion too.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: I will second.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Madam Chair, we do have public comment.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Oh, public comment, yes.

Katie, do we have anyone who would like to address us on this item?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

24 Thank you, Madam Chair. We have Emely Garcia calling in

25 | from a number ending in 171.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Garcia, if you can here us, please proceed.

EMELY GARCIA: Hello. My name is Emely Garcia and I'm with NRDC. Honorable Madam Lieutenant Governor, Honorably members of the Commission and staff. Thank you so much. NRDC is co-sponsoring AB 80 with the Assembly -- with Assemblymember Dawn Addis along with Monterey Bay Aquarium.

While California is in the early stages of development of offshore wind in our federal waters, there is clear momentum for it to help us meet our climate energy goals. This is the right time to lay a foundation of responsible science-based planning for offshore wind energy development that will assist decision-makers as well as forge ahead at this time, fulfill our collective obligation to be good stewards of the ocean for this generation and generations to come. We strongly support the staff's recommendation and urge the Commission to support AB 80.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

Katie, any other speakers?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

24 Thank you, Madam Chair. Our public speaker is Mr. van de

25 Hoek.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: You know, if people have spoken before, if you are able, we put three minutes up, but we're a little over time. And so if you can speak within a two-minute framework, that would be helpful.

ROBERT VAN DE HOEK: Hello. My name is Robert Jan van de Hoek, environmental scientist. And I will do that. I will keep it really short.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

ROBERT VAN DE HOEK: Yeah. I got interrupted by a phone call there. Okay. So -- oh, boy, I want to -- I am going to be the only person of the public saying oppose to offshore wind energy. I'm going to focus it on one part of CEQA that is not -- that is about esthetics and the beauty of the environment. You will be blighting, making ugly, a portion of the coast, because they're not pretty to look at and it's not going to make our -- and which part of California's coast is going to be made to not look pretty. You probably won't put it where any of the wealthy people live. I'm just going to -- yeah, I'm right at two minutes. So I'm doing that just keeping it to one minute.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: All right. It is, I think, useful just for the public to know that the planning for offshore wind off the coast of California is

far enough away that they won't be visible from the shore.

Next speaker, please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you. Our next speaker is Colleen Weiler.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Weiler, please proceed.

1.3

2.2

COLLEEN WEILER: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Lieutenant Governor Kounalakis and commissioners. I am
Colleen Weiler, the Ocean Conservation Policy Analyst with
Monterey Bay Aquarium. And I'm here to express the
Aquarium's appreciation for your recommendation to support
AB 80, which will establish a west coast offshore wind
science entity. We believe this is an essential tool for
ensuring that offshore wind development off the California
coast is developed and managed in an environmentally
responsible manner that safeguards ocean wildlife and
ecosystems.

The SLC and other State agencies have recognized the need to advance renewable energy to address the climate crisis while also protecting the incredible ecosystem of California's marine waters and ensuring that the State meets its mandate to protect the natural resources for the public.

The floating offshore wind proposed for deployment off California will help the State meet it --

8 1

these goals, but the environmental impacts are largely unknown. This science entity will advance our understanding of the current status of our ocean environment and enable us to identify, assess, and mitigate the impacts of offshore wind energy development.

1.3

2.2

Thank you for your recommendation of support - I'll keep this brief - for this important legislation, and your recognition of the need for robust and collaborative science and monitoring as an essential part of offshore wind energy development in California. We appreciate your consideration and I'm happy to answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much. Katie, next speaker please.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair, we have no more commenters on this item.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. So with that, we have a motion.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: And a second. I'll second that motion.

And Ms. Lucchesi, please call the roll.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Cohen?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Aye.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Chair Kounalakis?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Aye.

1.3

2.2

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The motion passes two to zero.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Ms. Lucchesi, what is our next order of business.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our next item of business is Item 87. This was removed from the consent agenda, because we do have two public speakers that would like to comment on this item. I will provide the brief presentation -- staff's presentation.

This item involves revenues that the City of Long Beach generates from oil activities within their grant -- legislatively granted lands that they manage on behalf of the State. And pursuant to very -- the statutory framework that governs the city's management of these lands and revenues, when they have projects that will require the expenditure of these tidelands oil revenues, they propose that to the State Lands Commission for the Commission's review for consistency with the legal framework that dictates the statutory framework that dictates their management of these lands.

So the City has proposed 12 projects to be funded by these tideland oil revenues accounting for approximately \$7.9 million. And these are 12 capital improvement projects ranging from improvements and

rebuilding of a junior lifeguard facility, basketball and skating area, convention center area improvements, design and infrastructure for the Queen Mary, beach restroom door replacement, parking lot improvements for the marina green area, parking lot improvements for the Queen Mary, peninsula beach nourishment study, a regional general permit renewal, and security cameras for various locations within the tidelands grant, the Belmont Pier repairs, and Ocean Boulevard and convention center digital signage.

So we review those proposals for consistency with Chapter 138 and the City or -- staff's recommending that the Commission find that these proposed projects and expenditures do not appear to be inconsistent with the statutory framework that governs the management of these lands.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Thank you very much for that presentation. Before we turn to public comment, Commissioner Cohen do you have any questions or comments on this item?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Questions or comments. I do have thoughts. Let's see, this is for Item 89.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: No, 87.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Excuse me, 87.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: It was pulled from the

25 | consent.

2.2

COMMISSIONER COHEN: No, I don't have comments for 87.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Katie, do we have anyone who would like to comment on this item?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

Thank you, Madam Chair. So far we have two public commenters. The first one being Anna Christensen.

ANNA CHRISTENSEN: Anna Christensen from the Los Cerritos Wetlands Task Force, Sierra Club.

We don't oppose this, but we do think it's pretty interesting in terms of the budget that there isn't \$8 million to spend in the tidelands oil revenue budget for Long Beach. I will be sending you the city -- the city budget, but basically, they've only got about five million. I guess that's not your problem. Your problem is only whether these expenditures are consistent and they are.

One of the things we're concerned about, other than, you know, a lack of math skill on the part of our city apparently, is the amount of money that is spent to get a measly one and a half million dollars this year out of our oil wells offshore. These are not all our oil wells, but this is the oil wells that you're a part -- you're part of. You have to approve these operations. They took in almost \$100 million, \$98 million, but they

spend 97 -- or almost 97. So the cost of doing business, not -- is very high to get this small amount of money. It is not the major tidelands money. Our tidelands revenues, there is money in the tidelands, but they're not from oil.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And the other thing that I would like to stress is that we really appreciated when the State Lands Commission wanted a better budget, wanted a more informative budget about these -- how the tidelands were being operated, these oil operations. And we really appreciate it. And apparently a report has now been sent to you. And in reviewing it just very, very briefly, it seems that they have really gone -- skirted around your major concerns and ours, which are for public health, safety, and the environment. To spend time discussing well, better getting oil from Long Beach than importing it through the port is not really the point. That isn't the point how bad, or how far, or how much more pollution it's going to cost getting the oil from somewhere. That just assumes that there's no way to reduce our consumption of oil.

So what we would ask you to do is reject this report as well. This is not exactly on this topic, but it is -- it is all about revenue. It's all about getting and spending money, right? I mean, I guess that's why we're drilling oil, so somebody can make money. But the

projects are okay. I would have preferred more for nature. But honestly, this is -- they are sending you items that they can't fund with oil revenues. So just a little fascinating really. Honestly, I don't know how they did that, because they did not -- they do not have \$8 million to spend even with the cross-over. Their revenues from last year for oil -- from oil were one and a half million dollars, even with the carryover, they only have five million to spend.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

Katie, is there anyone else who would like to comment?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Yes.

Thank you. Our next public commenter is Ann Cantrell.

ANN CANTRELL: Good afternoon. Ann Cantrell.

I'm the co-chair of the Los Cerritos Wetlands Task Force
of the Sierra Club, along with Anna Christensen.

And as she says, we're confused that Long Beach is sending you a budget for \$7.9 million to be spent. And as far as we can determine, their tidelands funds were only five million. So I guess what we're asking is maybe you look into the amount of money that is actually available for these tidelands expenditures.

We also are concerned that there's no mention

here of money being put aside for the disbandment of the oil wells, which needs to be done. Maybe some of this tidelands funds, instead of being used for parking lots should be used for disbanding the many wells in Long Beach that will need to be disbanded.

Those are my only comments. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

Katie, is there anyone else who would like to comment?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: Thank you, Madam Chair. We have no other speakers for this item.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Thank you very much. Do we have a motion too move Item 37 -- sorry, 87.

A motion?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Excuse me. A motion moved.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: I'll second it.

Ms. Lucchesi, please call the roll.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Commissioner Cohen?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Aye.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Chair Kounalakis?

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Aye.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The motion passes

24 two to zero.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

25

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Ms. Lucchesi, our next

order of business

2.2

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our next order of business is the Executive Officer's report.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Please proceed.

make it very brief, but I do want to take a moment to recognize our colleague that is retiring. And it's actually a very sweet moment to recognize Eric Gillies, because his career with the State Lands Commission started with Piers 421 in 2002 and today marks his last meeting with the State Lands Commission as he heads into retirement. And it was very fitting to celebrate the removal of those piers this morning for that reason.

So Eric spent three years initially with Caltrans and 22 years with the State Lands Commission all within our Environmental Planning and Management Division, now has been renamed to the Division of our Environmental Science Planning and Management. Eric served Assistant Chief for this Division for over 12 years, including two years as Acting Chief. And more recently, he helped recognize -- right -- reorganize the Commission's scientific programs into this new division.

Eric is known for his professionalism, his expertise, and unwavering dedication to the Commission and the State. His meticulous approach to work, attention to

detail, and knowledge of the California Environmental Quality Act is unparalleled, and evidenced by the high quality environmental documents that have been prepared on behalf of the Commission over these past 20 years.

2.2

It is -- as I mentioned, it's fitting that Eric's last Commission meeting is the same day that we're celebrating the removal of the Piers 421. He really cut his teeth on drafting, and developing, and evolving our environmental document, starting with that original Piers 421 project in 2000 -- 2004, not 2002.

So in addition to his exceptional scientific work for the Commission, Eric has left an incredible mark on the Commission through his work with Commission staff to create and document processes to improve our internal review of applications. And in doing so, he has brought transparency to a very complex process, but it's actually what truly -- what I'd like to focus on that truly sets him apart is his -- really amazing patience and willingness to guide and mentor staff over these past 20 plus years through the environmental review process. We all have a lot of experience with CEQA and NEPA, and it is complex and nuances, and he has really worked with our staff in growing their professionalism and expertise over these years.

So through his quiet and consistent manner, Eric

has created calm and order in our Commission's staff -scientific staff to get the job done and get the job done
well. The Commission is actually very well known for
developing and certifying -- preparing and certifying
environmental documents that are legally defensible. And
we're one of the top agencies in this realm and that's -a lot of that is due to Eric's work, and his mentorship,
and his growing of our staff into those roles.

So we don't spend enough time acknowledging the contributions of staff who kind of keep their head down and just get the work done, and that is exactly Eric. And we are going to his him tremendously, but we're very excited to wish him and his wife, who will be retiring from State service too in a couple of months, a really great retirement and best wishes on their next adventure and their next phase.

So thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thank you so much, Ms. Lucchesi. And I would just like to -- and there he is.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: There he is.

(Applause).

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Looking way too young to retire, I might add.

(Laughter).

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Twenty-two years at State Lands has obviously been good to you -- (Laughter).

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: -- and so many more years of service before that. But seriously, it is very notable and remarkable that you would have started your service with the State working on the important issue of removing these old legacy polluting, decrepit, wildcat piers that have been there for far too long and for, you know, recognizing what a massive undertaking it was to be able to recognize you at the same day that we finish and celebrate the completion, I think is definitely full circle.

It is critically important in our state that we have the dedication of experts who commit themselves to public service. We simply could not do the complicated things that we do at State Lands or frankly any other part of government without dedicated, hard working, committed, and highly capable individuals. And so, you will certainly be missed. We are so grateful for your contributions and congratulations. And I am sure that you have many plans. As someone once said to me, I'm retiring, but not tired.

(Laughter).

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: So thank you.

Madam Commissioner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Okay. With that, Ms. Lucchesi, what is our next order of business?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our next order of business is our second public comment period.

> CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

We are going to keep the second public comment period to one minute each, unless there is a compelling argument. We have run over time a little bit today. And since we are working away from our usual facilities, I know people have airplanes to catch. So if anyone would like to address the Commission on any matter that is not on today's agenda, please come to the podium or raise your hand virtually.

So is there anyone here who would like to address 16 the Commission?

Katie, is there anyone virtually who would like to make a public comment?

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST ROBINSON-FILIPP: you, Madam Chair. We have no new speakers to provide comment during this time.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you so much. So that concludes our second public comment period.

Commissioner Cohen, do you have any additional comment or question?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I just wanted to say thank you again. This is just a great opportunity to serve the State of California doing this work. And I'm just elated to be here to do it. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Well, we are elated to have you. Very good. Ms. Lucchesi. EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: There is nothing more. CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Very good. concludes the open meeting and we are hereby adjourned. (Thereupon the California State Lands Commission meeting adjourned at 3:17 p.m.) 2.2

1.3

<u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u>

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California State Lands Commission meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 16th day of June, 2023.

James & Putter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063