

State Lands Commission

**Request for Qualifications (RFO) 2021-05
Skarry Tugs Removal**

No.	Question	Answer
1	Is the due date of May 31st on Monday or Tuesday?	It is due on Tuesday, May 31st, 2022. Addendum #1 issued to correct this error.
2	Can you send me a copy of the "Reference Material" mentioned in the RFQ and a list of prime contractors bidding this project? I'm interested in the hazardous materials abatement portion of the project.	The "Reference Material" cited in Section 3(c) of the RFO can be viewed and downloaded from the Event Package in Cal eProcure as Attachments 9-13. These materials may also be found on the Commission's website: https://www.slc.ca.gov/content-types/rfo-2021-05/
3	Page 7 of 8 - iii Team Qualifications - Attachment 5: Are you asking for resumes of every person that may work on this project? As a shipyard, salvage, tug and barge company with many moving parts it is unknown on a day to day basis who will be involved in the different scopes of work regarding this project and ultimately could be upwards of 20 to 30 people from tug captains, deckhands, crane operators, welders, laborers etc. none of which will be know till scheduling shortly before project start date. Point is that's a lot of resumes if that is what you are asking so want to be sure?	Please include the resumes of the Project Manager, Deputy Project Manager, Contracts Coordinator, and others who play key roles in this project. Please try to include fewer than 5 resumes.
4	"Track Changes On" - On many of the Word attachments is says to make sure Track Changes" is on. What exactly is Track Changes and how does one ensure it is on?	Please see: https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/office/track-changes-in-word-197ba630-0f5f-4a8e-9a77-3712475e806a
5	Paragraph 5 states that USCG has already removed petroleum products?	Commission staff believe USCG previously responded to remove petroleum product from the vessel, perhaps in the late 1990s.
6	Page 2 of 5 Exhibit A Scope of Work 2) - d) ii) - Asks for contractor to coordinate with USCG for product removal even though USCG may not award removal of product to State Lands Commission awarded contractor: (Conclusion - It does not seem to me there is a need for a onsite USCG hazmat removal as Amergent Techs report leads us to below almost all has already been removed. It also seems a burden for the awarded contractor to have to coordinate the operation that they themselves may not be getting paid for but instead a competitor.)	The amount and location of remaining petroleum product is unknown. Commission and USCG staff are planning a subsequent petroleum removal and abatement action, to occur prior to removal and disposal of the vessel. The Contractor under this RFO must be aware of this planned action and coordinate with Commission staff to allow time for USCG or their contractor to perform their actions before the Contractor removes the vessel. In addition, as stated in Attachment 7 - Scope of Work, Section 2(d)(ii), the Contractor should assume that residual amounts of product will remain for disposal during dismantling. Further, the presence of substantial product in an inaccessible or unknown tank or hold cannot be ruled out.
7	Amergent Techs report states that most to all accessible tanks are empty leading one to believe that an onsite removal operation may not be necessary?	See answer for #6 immediately above.
8	Amergent Techs report also states that USCG removed products and red tagged tanks when Standard Oil sold Standard #2. Are there any existing quantification reports available from USCG on when, how much and from what tanks hydrocarbons and other hazmat was removed?	Commission staff do not have reports of previous USCG response actions.

State Lands Commission

**Request for Qualifications (RFO) 2021-05
Skarry Tugs Removal**

No.	Question	Answer
9	Task (B-1) - Is it mandatory to insert a dollar amount for each line item? Many of these line items requested are very much unknown such as Hazardous Substance Abatement and Consumable Supplies for example.	Please provide a researched estimate. The uncertainty of this line item will be taken into account during evaluation.
10	Recommendation for Exhibit B - Task (B-1) with lump sum on line 1 and cost breakdown lines 2-7 seems a good format especially if you will be looking for funding from other agencies. The Hazardous substances abatement is tricky especially with asbestos removal as how long and the amount and cost of disposal is unknown. The contractor can either guess and provide a dollar amount for line 3 or it could be amended to "at cost for removal and disposal plus contractor 25% markup.	Please provide a researched estimate. The uncertainty of this line item will be taken into account during evaluation.
11	As for B-2 and B-3 I feel these contradict B-1 and it is my recommendation the be removed. No matter the awarded contractor it will be very difficult to accurately track once at facility and again if B-1 is in fact asking for Lump Sum on line 1 then cost tracking does not make sense.	Cost Worksheet B-2 and B-3 exist to calculate the cost of additional work beyond those specified in B-1.
12	Line 1 "Removal and disposal of abandoned tug, Standard No. 2", this reads like you are asking for Lump Sum with applicable cost breakdowns lines 1-7 however B-2 and B-3 are asking for labor and equipment rates which is a Time and Materials format?	Cost Worksheet B-2 and B-3 exist to calculate the cost of additional work beyond those specified in B-1.