APPEARANCES

COMMISSION MEMBERS:
Ms. Eleni Kounalakis, Lieutenant Governor, Chairperson
Ms. Betty T. Yee, State Controller
Ms. Keely Bosler, Director of Department of Finance, represented by Ms. Gayle Miller

STAFF:
Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer
Mr. Colin Connor, Assistant Executive Officer
Ms. Pamela Griggs, Acting Chief Counsel
Mr. Nicholas Lavoie, Public Land Manager, Land Management Division
Ms. Sheri Pemberton, Chief, External Affairs Division

ATTORNEY GENERAL:
Mr. Andrew Vogel, Deputy Attorney General

ALSO PRESENT:
Mr. Greg Boro, The SPHERE Institute
Supervisor Candace Andersen, Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors
Ms. Joanna Cassese, Crockett Community Foundation, Crockett Lions Club
Mr. Brandon Dawson, Sierra Club California
Mr. Destinee Dixon
ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. David Fraser, Chief of Staff, Contra Costa County Supervisor Federal Glover

Mr. Larry Hayden, Crockett Chamber of Commerce

Mr. John Kopchik, Department of Conservation, Contra Costa County

Mr. Alison Madden, San Francisco Bay Marinas for All

Mr. Dale McDonald, Crockett Community Services District

Mr. Heidi Petty, Contra Costa Resource Conservation District

Ms. Jennifer Savage, Surfrider Foundation

Mr. Igor Skaredoff, Contra Costa Resource Conservation District

Mr. Buckley Stone, San Francisco Bay Marinas for All

Ms. Helen Wolter, Committee For Green Foothills
Memorandum of Understanding between the staffs of the California Coastal Commission and the California State Lands Commission to enhance coordination. Please go to the following link to read the Memorandum of Understanding.

Continuation of Rent Actions to be taken by the Executive Officer pursuant to the Commission’s Delegation of Authority:

- Devil’s Isle, Inc (Lessee): Continuation of rent at $2,140 per year for a General Lease – Recreational and Protective Structure Use located on sovereign land in White Slough and Little Potato Slough, adjacent to 14501 West Eight Mile Road, near Stockton, San Joaquin County. (PRC 6548.1)

- Pacific Gas and Electric (Lessee): Continuation of rent at $3,443 per year for a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to Sutter County Assessor’s Parcel Number (APN) 35-330-020 and Yolo County APN 05-050-03, near Woodland, Sutter and Yolo Counties. (PRC 8856.1)

IV Consent Calendar 01-75

The following items are considered to be noncontroversial and are subject to change at any time up to the date of the meeting.

Land Management

Northern Region

application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2880 Lake Terrace Avenue, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4124.1; RA# 17818) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

02  BRUCK-MCLAIN PROPERTIES, A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP; GARY R. SITZMANN AND LINDY LOU SITZMANN, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE JENNIFER B. LAVIS 2010 IRREVOCABLE TRUST U/A/D JUNE 25, 2010; AND GARY R. SITZMANN AND LINDY LOU SITZMANN, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE MATTHEW M. SITZMANN 2010 IRREVOCABLE TRUST U/A/D JUNE 25, 2010 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 3368.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6960 West Lake Boulevard, Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 098-210-026 and 098-210-027, near Tahoma, Placer County; for the proposed modification of an existing pier and appurtenant facilities; installation of a boat lift; removal of one existing mooring buoy, and continued use and maintenance of three existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 3368.1; RA# 15318) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

03  CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PARTIES): Consider an Indemnification Agreement for an existing natural gas pipeline located on the Airport Road Bridge, over sovereign land in the Sacramento River, near Anderson, Shasta County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A2171; RA# 24418) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

04  CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PARTIES): Consider amendment of an Indemnification Agreement for four existing electrical conduits located on the Washington Street Bridge, over sovereign land located in the Petaluma River, adjacent to North Water Street and River Plaza, Petaluma, Sonoma County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A2075; RA# 27618) (A 10; S 3) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

Staff Report revised 10/15/19
05 CAMP RICHARDSON RESORT, INC. (LESSEE): Consider amendment of Lease No. PRC 5859.1, a General Lease – Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3001 Jameson Beach Road, near South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for the removal of 12 existing boat slips. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5859.1; A2069; RA# 26418) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila) Staff Report revised 10/17/19

06 CITY OF NAPA (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, near Napa, Napa County; for an existing water main pipeline. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3759.9; A2033; RA# 13918) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

07 WILLIAM F. CRONK III AND JANET M. CRONK, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE CRONK REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED DECEMBER 30, 1981 (LESSEE); THE TRUSTEES OF RSF 2010 WEST LAKE BOULEVARD TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 4057.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use; and application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2010 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys previously authorized by the Commission, and one existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4057.1; A2096; RA# 28518) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

08 MAX W. DAY AND ALVENA V. DAY AS TRUSTEES OF THE DAY FAMILY TRUST ESTABLISHED FEBRUARY 16, 1999; AND ROBERT C. MARVIN AND NANCY K. MARVIN, TRUSTEES OF THE MARVIN FAMILY TRUST DATED MAY 1, 2000 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4760 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, boathouse with boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4866.1; RA# 23818) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
09  FAROUTLOOK, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4116 Ferguson Avenue, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A2199; RA# 2019037) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

10  HAWKINS INSULATION COMPANY, INC., AND LYON INVESTMENTS, LP, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (LESSEE); HAWKINS INSULATION COMPANY, INC., AND 5620 WEST LAKE PARTNERS, LLC, A TEXAS LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 5884.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use, and application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5620 and 5650 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, boat lift, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5884.1; RA# 14818) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

11  KJM HOLDINGS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8726 Brockway Vista Avenue, near Kings Beach, Placer County; for a pier modification; and an existing pier and two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27144; A2151; RA# 15318) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

12  LCOF LAKE TAHOE INVESTMENT LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE); ACTION MOTORSPORTS OF TAHOE, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (SUBLESSEE); PFP 2019-5, LTD. (SECURED-PARTY LENDER): Consider amendment of Lease No. PRC 3981.1, a General Lease – Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3411 Lake Tahoe Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for an existing commercial marina known as Timber Cove Lodge Marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3981.1; A2130; RA# 14018) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
13 ANN MAGEE, SUSAN M. BRANDT, AND JEROME MAGEE, JR. (LESSEE); JOHN CHARLES HODGE AND STACEY KEARE, TRUSTEES OF THE HODGE/KEARE FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2001 AS AMENDED AND RESTATED (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 4476.9, a Recreational Pier Lease, and an application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5372 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for repair and reinforcement of an existing pier including reconstruction of the pierhead; removal of a gantry system, one mooring buoy, and fixed catwalk; installation of a boat lift and adjustable catwalk, and continued use and maintenance of one existing mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission; and one existing freshwater intake pipeline with conduit not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 4476.1; RA# 01417) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

14 WILLIAM A.S. MAGRATH II AND JUDITH B. MAGRATH, AS TRUSTEES OF THE MAGRATH FAMILY TRUST (U/T/D 2/11/2008) (LESSEE AND APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 8938.9, a Recreational Pier Lease, and an application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8357 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for reconstruction of an existing pier; removal of a stairway and catwalk, installation of an adjustable catwalk and gangway; and use and maintenance of two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 8938.1; A2149; RA# 33018) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

15 JOSEPH A. MCCARTHY, TRUSTEE OF THE JOSEPH A. MCCARTHY REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JUNE 22, 1998, JOHN F. BRENNAN, AND LISA BRENNAN (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4170 and 4176 Ferguson Avenue, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, two boat lifts, and four mooring buoys. CEQA consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3652.1; A2160; RA# 2019011) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: A. Francoia)

16 DAVID A. OLSON AND LYNN D. OLSON, AS TRUSTEES OF THE OLSON FAMILY TRUST, AS AMENDED AND RESTATED IN
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2001, DATED JANUARY 3, 2001 (LESSEE); L. TIM ROCHFORD AND CAROL ROCHFORD (APPLICANT): Consider waiver of rent, penalty, and interest; termination of Lease No. PRC 7277.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use, and application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1340 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, two boathouses, three boat lifts, and one boat hoist. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7277.1; A2073; RA# 26818) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

17 MICHAEL R. RAFTERY AND GEORGIANNE RAFTERY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Assessor Parcel Number 092-180-008, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier and boat lift. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 6540.1; RA# 18918) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

18 SHASTA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (LESSEE/SUBLESSOR); PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC (SUBLESSEE): Consider amendment to Lease No. PRC 505.1, a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, near Anderson, Shasta County, for a vehicular and pedestrian bridge to remove Pacific Gas and Electric as a sublessee. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 505.1; A2133; RA# 24418) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

19 SHELDRA J. PERRY, TRUSTEE OF THE SHELDRA J. PERRY TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST DATED DECEMBER 27, 2012; AND SHELDRA JOY PERRY, TRUSTEE OF THE SHELDRA JOY PERRY FAMILY TRUST DATED OCTOBER 19, 2016 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1920 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 8381.1; RA# 15717) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

20 SIMPSON PAPER COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to Assessor’s Parcel Number 401-121-007, near Eureka, Humboldt County; for two existing non-operational outfall
pipelines. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3393.1; RA# 12317) (A 2; S 2) (Staff: A. Franzoia) Staff Report revised 10/22/19

21 TAHOE KEYS PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 356 Ala Wai Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for a portion of an existing pier not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A2245; RA# 22316) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: A. Franzoia) Removed from agenda 10/23/19

22 ARTHUR WALLACE AND ALFIA AMANDA WALLACE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 14096 South Shore Drive, near Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing pier and installation of a floating boat dock not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A2163; RA# 2019014) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila) Bay / Delta Region

23 ASPEN PINES, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3935.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 13415 Grand Island Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing accommodation dock with an attached covered dock with landing and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3935.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: N. Lavoie)

24 MICHELLE BARNES AND DAVID BARNES (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 17191 Terminous Road, near Isleton, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, hydro lift, shed, personal watercraft lift, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5800.1; A2138; RA# 32118) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)
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Lease – Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2427 Garden Highway, Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing covered boat dock with slip, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7357.1; RA# 22318) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)

26 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a Public Agency Permit and approval of a Right-of-Way Map pursuant to Section 101.5 of the Streets and Highways Code, of sovereign land located in the Cosumnes River near Elk Grove, Sacramento County; for a bridge replacement project over the Cosumnes River and the Cosumnes River Overflow. CEQA Consideration: Negative Declaration, adopted by the California Department of Transportation, State Clearinghouse No. 2019039070. (A2131; RA# 31418) (A 9; S 3, 5, 8) (Staff: D. Tutov)

27 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PARTIES): Consider an Indemnification Agreement for a natural gas pipeline located on the Hickman Road Bridge, over sovereign land located in the Tuolumne River, adjacent to Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 080-041-005, 080-035-009, 080-009-001, and 080-011-001, near Waterford, Stanislaus County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 27224; RA# 14718) (A 12; S 8) (Staff: D. Tutov)

28 CYNTHIA CAULK (LESSEE); MARY MARGARET McNALLY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 5910.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 13962 Grand Island Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, a proposed boat dock and slip, appurtenant facilities, and boat ramp. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5910.1; A2111; RA# 29918) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)

29 CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA SANITARY DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Grayson Creek, near Martinez, Contra Costa County; for two existing buried box pipes. CEQA Consideration: categorical
INDEX CONTINUED

30 CITY OF SACRAMENTO (LESSEE/SUBLESSOR); SACRAMENTO RIVER TOURS, LLC, DBA SACRAMENTO JETBOAT EXCURSIONS (SUBLESSOR): Consider application for an endorsement of a sublease under Lease No. PRC 7001.1, a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, between the “I” Street Bridge and the Tower Bridge, city of Sacramento, Sacramento County; for riverfront commercial use for mooring and operating a tour boat. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7001.1; A2074; RA# 34017) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

31 COUCHMAN BROS., A CALIFORNIA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Tuolumne River, adjacent to 3131 Illinois Avenue, near Modesto, Stanislaus County; for existing bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 6865.1; A2100; RA# 28718) (A 21; S 12) (Staff: J. Holt)

32 COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Middle River, adjacent to Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 131-120-030, 131-120-040, 131-120-140, 131-120-150, 189-150-010, and 189-250-320, near Tracy, San Joaquin County; for the existing Tracy Boulevard Bridge. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4724.9; A2120; RA# 30618) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

33 CPN PIPELINE COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in New York Slough, San Joaquin River, and Sacramento River, near Pittsburgh; Contra Costa, Sacramento, and Solano counties; for an existing deactivated natural gas pipeline. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4699.1; RA# 15316) (A 11, 14; S 3, 7) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

34 KOKET RESORT LLC (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 2049.1, a General Lease – Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the
Sacramento River, adjacent to 14174 Isleton Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing commercial marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 2049.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: N. Lavoie)

35 ANDREW MAJIT AND ANSLEY MAJIT (LESSEE) ANSLEY MAJIT (LESSEE/APPLICANT); PNC BANK (SECURED-PARTY LENDER): Consider amendment to Lease No. PRC 9488.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use, to modify the named Lessee and extend the lease term; and approval of an Agreement and Consent to Encumbrancing of Lease of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 35 Boardwalk One, Marin County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9488.1; RA# 2019053) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

36 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider approval for removal of a portion of and abandonment-in-place of a portion of a retired natural gas pipeline as provided under Lease No. PRC 5438.1-C, a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Stanislaus River, near Ripon, in San Joaquin and Stanislaus Counties. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (PRC 5438.1-C; A2057; RA#25418) (A 12, 21; S 5, 12) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

37 RANCHO MURIETA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Cosumnes River, adjacent to Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 073-0190-109 and 073-0790-047, near Rancho Murieta, Sacramento County; for three existing pipelines located on a pedestrian bridge commonly known as the Old Yellow Bridge. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7765.1; A2126; RA# 31118) (A 8; S 8) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

38 CURTIS D. RAPTON, TRUSTEE OF THE CURTIS D. RAPTON TRUST DATED MARCH 21, 2002 AND ROSSANA RAPTON, TRUSTEE OF THE ROSSANA RAPTON FAMILY TRUST DATED OCTOBER 3, 2011 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 3045 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock with boat slip and appurtenant facilities previously authorized by the Commission, and an existing personal
watercraft dock not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5578.1; RA# 19818) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)

39  TRILOGY HOMES, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 14554 State Highway 160, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3910.1; A2122; RA# 30718) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

Central / Southern Region

40  PETER CHAU AND HSIAO-FEN CHEN (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 17031 Bolero Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and partially enclosed cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W27028; RA# 22917) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

41  CITY OF CORONADO (PERMITTEE): Consider amendment of Permit No. PRC 3691.9, a General Permit – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land in the Pacific Ocean, City of Coronado, San Diego County; for the City of Coronado Opportunistic Beach Fill Program. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the City of Coronado, State Clearinghouse No. 2008021045. (PRC 3691.9; A2132; RA# 2019003) (A 78; S 39) (Staff: K. Connor)

42  CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER (LESSEE): Consider amendment of Lease No. PRC 4480.9, a General Lease – Industrial Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, near Santa Monica, Los Angeles County; for full removal of the non-operational underwater electrode array and related structures. CEQA Consideration: Environmental Impact Report, State Clearinghouse No. 2010091044, certified by City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power and Addendum. (PRC 4480.9; A2082; RA# 06718) (A 50; S 26) (Staff: C. Hudson)
43 CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 8899.9 and PRC 9193.9, General Leases – Public Agency Use and an application for a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located on Owens Lake, Inyo County; for the collection of hydrologic monitoring data and continued maintenance of existing groundwater wells, piezometers, and flumes. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 8899.9; Lease 9193.9; A2045; RA# 25118) (A 26; S 8) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

44 JACK A. DOMINGUE AND PAMELA LYNN DOMINGUE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Midway Channel of Huntington Harbour adjacent to 3571 Courtside Circle, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27004; A2125; RA# 30918) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: L. Pino)

45 DUNCAN FREDERICK AND LYNDA FREDERICK (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour adjacent to 16721 Carousel Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock and access ramp previously authorized by the Commission and a cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 8304.1; A2129; RA# 31318) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: L. Pino)

46 FRANKLIN W. GIBSON AND SANDRA J. GIBSON (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16991 Bolero Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27031; A2108; RA# 29418) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

47 ABDOLAZIM JOHARCHI AND CEZANE JOHARCHI (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour adjacent to 16922 Coral Cay
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Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27009; A2124; RA# R31018) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: L. Pino)

48 JOHN EUGENE KOMPANIEZ, TRUSTEE OF THE KOMPANIEZ MARITAL TRUST CREATED UNDER THE KOMPANIEZ 1998 FAMILY TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 10, 1998 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16581 Carousel Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, cantilevered deck, and bulkhead protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 4443.1; A2113; RA# 30818) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

49 ROBERT LIENAU JR, AND CAROL LIENAU, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE LIENAU FAMILY TRUST ESTABLISHED AUGUST 4, 1992 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16642 Coral Cay Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W27025; A2144; RA# 32618) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

50 MARINE BIOENERGY, INC. (LESSEE): Consider amendment to Lease No. PRC 9400.1, a General Lease – Other, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, offshore of Howland’s Landing and Parsons Landing, Santa Catalina Island, Los Angeles County; to extend the lease term an additional 5 years and authorize placement of one additional kelp elevator. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9400.1, A2150; RA# 33218) (A 70; S 26) (Staff: L. Pino)

51 ANTHONY F. MENARD AND BRENNA M. MENARD, TRUSTEES OF THE MENARD FAMILY TRUST, DATED SEPTEMBER 13, 2005 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16782 Coral Cay Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not
previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27019; A2084; RA# 06018) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

52 OAK HILLS ESTATE, LLC (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Other, of sovereign land located in Burton Mesa Ecological Reserve, near Lompoc, Santa Barbara County; for the installation, use, and maintenance of habitat restoration and mitigation; and consider accepting a deposit into the Kapiloff Land Bank Fund. CEQA Considerations: Environmental Impact Report, certified by the County of Santa Barbara, State Clearinghouse No. 2015111069, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program and Statement of Findings; statutory exemption. (Lease 9520.1; RA# 34917) (A 35; S 19) (Staff: R. Collins)

Staff Report, Exhibits A-1 through A-7 and Exhibit B revised 10/22/19

53 STEVEN RAMELOT, TRUSTEE OF THE STEVEN RAMELOT FAMILY TRUST, U/A DATED JULY 6, 2012 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3086.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour adjacent to 16442 Malden Circle, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3086.1) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: L. Pino)

54 THE SAMAAN FAMILY TRUST DATE JULY/27/1988 WASSEEM A. SAMAAN AND NAJLA SAMAAN CALLED TRUSTEES (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Midway Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 3575 Courtside Circle, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27003; A2105; RA# 29218) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

55 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, Cayucos, San Luis Obispo County; for an existing public recreational use pier. CEQA Consideration:
56 WENDY WEISS SA WYER, TRUSTEE OF THE MALDEN IRREVOCABLE TRUST, DATED AUGUST 16, 2007 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16462 Malden Circle, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 5589.9; A2097; RA# 28318) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

57 THE ABALONE FARM, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, near Cayucos, San Luis Obispo County; for five ocean saltwater intake pipelines. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 7326.1; A2141; RA# 32918) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: R. Collins)

58 MICHAEL J. THERMOS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16871 Bolero Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27032; A2136; RA# 31918) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

59 WILLIAM H. WILSON, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE WILLIAM H. WILSON AND SARAH H. WILSON FAMILY TRUST A, DATED JUNE 8, 1993 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour adjacent to 16961 Bolero Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock and access ramp previously authorized by the Commission, and an enclosed cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (Lease 3571.1; RA# 19610) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: L. Pino)

School Lands

60 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY): Consider authorization to prepare a Notice of Emergency Timber Operations and to harvest and sell fire-damaged
timber on State-owned school land located in the northwest quarter and the north half of the northeast quarter of Section 16, Township 36 North, Range 5 West, MDM, west of Lamoine, Shasta County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (I1357) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Porter)

61 NORBERT C. FREITAS AND ALICE FREITAS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Grazing Use, of State-owned school and lieu land in all of Section 36, Township 32 North, Range 15 East, MDM; portions of Sections 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 30, and all of Section 16, Township 31 North, Range 16 East, MDM; and portions of Sections 24, 25, 34, and all of Section 36, Township 31 North, Range 15 East, MDM, near Litchfield, Lassen County; for livestock grazing and fencing. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (Lease 5329.2; A2119; RA# 30518) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: C. Hudson)

Mineral Resources Management

62 CITY OF LONG BEACH (TRUSTEE): Consider acceptance of the Final Report and Closing Statement for the Long Beach Unit Annual Plan (July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019), Long Beach Unit, Wilmington Oil Field, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 17166) (A 70; S 33, 34) (Staff: E. Tajer)

63 ECOSYSTEMS MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Permit to conduct geophysical surveys on sovereign tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the California State Commission. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring Program, and addendum, State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021. (A2192; RA# 2019032) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

64 ECOSYSTEMS MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a Non-Exclusive Geological Survey Permit on sovereign tide and submerged lands. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (A2196; RA# 2019054) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

65 IP ATHOS III, LLC (APPLICANT): Consider application for a modification of the State’s Right of Surface Entry on approximately 424.6 acres of State reserved mineral interest school land, Assessor’s Parcel Numbers: 879-090-036, 879-090-037, 879-090-038,
### Marine Environmental Protection

**66** CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY MARITIME ACADEMY (PARTIES): Consider granting authority for the Executive Officer to enter into an agreement with the California State University Maritime Academy to collect and analyze ballast water discharge samples to assess the performance of ballast water management systems. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 9777.234, W 9777.290, W 9777.295) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: L. Ceballos, K. Forbes)

### Administration

**67** CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO (PARTIES): Consider granting authority for the Executive Officer to enter into an agreement with the University of California, San Diego to procure the services of two Science Policy Fellows through the California Sea Grant State Fellows Program. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: K. Forbes, J. Mattox)

**68** CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY): Consider granting authority for the Executive Officer or her designee to solicit, negotiate, award and execute a Public Works contract for the repair and/or renovation of the State Lands Commission Field Office in Huntington Beach, California. In accordance with PCC CA PUB CONT § 1101 and 10108; State Contract Act PCC § 10100 – 10285.5. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (IFB2019-006) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: K. Maita Smith, K. Forbes, D. Cook)

### Legal

**69** CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION; CERTAIN UPLAND PROPERTY OWNERS AT DONNER LAKE (PARTIES): Consider Boundary Line and Easement Agreements between the State of California acting by and through the California State Lands Commission and Certain Property Owners at Donner
Lake, Town of Truckee, Counties of Nevada and Placer, California. CEQA Consideration: statutory exemption. (W 503.2094; AD 671) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: W. Crunk)

70 SIGNAL HILL SERVICE, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider rejection of an application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Santa Barbara Channel, near Rincon Point, Santa Barbara and Ventura counties; for four existing pipelines and an outfall. CEQA Consideration: statutory exemption. (A2209) (A 37; S 19) (Staff: J. Fabel, L. Pino)

71 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS (PARTY): Consider Cession of Concurrent Criminal Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code section 126 over lands at the Los Angeles Ambulatory Care Center at 351 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (FJ 0119.19) (A 53; S 24) (Staff: P. Huber)

72 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS (PARTY): Consider Cession of Concurrent Criminal Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code section 126 over lands at the Sepulveda Ambulatory Care Center at 16111 Plummer Street, Los Angeles, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (FJ 0119.20) (A 46; S 18) (Staff: P. Huber)

73 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS (PARTY): Consider Cessions of Concurrent Criminal Legislative Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code section 126 over Lands at the Sacramento Veterans Affairs Medical Center at 10535 Hospital Way, Mather, Sacramento County; Veterans Affairs Martinez Outpatient Clinic at 150 Muir Road, Martinez, Contra Costa County; Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Campus at 3801 Miranda Avenue, Palo Alto, Santa Clara County; and Fresno Veterans Affairs Medical Center at 2615 East Clinton Avenue, Fresno, Fresno County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (FJ 0134.3; FJ 0107.3; FJ 0143.3; FJ 0110.6) (A 8, 14, 24, 31; S 3, 8, 13) (Staff: P. Huber)

Kapiloff Land Bank Trust Acquisition – no items
External Affairs
Granted Lands
CITY OF LONG BEACH (TRUSTEE): Review proposed tideland oil revenue expenditures in an amount not to exceed $1,150,000 by the City of Long Beach for four capital improvement projects located on or adjacent to legislatively granted sovereign lands in the of the City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County. CEQA consideration: not a project. (G 05-03) (A 70; S 33) (Staff: M. Moser)

CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (PARTIES): Consider approving a parcel boundary adjustment, a revised phasing plan, a deviation from trust streets diagram, and making a hazardous material remediation finding for the second and third closing phases of the Compromise Title Settlement and Land Exchange Agreement for Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island in the City and County of San Francisco. CEQA consideration: statutory exemption. (A 17; S 11) (Staff: R. Boggiano, A. Kershen)

CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION:
Legislative Report providing information and a status update concerning state legislation relevant to the Commission. CEQA consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)

CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION:
Consider supporting H.R. 3895 by Representative Juan Vargas (D-CA-51) and H.R. 4039 by Representative Mike Levin (D-CA-49): legislation introduced in the 116th Congress to support mitigation efforts in the Tijuana River Valley and to reduce cross-border pollution from flowing into the United States. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton, K. Robinson-Filipp) Staff Report revised 10/23/19
78 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION
(INFORMATIONAL): Informational update on the Commission's activities along the Crockett Waterfront near the Carquinez bridge, Contra Costa County. (A 14; S 3) (Staff: N. Lavoie) Staff Report revised 10/17/19 27

79 EQUILON ENTERPRISES, LLC DBA SHELL OIL PRODUCTS US (ASSIGNOR); PBF HOLDING COMPANY, LLC (ASSIGNEE): Consider assignment and amendment of Lease No. PRC 4908.1, a General Lease – Industrial Use, of sovereign land located in the Carquinez Strait, Martinez, Contra Costa County; for an existing marine oil terminal wharf and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 4908.1; A2162; RA# 2019013) (A 14; S 3) (Staff: D. Tutov) Removed from agenda 10/22/19 20

80 THE SPHERE INSTITUTE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease – Other, of filled and unfilled sovereign land located in the historic bed of the San Francisco Bay, at 410 Airport Boulevard, Burlingame, San Mateo County; for maintenance, removal of debris, and monitoring of subject property. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (A2234; RA# 2019060) (A 22; S 13) (Staff: M. Schroeder) 57

81 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND POSEIDON RESOURCES (SURFSIDE) LLC (PARTIES): Consider authorization of a Memorandum of Understanding to provide site assurance for use of the Bolsa Chica Lowlands Restoration Project area to fulfill mitigation obligations for a proposed desalination facility if permitted by the regulatory agencies, in the city of Huntington Beach, Orange County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 025306A; RA# X9702) (A 74; S 37) (Staff: W. Hall, L. Calvo) Removed from agenda 10/21/19 20

VII Public Comment 73

VIII Commissioners’ Comments 73
At any time during the meeting the Commission may meet in a session of Government Code section 11126, part of the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

A. Litigation.

The Commission may consider pending and possible litigation pursuant to the confidentiality of attorney-client communications and privileges provided under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e).

1. The Commission may consider pending and possible matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(A), concerning adjudicatory proceedings before a court, an administrative body exercising its adjudicatory authority, a hearing officer, or an arbitrator, to which the Commission is a party. Such matters currently include the following:

- Baywood, LLC and California State Lands Commission v. DOES
- California Coastkeeper Alliance, California Coastal Protection v. California State Lands Commission
- Eugene Davis v. State of California and California State Lands Commission
- In re: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc., Bankruptcy Chapter 11
- In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Bankruptcy Chapter 11
- In re: Rincon Island Limited Partnership Chapter 7
- In re: Venoco, LLC, Bankruptcy Chapter 11
- Madden v. City of Redwood City
2. The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(b), under which;

a. A point has been reached where, in the opinion of the Commission, on the advice of its legal counsel, based on existing facts and circumstances, there is a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission, or
b. Based on existing facts and circumstances, the Commission is meeting only to decide whether a closed session is authorized because of a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission.

3. The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(C), where, based on existing facts and circumstances, the state body has decided to initiate or is deciding whether to initiate litigation.

B. Conference with real property negotiators.

The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (c)(7), under which, prior to the purchase sale, exchange, or lease of real property by or for the Commission, the directions may be given to its negotiators regarding price and terms of payment for the purchase, sale, exchange, or lease. At the time of publication of this Agenda, it is not anticipated that the Commission will discuss any such matters; however, at the time of the scheduled meeting, a discussion of any such matter may be necessary or appropriate.

C. Other matters.

The Commission may also consider personnel actions to appoint, employ, or dismiss a public employee as provided for in Government Code section 11126(A)(1).

Adjournment 84
Reporter's Certificate 85
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: I'm Eleni Kounalakis. I'm joined today by State Controller Betty Yee and Gayle Miller representing the Department of Finance.

For the benefit of those in the audience, the State Lands Commission manages State property interests in over five million acres of land including mineral interests. The Commission also has responsibility for the preservation of oil spills at marine oil terminals and offshore oil platforms and for preventing the introduction of marine invasive species into California's marine waters.

Today, we will hear requests and presentations involving the lands and resources within the Commission's jurisdiction.

We recognize that the lands we manage have been inhabited for thousands of years by California's native people, and takes seriously our trust relationship with these sovereign governments. Today, our gratitude goes to the Ohlone and Miwok people who have lived, fished, hunted and gathered in this area for countless generations, and who continue to revitalize and reclaim their culture today.

I would like to start our meeting today by welcoming Supervisor Candace Anderson, Vice Chair of the
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors. Welcome.

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SUPERVISOR ANDERSEN: Good morning. And I know better to turn on my microphone. I do want to welcome all three of you to Contra Costa County. I am supervisor Candace Andersen and I have the privilege of representing the south end of Contra Costa County. The ends of Lafayette, Moraga, Orinda, Danville, San Ramon, and Walnut Creek. And it's really a privilege to welcome you to our chambers today. I hope you'll come back next year where we are going to have even more fun. New and improved chambers across the street, as we are building our new county administration building.

We are just delighted to give you the opportunity to meet here in our county. We appreciate the positive working relationship that you have with our county staff. And in Contra Costa County, we have 300 miles -- over 300 miles of shoreline within our jurisdiction, and I believe over 100 State Land leases held within Contra Costa.

And so it's -- to us, it's with great appreciation that you meet here where it's convenient for our constituents, your constituents to be here to participate in these meetings. We are looking forward to a little discussion about Crockett later on as well. But we appreciate all also -- I've got to extend my appreciation both to our county DoIT, our technology group.
that has really helped facilitate the technology today, clerk of our Board staff, City of Martinez Police Department, who have all supported the logistics that come with a meeting of this magnitude. And you have our very best wishes on behalf of the entire Board of Supervisors and appreciate all you do for the people of California.

So thank you for being here.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you so much, Supervisor. Thank you for letting us take over your chambers today. And we are delighted to be here. It is always our mission to hold these meetings in various parts of California, as you say, so that the public knows the work of the Commission and how it relates to them in their own community.

So thank you so much.

The first item of business will be the adoption of the minutes from the Commission's meeting of August 23rd, 2019.

May I have a motion to approve the minutes.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So moved.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Any objection?

If not, motion passes unanimously.

The next order of business is the Executive Officer's report. Ms. Lucchesi, may we have the report.
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Good morning, Chair and Commissioners. I also wanted to express my gratitude to the County of Contra Costa and the Board of Supervisors, and all of their staff for allowing us to have our Commission meeting here today. It is wonderful to come to this area of the waterfront and talk about all the things that we're doing along this area, and in partnership with the staff of the Contra Costa County.

In particularly -- in particular, I'd like to thank Amalia Cunningham and John Kopchik, who we work with very closely on the future of the Crockett waterfront, but also who have been absolutely instrumental in being able to set up today's meeting for you, in a way that has just been very seamless and easy for us. So I want to extend our gratitude to them for their attention to us and to the detail that we need in order to host a Commission meeting here.

Next, I want to update the Commission on the most recent fire that has broken out in Sonoma County. It's being called the Kincade Fire. And that is in close proximity and has impacted our leases at the Geyser Field, geothermal leases at the Geyser Field. We are still learning the extent of the impact. We are in constant contact with Calpine and their representatives.

What we know so far, based on our conversations
with Calpine representatives, is that no employees have been injured, and there has only been minor damage to the facilities. We will continue to keep in close contact with them as things progress and as we learn more.

    Next, I want to update the Commission on public access at Hollister Ranch in Santa Barbara County. The -- on October 9th, Governor Newsom signed a AB 1680 by Assembly Member Limón, which will help facilitate the opening of public -- of Hollister Ranch to public access along the 8.5 miles of coastline there.

    I want to just quote the Governor in a -- in a Time -- in a Los Angeles Times article where he made clear his position on beach access for all, stating, "As Californian's respect and reverence to our..." -- "...for Our Beaches is in our DNA, so much so that we have enshrined public beach access into our State Constitution", he said. "I've long fought to protect these public treasurers for future generations and to ensure any person can experience their beauty. That won't change now that I'm Governor".

    And I think with that statement, along with the very strong position of this Commission and, of course, of that of Assembly Member Limón, and the rest of the Legislature, that we have a really strong foundation in terms of moving forward, working with the Hollister Ranch
homeowners, as well as all the stakeholders involved to actually facilitate a new public access program and pursue implementation of that as well.

Along those same lines, in terms of the details about how we're going to proceed with facilitating public access, as you are aware, we have an agreement with the three other State agencies, the Coastal Conservancy, the Coastal Commission, and State Parks to pursue a public engagement process, we have retained a consultant to help us do that. That process, which will include one-on-one interviews and surveys will start in November and then proceed into the beginning of next year with more larger public meetings to again solicit feedback and input from various stakeholders on what values associated with public access they'd like to see out there.

And this will also be in conjunction with our work with the Hollister Ranch homeowners and their representatives to make sure that we take into account their interests and their vision as private property owners of the uplands there.

Most recently, the State Coastal Conservancy on October 17th authorized the acceptance of $300,000 from State Parks to again facilitate the consultant's work on public engagement. So we also have a very good foundation of funds to ensure that our public outreach is as thorough
and comprehensive as possible.

Next, I'd just like to update the Commission on some work and education that we're pursuing with the Salton Sea. The Salton Sea is this State's largest lake. However, it is not a natural lake, so there -- it is not Public Trust Lands that the Commission has jurisdiction over. It was essentially created by accident in 1905.

But the Commission's jurisdiction in this area does include some landholdings, school landholdings and lands that we acquired through land exchanges. We also have a number of geothermal leases in the approximate area of the Salton Sea. So we have some key interest in the future of the Salton Sea, along with, of course, the habitat and wildlife values associated with the Salton Sea.

So last week, Commission staff attended the Salton Sea Summit at the UC Riverside Palm Desert campus facilitated by numerous stakeholders to address the serious and growing threat posed by the shrinking Salton Sea. Climate fluctuations, improved agricultural conservation measures, decreased water flow from Mexico, and water diversion to cities has resulted in a reduction of the lake level by nine inches in the last 16 years.

This has caused an increase in water salinity and exposure of salty, silty playa that is increasingly
emitting dust during wind events, like Owens Lake, prior to the routine remediation that DWP is pursuing. This is posing a serious threat to human health, the ecology of the lake, and the economy of Imperial Valley.

The State of California, through the Natural Resources Agency in 2017, adopted the Salton Sea management program, the first phase of which will construct habitat and suppress dust on areas of the playa that are or will be exposed by 2028. Several projects are underway or are in the permitting stage, and the State is planning a Programmatic EIR to expedite the implementation of the additional habitat and dust remediation projects.

We are continuing to be involved and monitor the situation especially because of our landholdings and our leases in that area. And we'll continue to update the Commission on the activities of the State in that area.

Next, I want to update the Commission on our two oil and gas offshore facility decommissioning projects. First, I'll start with Platform Holly and the Piers 421. We were -- in terms of Piers 421, these are the two shore zone piers. The one production well that we had been working on for months to plug and abandon properly.

As a Commission, and the public will remember, we did have a minor discharge incident on May 28th. That was cleaned up under the supervision of the Unified Command.
Back in December, we finally completed and successfully completed the plugging and abandonment of that production well.

And so that is a huge milestone, and it really signifies also the strong partnership that we have with the U.S Coast Guard, the Office of Oil Spill Prevention and Response, DOGGR, the City of Goleta, and the County of Santa Barbara.

So with that finally plugged and abandoned, we are can move on to analyzing the actual decommissioning and removal of the infrastructure there pursuant to CEQA and hopefully start on that work in the first half of next year.

And then that also leads us to beginning work on the plugging and abandonment of the 30 wells off of Platform Holly. We have been working for the last year and a half two years to essentially get the platform ready to plug and abandon those wells. And we are about to start doing the first well next week.

So a lot happening and it's a very exciting time. It's also a right time to go down to the community and talk about the success of Piers 421, as well as the start of the plugging and abandonment of the 30 offshore wells. We have scheduled a town hall for November 7th to talk to the community about the progress and what the future looks
like. We try -- we -- over the last couple years, we've been attempting to go down there for about two or three town halls throughout the year to -- in order to keep the community updated and answer any questions that they may have.

That leads me to -- excuse me -- the decommissioning of Rincon Island. And as part of the trip that staff will make down to Santa Barbara County and Ventura County, we also will be hosting two tours of Rincon Island. The first -- on November 7th. The first one with Ventura County officials, so that they can see the progress that we're making there, as well as the Dean of the Bren Institute of UC Santa Barbara and his colleagues to come out and check out the island and see what potential there might be post decommissioning of those facilities.

So we're really excited to host those tours on November 7th and talk about the significant progress that we're making on Rincon Island.

We have successfully plugged and abandoned 20 of the 50 offshore wells and 24 of the 25 onshore wells. And this is ahead of schedule and about $2 million under our projected budget. So like I said, we're making significant progress on Rincon Island and we're very proud of the work that our contractor and our staff from our
Mineral Resources Management Division are doing with Rincon Island.

Next, I want to highlight a recent memorandum of understanding that Commission staff entered into with Coastal Commission staff. A link to this MOU is highlighted on our agenda under the Executive Officer's report.

The Coastal Commission and State Lands Commission are working together on a Public Trust coordination project funded by a grant from the National -- National Ocean[SIC] and Atmospheric Association[SIC], NOAA. The purpose of this multi-year project is to strengthen communication, collaboration, and coordination between the two agencies surrounding our prospect -- respective Public Trust responsibilities and the challenges related to the ambulatory State tidelands boundary, climate change, rising sea levels, a rapidly changing shoreline, and competing Public Trust uses.

The Public Trust Doctrine and the California Coastal Act provide important legal frameworks to address coastal resource protection, given future sea level rise, other climate impacts, and shoreline management issues.

And the goal of this MOU is really to create a process for communication and work going forward that will facilitate collaboration between the agencies and
achieving protection of Public Trust lands, resources, uses, and values.

And I also want to emphasize that this MOU with its emphasis on coordination, and collaboration, and communication really also provides a strong foundation for applicants to have certainty of process. Obviously, between the two agencies, we have different legal frameworks by which we manage the resources and lands entrusted to our care. And this MOU does not change any of that. It does not circumvent any -- either agency's strong jurisdiction and authority.

What it does though is it provides a certainty of process and a certainty of coordination between our two agencies, which will hopefully facilitate understanding by applicants and give them a sense of confidence that we are working together and we won't ping-pong an applicant between our two agencies as they're trying to achieve their objective. And I can't emphasize enough that this MOU does nothing to undermine either agency's respective jurisdiction and authority.

Next, I want to provide an update to the Commission on our two offshore wind applications offshore of Vandenberg. As the Commission will recall, action on one of the applicants, Ideol's, data collection lease was deferred by the Commission at our August meeting, so that
we could get additional feedback from various stakeholders on data gaps and recommended methodologies for the data collection prior to Commission authorization.

Outreach has been ongoing since the August meeting. We've been working closely with the applicant Ideol to get full disclosure on the type of data collection activities they will be undertaking. And both the applicant, Ideol, and Commission staff separately have held a number of conversations with stakeholders, including environmental NGOs over the past couple of months.

And we're working with those stakeholders to make sure that when we bring the data collection lease back to the Commission, that we've identified and addressed all the concerns to the extent possible that we can.

We also have two applications for an actual demonstration project by Ideol and another company called CIERCO. Both applications are currently incomplete with the Commission. And we're working with both applicants on the information that's needed to complete their application. CIERCO has been providing information and responses to our incomplete letter, but have not fully responded to the requests for additional information. And we're still working with Ideol to submit information to address our incomplete letter that we have sent them.
This is the normal process that we go through with all applicants in terms of ensuring that we have the best information we can to synthesize and analyze to develop a recommendation for the Commission.

Next, I want to update the Commission on one of our sister agency's recent adoption of their Environmental Justice Policy. The Bay Conservation and Development Commission recently adopted their first ever Environmental Justice Policy. The State Lands Commission is a voting member on the BCDC and we have been working very closely with BCDC staff both in the development of their Environmental Justice Policy. And likewise, they worked very closely with us in the development of the Environmental Justice Policy the Commission adopted last year.

It's been a great effort again to ensure coordination, and collaboration, and shared values, and foundations for making sure that the policy that was adopted by both BCDC and State Lands can be implemented consistent with the intent and to the fullest degree possible.

Next, I want to highlight an item on the Commission's agenda today on the consent agenda, Item 69. I don't believe I have any requests to speak on that item. So I want to take the moment -- a moment here to express
to the Commission how important this particular boundary line agreement and settlement agreement is both to the property owners at Donner Lake as well as the Commission. The boundary dispute at Donner Lake around the -- almost the entire lake has been ongoing for decades dating all the way back to the early 1970s. And it has only been over the last couple years that we have been able to work cooperatively with representatives of the property owners, you know, through some litigation, but I like to focus on the -- on the positive work of what the settlement the Commission authorized a number of months ago, where that has led us to today, an authorization of this agreement. It provides certainty as to boundaries and leasing jurisdiction, which is important for the property owners there, as well as it preserves the Commission's ownership interest, public access interest in the Public Trust easement area, as well as takes into account the ambulatory nature of the actual water boundary on the ground.

This is a win-win all the way around. And I could not be more proud -- prouder of our legal staff, Warren Crunk and our boundary staff, Dan Frink, for all the work that they did to get us here, as well as the great litigation support that we had from the AG's office. So I wanted to highlight that.
And I have just one more thing, if you'll bear
with me, and then I'll be done. Last week, October 17th
through the 18th, our Senior Environmental Scientist with
the Commission's Marine Invasive Species Program, Lina
Ceballos, represented the State of California at an
International Maritime Organization workshop in Mexico
City. The IMO convened the workshop in collaboration with
the United Nations Development Program and the Global
Environment Facility to address the issue of invasive
aquatic species transferred through biofouling in some of
the developing regions of the world.

Biofouling is the accumulation of aquatic
organisms on surfaces and structures emersed in water.
And biofouling species are moved around the world on
vessels and can threat native communities, human health,
and the economy when introduced to new regions.

Mexico is one of the 12 countries selected to
participate in this IMO biofouling project. The IMO
organized this workshop to create a Mexican national task
force to bring together all relevant stakeholders
associated with biofouling management with the goal of
developing and implementing biofouling regulations.

Since the Commission's Marine Invasive Species
Program already developed and implemented biofouling
requirements in California, and due to California's
proximity and significant shipping traffic shared with Mexico, the IMO invited Commission staff as expert guests to participate in the workshop and share our experiences and knowledge with the participants. During the workshop, Lina described the risk of aquatic invasive species and the importance of biofouling as a vector for introducing these species.

She presented an overview of the California biofouling regulations and the lessons learned during the rulemaking process, and facilitated a discussion during the national task force formation. The workshop was all in Spanish. And the presence of a representative from California that spoke their same language helped the discussions and activities program by the IMO.

The workshop included a very engaged and committed group of people that will continue with the regulations development process in Mexico, and important connections were made, and Commission staff will continue to help the task force during their regulation development process.

These collaborations are important to ensure global consistency of biofouling management, and will facilitate work and partnerships between California and Mexico. And that concludes my Executive Officer's report.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Well, that was a very comprehensive and very substantive report. And I just want to commend you and your staff, because it's reflective of how hard your team works and how effectively you work between meetings to be able to -- to be able to advance the important objectives of the Commission. So thank you.

And if there is any comment on the public report from either of the Commissioners.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. Let me also just add my thanks to the staff. The progress with respect to the two oil and gas decommissioning efforts is really nothing short of remarkable. The proactiveness of this Commission, the staff just really steadily continued to keep a hand on the work that needs to be done every day on that. And I especially appreciate the ongoing external outreach with respect to the progress. That's been important as -- for the communities surrounding those areas.

I also want to just say with respect to Hollister Ranch, I know there was some concern about a bill maybe pressing not too much in one direction or another. And I just wanted to publicly say, this was really to just try to put the efforts back on track. I know the author of
The bill really wanted to see more progress. I think people in the area have been wanting to see some sort of an agreement reached for sometime now. But I think it really was to just create a neutral starting point, so that now the agencies that part of the Memorandum of Agreement to move forward can begin that work and begin really a publicly-oriented process, which I think will be helpful.

One of the things I just wanted to congratulate you on is that, you know, the Environmental Justice Policy that we adopted last year, the work that continues to be done in terms of integrating that into the work throughout the Commission is ongoing, but also has really been taken note of by others in State government. And so I'm hopeful that when we undertake the Hollister Ranch effort that we will really put that into play, certainly reaching out to those communities that have been wanting to be a part of the conversation with respect to what beach access looks like that provides some semblance of equity.

Thank you.

Chairperson Kounalakis: The next order of business will be the adoption of the consent calendar. Commissioner Yee, Commissioner Miller, are there any items you would like removed from the consent calendar?

Ms. Lucchesi, are there any items, if any, that
have been removed from the consent calendar?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Consent item 21 has been removed from the agenda. And Regular items 79 and 81 are removed from the agenda and will be considered at a later time.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Is there anyone in the audience who wishes to speak on any item remaining on the consent calendar?

Okay. If not, we will proceed with a vote.

Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So moved.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Objection?

Okay. If not, seeing none, the motion passes unanimously.

The next order of business will the regular calendar. Item 77 is to consider supporting HR 3895 by Representative Juan Vargas and HR 4039 by Representative Mike Levin to support mitigation efforts in the Tijuana River Valley and to reduce cross-border pollution from flowing into the United States.

May we have with the presentation, please.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: Yes.

Thank you, Madam Chair and Commissioners. This item recommends that the Commission support these two federal
bills that seek to address the untreated wastewater, sewage, and trash and sediment from Mexico that flows into Southern California. The Commission has jurisdiction over the sovereign lands in and near this area and has several leases, including a lease to the City of San Diego and the International Boundary and Water Commission for an outfall.

HR 3895, which was introduced this past July, would increase the capital of the North American Development Bank by 1.5 million for water infrastructure projects and it would also establish a Border Health Public Trust Fund.

HR 4039, also introduced this past July, would require the U.S. EPA to carry out a program to fund water treatment and other infrastructure improvement projects to try to prevent the flow of transboundary waste and other things into our state. Both bills are in committee and awaiting a hearing.

I also wanted to mention that recently also at the federal level, Senator Feinstein secured almost $20 million in three appropriation bills to also help facilitate improvements and take a more broad approach to address this issue.

Her language in the appropriation bills would require the Secretary of State to create an interagency
plan to address the effects of the toxic pollution and also figure out which agencies are responsible and how to elevate this issue with Mexico -- Mexican officials.

As I think the Commission has heard before, unfortunately pollution is common in this area and the effects are really devastating. And so these two bills are a way to help address the crisis, and, in particular, develop funding and target it at ways to either expand or rebuild the wastewater treatment facility in California and also other diversionary infrastructure to help prevent the flow of waste into -- into our State waters.

It's also consistent with the Commission's role and jurisdiction over sovereign lands in this area. And the Commission is a plaintiff in litigation pending against the International Boundary and Water Commission and consistent with that effort as well.

So we recommend that the Commission take a support position on these two bills.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Commissioners, any questions?

I might just weigh in, because I've been spending some time on this issue myself. I was in Mexico City a few weeks ago and had the opportunity to meet with the Minister of Economy, who discussed the current Mexican government's commitment to improving the infrastructure
and water treatment in Tijuana, which is a very important piece of this process.

And yesterday, I was down in Tijuana and had the opportunity to meet with Governor-Elect of Baja, California Jaime Bonilla, who is a former dual citizen and had been a representative on the Chula Vista Water District. Someone with quite a bit of knowledge and expertise on the question of water and water quality.

It's also important to recognize that improvements on the California side are deeply needed. And so these bills will be very helpful as we do our part on this side of the border to make sure that water treatment is adequate and that the various overlapping agencies are working together, because it truly is a major, major threat to public health.

And the fact that it has been elevated by the Newsom administration I think is a big part of how we have seen an increase in recognition of the need to act and I'm very hopeful not just that as we on our side of the border are doing more, that on the Mexican side of the border, there is an increased recognition of the need to improve facilities in order to limit the discharges, which are the root of the problem.

And I might just add because you mentioned the Governor's remarks on public access to the beaches, both
with that important issue that affects this Commission and
the question of the Tijuana River Valley, he sat on this
Commission for eight years and worked with the staff of
the State Lands Commission. So I think what we're seeing
is many years of elevating these important projects and
these important issues to be able to get to the point now
where there's real momentum in order to be able to address
them effectively.

So I am in favor of endorsing these bills.

Anyone else like to speak?

All right. Yes, Jennifer.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We do have one
person that would like to speak on this item.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Yes.

Jennifer Savage. Hello.

MS. SAVAGE: Hi. Jennifer Savage, Surfrider
Foundation. Just wanted to echo the support for
supporting this legislation. Our San Diego Chapter has
been working on this issue for years, years, decades, I
think, at this point. It's such a crisis, as has been
identified. And with this particular issue, even though
putting all the pieces in place is certainly a huge
challenge, we know that with enough funding, with enough
determination that it is solvable. So it's really
heartening to see the Commission's emphasis on trying to
make progress.

I think that this legislation will be an important and useful key in finding the solution, so that the Tijuana River Valley, the estuary Imperial Beach and Border Field state Park. All these places that are right now terribly polluted, dangerous places could at last be transformed into the critical habitat and recreational treasures that they should be for our state.

So thank you very much

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Yes. Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. And thank you for your leadership and certainly presence with the Mexican government on this. We -- this is -- these are all efforts that are going to require very high levels of cooperation and certainly with respect to implementing some of the work that we need to have take place.

I did want to just point out that perhaps the Commission could take a leadership role, because there are many diverse interests that really want to be part of the solution. And just in a couple of the visits and conversations I've had with some of those interests, it seems to me that we're going to trip over ourselves if we don't have some sort of better coordination.

And so I think through you, Madam Chair, if we could maybe just have the staff look at just some of the
other activities that are happening. And much of it
privately financed, but I'm not so sure are necessarily
cognizant of some of the just compliance issues that need
to be focused upon, and then obviously a lot of global
interest in this as well.

So to the extent that we could maybe just do an
inventory of all of the efforts that are taking place down
there and the various interests that have weighed in with
intention about trying to help resolve some of the Tijuana
River Valley issues would be great.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you so much for
that. I will say Wade Crowfoot from EPA? EPA? No,
Natural Resources, but also the EPA have been very
involved in this. So I think that what we have is sort of
a team leadership effort going on. And, you know, there
really are two pieces of this, the California side and the
Mexico side.

And it's not going to be possible to provide --
to build a big enough water treatment plant on the
California side to clean the Tijuana River when the flows
are high. So certainly we have work to do on our side to
treat what we can. But this partnership with Mexico is
going to be very, very important. And again, I think that
the pieces are in place, but the ongoing work with the
Mexican government, and, of course, with the federal
government, which has so much jurisdiction as well.

So our seat at the table to make sure that everyone is moving forward and working together, so that the meetings are not just recognition that we have a problem, but that, in fact, it's really moving toward building more infrastructure, particularly frankly on the other side of the border.

So I think we have real momentum. But again, there's just a lot of work to do. So -- so that's what we're going to do.

Is there a motion to --

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'll move to support the two federal bills.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Any objections?

Seeing none. Motion carries. Thank you.

Item 78 is an informational update on the Commission's activities along the Crockett waterfront near Carquinez Bridge.

May we have the presentation, please.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was Presented as follows.)

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Good morning,

Chairwoman Kounalakis and members of the Commission. My name is Nicholas Lavoie and I'm a Public Land Manager in
the Commission's Land Management Division. And I am
presenting on Item 78, which is an informational update on
the Crockett waterfront.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was
Presented as follows.)

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: The Crockett
waterfront is located on the south side of the Carquinez
Strait where it meets San Pablo Bay. Even though
Crockett's entire northern boundary is connected to the
Carquinez Strait, the community is, in a way, disconnected
from the strait. The community's boundary with the strait
has both natural barriers, such as bluffs, and human-made
barriers.

On this map, I attempt to show how the Crockett
shoreline is broken up. Starting from the west, or the
left side of the map, you can see there is a marine oil
terminal and associated refinery. Adjacent to that is
Selby Slag. Then you have a stretch of natural bluff and
a railroad right of way along the shore. Beyond that is
the C&H sugar refinery, followed by another natural bluff
with the same railroad right of way along the shore. And
finally at the east, there is a public fishing pier.

Along the bluff, there are wonderful scenic
views. But as you can see, there is very little shoreline
allowing the public to get closer to the water. In the
center of the map, you will find the area that is subject
to this informational presentation. This is a low-lying
area at the waterfront just west of the Carquinez Bridge
with a railroad right of way separating the community from
the actual shoreline.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: The Commission's
jurisdiction at this location is not readily apparent. As
you know, the Commission has jurisdiction over sovereign
land in the original bed of the Carquinez Strait and the
strait is generally located in the same place it was at
statehood in 1850.

However, a low-lying area adjacent to the strait
is actually filled sovereign land. Organizations and
individuals began buying tidelands at the base of the hill
to fill for railroad use. And, as you can see today, on
this image or if you've every taken the Capital Corridor
train, the railroad is right along the shoreline.

The original railroad track meandered the base of
the hill and is shown in magenta. The curve of the track
required trains to slow. And the railroad had an interest
in straightening the line to keep the trains moving more
quickly. As you can see by the 1930s much of the fill had
been placed and the track was already straightened, as
highlighted within the green line.
PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: As tidelands were filled, new tidelands were created and private parties continued to purchase and fill tidelands. These types of purchases are known as stacked tidelands. While the original tidelands sale, tidelands survey 11, is valid, all of the stacked tideland sales are invalid, and the ownership of the land resides with the State as sovereign land.

With that said, private parties still held paper deeds to this stacked tideland parcels, which were eventually transferred to C&H Sugar. And in 1974, Commission staff informed C&H Sugar that the tideland parcels C&H received from Southern Pacific Transportation Company were invalid.

Over time, C&H Sugar terminated all the leases it had with third parties within the stacked tidelands area, and C&H Sugar came under lease with the Commission for its use of these public lands.

To date, the Commission and C&H Sugar have not cleared the chain of title, but have worked cooperatively to address complicated issues created by the original tideland sales.

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: The Commission has
existing leases in this fill tideland area with numerous entities for a multitude of different uses, such as public agencies, industrial, agricultural, and recreational.

The Commission also had a commercial lease with Crockett Marine Service Incorporated, which operated the Nantucket Restaurant and associated marina and boat repair business.

The Commission terminated that lease in December of 2018 due to their inability to perform under the lease. Generally, this area struggled for many years due to a lack of management by Crockett Marine Service. However, the area has seen renewed support. The renewed interest seems to have been spurred by the general clean-up activities that have taken place and removal of Crockett Marine Service as a lessee.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Clean up on the upland started in 2017. Contra Costa County code enforcement began siting ongoing issues in the public parking area adjacent to the Nantucket Restaurant, and Commission staff worked with C&H Sugar and CalRecycle to clean up the parking lot area landward of the railroad tracks.

After the initial clean up by CalRecycle, barricades were placed to reduce the size of the parking
lot and reduce opportunity for ongoing illegal dumping activities.

Staff also had two gates installed for access to the parking lot and distributed keys to authorized parties. The parking lot is intended to be open during the daytime to allow for public access, but closed during the evenings to help maintain the property and discourage dumping and other illicit activity.

The county code enforcement officers and sheriff's deputies have taken -- have been key partners in keeping the site as clean and safe as it is today.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Despite the expensive clean-up efforts that have already occurred, there are more being done and clean-up efforts at the site are ongoing.

Most recently, the Bass Club offered -- or covered graffiti with fresh paint and the Contra Costa Resource Conservation District organized an Earth Day clean up and a California coastal clean-up day where large amounts of garbage and debris were removed from the site.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Staff has received two applications for the use of this area. Both application have the potential to help vitalize the area.
One application is from the Contra Costa Resource Conservation District for a passive park space landward of the railroad tracks. The District has also applied for an Urban Greening Grant through the California Natural Resources Agency to fund the project. The grant was not approved this year, but the district is hopeful to have one more chance next year.

The second application is from Rod and Destinee Dixon for the rehabilitation of the formal -- the former Nantucket Restaurant. Their application includes the clean up of some of the former Crockett Marine Service lease area. Their application is currently incomplete and the Dixons are working to complete their application. One ongoing challenge for the Dixons is that to operate the restaurant, they need access rights from Union Pacific due to the railroad crossing.

Staff understands this to be an expensive and time-consuming process. The railroad and Public Utilities Commission have standards that must be met to open and maintain the railroad crossing. I can tell you firsthand the Dixons are persistent. And after eight months, it appears they are close to getting a right-of-way agreement in place with the railroad.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: In the meantime,
staff has heard repeated requests from the county that the Commission not seek removal of the existing restaurant facilities waterward of the railroad tracks. The county would like to see the restaurant and supporting facilities reopened.

While staff continues to process the application submitted and believes successful reuse of the restaurant is possible, the existing facilities are an ongoing hazard and source of blight. Staff has already expended funds on multiple occasions to board up the restaurant and adjacent facilities. The status quo is not sustainable.

Staff has a duty to explore all options to address the existing facilities. And one such option is to return the site to a natural state, thereby reducing the risk and liability to the State. This is an expensive proposal, for which funding is not currently available.

Staff is working through the contracting process to see how much in-water and shoreline debris can be removed using the Kapiloff Fund expenditure approved by the Commission in October of 2018. The entire cleanup is estimated to cost $3 million. The Commission currently has approximately $690,000 available.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Staff has, and continues to seek, partnerships and funding mechanisms to
remove all hazards and sources of blight. While the Commission has given authorization to conduct removal activities in the water at the site, staff is not authorized to pursue such removal of the restaurant and supporting facilities without specific authorization from the Commission. In addition, any reuse of the area will require separate Commission authorization.

One partnerships that looks promising is with the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, National Marine Fisheries Service, Lind Marine, and Lehigh Hanson/Hanson Aggregates. This partnership seeks to conduct necessary in-water cleanup within the defunct marina. Several sunken vessels and numerous abandoned pilings have already been identified as eligible for removal and those items are identified on this image, the vessels circled in red and the pilings in blue.

The proposal would be undertaken to fulfill BCDC permit conditions and a conservation measure included in the National Marine Fisheries Services Biological Opinion associated with Lind and Hanson's sand mining activities in the San Francisco Bay.

This is the end of my update, but I want you to know that staff is committed to working with the stakeholders regarding the use and cleanup of the area. And I am available answer any questions.
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. That was a really terrific presentation, particularly those drone images really helped to see the site so well.

Do any Commissioners have comments or questions?

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. The $3 million slated for cleanup. Have we pursued funding for that as a -- formally?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We are open to pursuing all options, including budget augmentations. But we have not received a budget augmentation to --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- for the full $3 million at this point.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. Okay. All right. I would like to see something maybe advanced, so -- and I -- and the plan is in place, so we've got the justification for the activities that will be required.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I didn't hear that last part.

COMMISSIONER YEE: The plan is in place, so there's easy justification for the funding, if we request it.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah, we've been doing a lot of work to evaluate and establish the
justification for full removal of everything. So we do
have a lot of evidence and facts in place for that
justification.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I will just note too
that the Commission is looking at these hazards statewide,
particularly not just in this area, but throughout the
Delta. We just presented a report to the Commission
earlier this year on the abandoned vessels in the Delta.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We're also looking
in Southern California and the coastal hazards associated
with prior industries there. So this is a -- the hazards
in this area are incredibly impactful to the local
community. This is also representative of the larger
issue that the Commission --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- faces with
costal hazards and in-water hazards throughout the state.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. And I'm just thinking
about in terms of -- and I am -- and I do think about
these things statewide that we have a lot of kind of
hazard abatement type of things that we've been hanging on
to for a while.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Right.
COMMISSIONER YEE: It seems to me it might be ripe for some one-time funding, if available, so -- to just make some good progress on them. So I'd like to see something advance.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah. Understood. And we'll continue to pursue the paths that are available to us for budget augmentations.

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's great. Thank you.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Ms. Lucchesi, is there a way to somehow -- I don't know if this is possible, but to somehow prioritize some of the funding needs for abatement around the state? Is that -- is that something the Commission would be -- or your staff would be able to do?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: That is definitely feasible to do. We will likely need to reach out for other expertise, both in terms of conducting a comprehensive inventory and then assigning criteria to establish a priority. That's exactly what we did in the abandoned vessel assessment that we presented to the Commission for the abandoned vessels in the Delta area. We worked very closely with OSPR on -- in terms of the inventory that they conducted and then assigned criteria to establish priorities associated with removing those
abandoned vessels.

So can you take that and apply it more broadly across the state? Of course, we can. I will be honest that we do not have the existing resources to do this -- that, at this time, both personnel, expertise, and then the actual funding to conduct that inventory and analysis. But it's definitely something that is on our minds constantly and something that, given the adequate resources and funding, we would be happy to pursue in coordination with other agencies that are looking at similar issues.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Great. Thank you.

And just one follow-up question. Have there been any budget change proposals submitted with regards to hazard abatement from you or any of -- or any other department?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So we have not been successful in receiving budget augmentations in budgets approved by the Legislature and signed by the Governor in the past, except for certain one-time allocations associated with coastal hazard removal and remediation in the Santa Barbara Channel area through special funding that occurred, gosh, maybe almost a decade ago.

So, yes, we have not been successful in receiving budget augmentations in approved budgets thus far for a
larger inventory and assessment.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Thank you very much. And we have some folks here who would like to speak on this item. When you hear your name, please approach the podium. And first, we have John Kopchik, then Igor Skaredoff, and then Heidi Petty.

John Kopchik.

MR. KOPCHIK: Good morning, Chair Kounalakis and Members Yee and Miller and good morning also to Executive Officer Lucchesi. And we really appreciate you being here and the excellent presentation that Mr. Lavoie -- I think he did an excellent job of summarizing the issue. And as he mentioned, even though the community of Crockett lies right along the shoreline. It's just that narrow window where the people that live there and people in the surrounding area can actually get to the shoreline and enjoy it.

And really, the only way across the railroad tracks is through the lease that's been operated by the former leaseholders for the Nantucket Restaurant. And the County Board of Supervisors sent a letter to the State Lands Commission back in May, I believe it was, stressing that, you know, for all that length of shoreline how critically important it was to maintain a commercial use
out there.

And so I know that the staff is interested in exploring the need for -- you know, if nothing -- if you can't get a lessee to really revitalize that spot, that you need to clean it up. But I think we would argue that certainly some cleanup needs to happen. There's some aspects of that site that need to be cleaned up.

But really, if we ever lose the restaurant site and it becomes restored, of course, we need restoration throughout the county. That's part of our department's mission. That's part of the County's mission as well. But this is a place that people can actually go and enjoy a meal on the shoreline. And it would be -- it's really a defining feature of the Crockett community. It's one of their main assets.

And so I know that finding the resources to really help that prospective lessee get through the difficulty of getting a railroad crossing, we would just urge the Commission to try and find the resources to support that. It's a lot to ask of a private individual to deal with the railroads to accomplish a lease. And we would just urge the Commission to do what they can to try and make sure that restaurant lives on.

You will also be hearing from others about the need for the open space uplands. Our department is
working with them as well to try and help them frame a proposal for the park. And I think it presents a real opportunity to clean up, revitalize, and restore a really important part of the shoreline for Contra Costa County.

We will do our part. The County and the Department of Conservation and Development, where I work, we have code enforcement, economic developments all within our jurisdiction. We really look forward to continuing to work with your staff and with the Commission to try and make that a reality.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. And next we have Igor - I think I'm saying this right - Skaredoff? Is that right?

MR. SKAREDOFF: Very well done. It's an extremely challenging name. I've been living with it for 78 years.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: I know the feeling.

MR. SKAREDOFF: So you've done well with it.

Thank you.

I'm the Chairman of the Board for the Resource Conservation District in Contra Costa County. And I'd like to join Supervisor Anderson in welcoming you here to us. We're very happy to have you here. She and I are
also both colleagues on the Contra Costa LAFCO Commission, so we have another interest as well in these kind of topics.

I'll -- I'm not going to get into a lot of detail, but I would just like to let you know that our Resource Conservation District, we pledge our sweat equity, our -- what resources we can bring to bear, our connections in the community, and throughout the conservation and restoration community to bring all that to bear to make this all work. We're going to do very much whatever we can to make this all happen and to continue working with the local community, so that it reflects their values and their interests as well.

We have -- as have been mentioned, this is a fairly restricted area for -- unique area for access to the Bay in this part of the shoreline. A lot of the rest of it is either barricaded off or elevated away from the shore. And so especially valuable would be to integrate the work that we're doing on the upland side to make that the -- an attractive and safe place for the community to gather, and to celebrate, and do the various things that make a community a good one, to integrate that with this restoration project.

We already have a native plant nursery onsite. And there's a -- youth activities have been taking place
with restoration focused for a really long time on this site. So it's already got that going for it. And we intend to kind of keep all that in focus as well.

So thank you very much for hearing me out and welcome to us. And we'll be glad to host you again when showing the progress we've made on this project.

Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thank you. Heidi Petty. And then we have Larry Hayden, and then David Fraser.

MS. PETTY: Hello. Is that low enough?

I'm Heidi Petty. I work for the Contra Costa Resource Conservation District. I am actually currently the Watershed Program Manager for the Carquinez Strait. I've been part of this whole process from the beginning. I worked for Carquinez Regional Environmental Education Center for a long time, which is the nursery down there, the greenhouse.

So I was there one day and we walked there. And normally, there's a lot of garbage and dumping. And out of nowhere, all of that was gone. So thanks to your efforts and C&H's efforts, much of that garbage was removed. So with a blank palette in front of us, we decided to start a group of citizens. All of the people in Crockett came. Everyone was very interested in doing
this and revitalizing this area that they had kind of lost
to this garbage and a danger, honestly, because there was
no one there.

So we formed a committee called the Crockett
Recover the Waterfront Committee. We created a vision
plan for the park and -- and for the waterfront. That was
included. Our area is obviously more the park area. But
for us, keeping that railroad crossing open, having that
commerce down there, people there, is a very big part of
us being able to access that waterfront, have educational
activities, have recreation, have Crockett have a place to
really have something they can call their own, which they
have not had for a very long time.

So, for us, the restaurant, although we like the
idea of restoring it to a wonderful natural waterfront, I
believe that for our project, drawing people to the
community, having water access, people boating up, that's
a very important part too. So we're in great support of
them having a restaurant there still. We would like to
see maybe some fishing piers. It is a fishing community.

And I was recently told that it is part of your
environmental justice plan. So this is a community that
should be looked at. And I appreciate you going to all
that effort to do that, to involve the communities, to
look at places like this, where the shoreline can really
be revitalized and really bring a sense of community to the California shores.

So I appreciate you having this meeting here. I appreciate all of the work that Nicholas has done for us. He has been a great communicator with us. He has come out. He has toured the ideas with me, talked about things, helped us with our cleanup. So like you saw in his pictures, we did take 72 -- 7,200 pounds of garbage out on coastal cleanup day that day. The bass club came out and got out half boats, and garbage, and chairs, and things.

And it's actually making a really big dent in this area. So I would hate to see, now that we finally have a blank palette, it not going to something wonderful. So that's kind of my basic here. And I appreciate you coming here.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thank you very much for those kind words.

MS. PETTY: Yeah. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Larry Hayden.

MR. HAYDEN: Good morning. My name is Larry Hayden. I'm here representing the Crockett Chamber of Commerce. I'm also a member of the Crockett Restore the Waterfront Committee that Heidi just referenced.

Thank -- first of all, thank you very, very much
for holding this meeting here today and accessible for all the people from Crockett to be here. And thank you all for all of your efforts for the Crockett waterfront, and as Heidi said especially Nicholas and all the efforts he's put forth. We've really appreciate it.

Again, the waterfront is an extremely important area to Crockett. It has been for generations. From the Chamber Commerce's standpoint, the restaurant down there, that Nantucket Restaurant, although it's been, you know, marginal for years, it's still been a very, very viable economic feature of Crockett for decades and it serves the community. And, in fact, it really -- if you know Crockett at all, we really have no restaurants at this point. We have one giant restaurant, The Dead Fish, but that really doesn't serve the local community. It serves more of a regional basis. So Crockett -- with the loss of The Nantucket Restaurant now, we really have no locally serving restaurants. So it's very critical to us that that be restored.

So, however -- I mean, the cleanup down there is immensely important, but however, the coordination of that cleanup with the rebuilding of a functional restaurant and a marina would be critical to the town and the business community there.

So thank you all very much.
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

David Fraser, and then Dale McDonald, and then
Joanna Cassese, I think is what I see here.

Hello.

MR. FRASER: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm
David Fraser, chief of staff to District 5 Supervisor
Federal Glover. His supervisorial district includes
Crockett. He is traveling on the east coast today and
couldn't be here. So he asked me to stop by and just sort
of summarize three points that he would like for the
Commission to be aware of and to understand.

First, the location we're speaking of has had a
restaurant for about 50 years. So it's been a long
history and the average person could simply stop by and
have a meal. And so with the closure of that particular
business, it means that there is also a closure of access
to the Bay, and that's important.

But there's another piece to this as well. That
part of the county is a disadvantaged community under SB
535. And so that area has been historically
under-invested. That's an important point I think we need
to take away from this. I believe it was in May that the
board of supervisors sent correspondence to the Commission
expressing the desire to make sure that this remains a
restaurant. Supervisor Glover followed up with
correspondence in May.

And on the second note, the second issue is that Supervisor Glover believes that State Lands should perhaps take the lead in securing a master license of sorts with the railroad for crossing the tracks, so that whomever ultimately does business there will fall under that master license. The Commission probably will have a lot more leverage in negotiating such than an individual would. So that's a second thought and a second recommendation.

The third and final is this, although this Commission meeting being held here in Contra Costa County in Martinez is certainly welcome. Supervisor Glover also believes that it would be useful to have a meeting in the community, sort of a town hall meeting and such, where locals can attend and voice their opinions.

Finally, you know, the current condition is not something that we can continue to accept. It is -- it is in bad shape. And when property is left in this type of derelict condition, ultimately it attracts crime and the like. And so that's an area of concern for him as well.

Again, we'd like to welcome you to Contra Costa County and we appreciate your presence.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

And staff can note the request for a town hall meeting.
Two more speakers. If there's anyone I haven't
called who would like to speak, please submit a speaker
slip. We have Dale McDonald and then Joanna -- again, I
think it's Cassese.

Thank you.

MR. McDONALD: Good morning. My name is Dale
McDonald. I am the general manager of the Crockett
Community Services District. So we are the small local
government in Crockett. Our primary responsibility there
are sewers and recreation. So we don't have a direct
investment on the waterfront, but we have an interest in
the facility.

I wanted to share with the Commission the
District's position on the restore -- restoration of the
waterfront. And there's two aspects. There's the lot one
that Nicholas showed at, which is on the waterfront and
the restaurant. We can confirm that that restaurant in
that location is entitled to sewer service. So any
restored building can continue to receive sewer service at
that location. We anticipate upgrade requirements of
course.

On the landside, on the area that would be the
park, we have an easement that goes through there for
access to our -- actually a wet stormwater storage unit to
the west of town. We're supportive with the development
of that park, and are working with them, and would be willing to relocate and move our easement to facilitate open greenspace, but still allows us access. So both our board, as well as what I've heard through the town itself, have been very supportive of both projects to continue, waterfront development as well as landside.

The railroad crossing is very important to our district. From the sanitary district side, or our sanitary department, we do have some manholes and sewer lines on the northern side of that shore. We utilize that railroad crossing for maintenance, underneath the bridgehead for what is the lowest area of our wastewater manholes that are there. If that railroad crossing were to disappear, if the restaurant goes away, and the right for that crossing goes away, it would be very difficult for us to cross that track.

The railroad has removed other crossings in the past in the town of Port Costa that has caused a challenge for us, and we'd fear that happening again. So we're a strong supporter of trying to keep the restaurant have a crossing.

You mentioned in the opening remarks, the community, the Ohlone and other tribes accessing the water. We talked about fishing. It is hugely popular and still is along the waterfront. And this is that location
for safe crossing.

Otherwise, you're asking people to still cross the railroad. They will do it without a safe crossing. And I think that's a risk to them. So I appreciate you being -- allowing me to speak on this issue, sharing the support from our Community Services District, as well as the importance of protection from that railroad crossing and the support of the restaurant that the community has shown me.

I think I'll end by saying there's probably not a week that goes by that I don't run into someone from greater West Contra Costa County telling me in the day-to-day challenges what happened to The Nantucket? I so liked going there. So it's not just Crockett. There are countless people throughout west county that enjoyed going town there on a warm November day coming up soon -- we expect more -- and enjoying that restaurant.

So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

And then finally we have one more speaker, if she is here. Joanna, please tell us how to spell -- how to pronounce your last name. I'm so sorry.

MS. CASSESE: It depends on whether you're in Italy or in or America.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Cassese.
Cassese?

MS. CASSESE: In Italy, you're correct, it's Cassese.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: All right.

MS. CASSESE: Here, it's Cassese.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay.

MS. CASSESE: But thank you so very much for all the work that you do. I'm here representing the community of Crockett as a member of the Crockett Community Foundation, and the Crockett Lions Club, and also someone still considered a relative newcomer, but has been living in Crockett for about 40 years --

(Laughter.)

MS. CASSESE: -- and have been enjoying -- had been enjoying The Nantucket for many years. And I'm curious how many people who are here have actually been to Crockett?

(Hands raised.)

MS. CASSESE: Okay. So a few of you have. And I'm hoping that all of you who are participating in the future of Crockett would definitely visit. I think that you will find that it is what many people have called a -- an undeveloped pearl in an oyster shell. But I think beyond that is I do want to reinforce that the Crockett community is directly connected to the waterfront.
Possibly not based upon land lines and geography, but emotionally, we are very connected to the waterfront. And to have a section that is virtually at the beginning and the entrance of the town to be in such disrepair could be absolutely devastating to the future of Crockett and to the people who live there.

We have about a population of about 3,300. And I can tell you that about 15 percent of our population are volunteers. And just about everything that you see that has been done within Crockett, since we are incorporated, has been done by a volunteer fundraising, has been volunteer -- has been done by many of the volunteer organizations. And these are significant projects, if you come into Crockett, that you will see.

So I would invite everyone to come. And since we only have The Dead Fish restaurant, you can certainly have lunch at The Dead Fish. But I think also that even though The Dead Fish appears to be outside of Crockett, it is a very, very important part of Crockett. And I think that if that waterfront area, which can be seen directly from The Dead Fish when you are enjoying your meal, it would also be very important to their business. I don't know if anyone has connected in regards to cooperation with the owners of The Dead Fish, but I think that would be very important.
So what the community of Crockett is asking is to possibly open up the bidding process of the cleanup to public bidding, once we have the guidelines. I think we might find that it can be done less expensive than $3 million.

I'm also quite surprised that for 40 years just little, by little, by little, we have seen the waterfront areas and the extensions into the water collapse. And really wondering why the leaseholder was not keeping an eye on the maintenance. I mean, there's -- over 40 years of maintenance that has been absolutely ignored. And so I appreciate that the State Lands Commission is taking a look at this now and hopefully any help that you could give us.

And then just one last thing that I would also like to reinforce is that any meetings that are to be held on this product -- this project, please hold them in Crockett. We have a beautiful community center that we can do this. And there's a lot of transportation issues with many of the members of the community. So holding it in town would be extremely useful.

Again, thank you very much for your interest and your time. And we look forward of you working directly with the community on everything.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: So Ms. Lucchesi, you may have some comments to make after these presentations, but I just want to thank everyone for coming down. It really helps to put context around the work of the Commission. And, Ms. Lucchesi, did you have any kind of final thoughts about this site, the restaurant, the community.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes, of course. Of course. I also want to thank the community representatives that are here today, as well as representatives from various elements of the community, in terms of the governance and the local government for taking the time to express their perspective and their desires to the Commission directly.

I want to emphasize that we hear the community loud and clear, in terms of the treasure that this area is to the larger community, both the community of Crockett as well as the larger community of Contra Costa. As staff, many of us have visited Crockett, both when The Nantucket was operating, had lots of lunches there over the many decades. In fact, one of our field offices here is in Hercules. And so we are -- we are connected with this community as well from that perspective.

We don't go into tearing down buildings and cleaning up sites lightly, especially when the potential for revitalization and enhancing the community connection
with the waterfront has so much potential. And so that is a huge priority of ours.

And again, I just want to close by saying we hear the community and their representatives loud and clear. And I am very grateful for Nicholas and his team, and the work that they've done on the ground to help connect with the community and ensure that we're hearing them and their perspectives as accurately as possible.

So we'll continue to work with them. I think a town hall is a great idea to solicit more input, and feedback, and ideas. And we'll continue to keep the Commission updated.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Great. Thank you very much.

All right. Moving forward on to Item 80. Item 80 is to consider an application for a general lease of filled and unfilled sovereign land located in the historic bed of the San Francisco Bay at 410 Airport Boulevard in Burlingame.

May we have the presentation, please
(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: I am presenting on Item 80. This item concerns a vacant State-owned property at 410 Airport Boulevard located in the City of Burlingame.
and adjacent to the San Francisco Bay.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Development of this site has been a topic of interest to the Commission, and the City of Burlingame, and Burlingame residents for years, and a number of uses have been proposed for the site.

At the Commission's October 18th, 2018 meeting, staff report -- reported results of a Public Trust Needs Assessment. And the Commission authorized the Executive Officer to issue a request for project proposal, or RFP, for the development, operation, and maintenance of the vacant parcel, to evaluate and rank the proposals, and to negotiate a lease with the highest scoring respondent.

This staff report and presentation is to report on the outcome of the RFP process and staff's recommendation for a proposed short-term lease.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: A request for project proposal was posted to the Commission's website and emailed to over 200 contacts on May 22nd, 2019 with a due date for all submittals of July 26th.

Three proposals were submitted by the due date from EKN Development Group, VillaSport, and the SPHERE Institute. The proposals were evaluated by an advisory
panel made up of eight Commission staff. The initial screening of each proposal was completed to make a determination of responsiveness, including proposal completeness, compliance with format requirements, and with the Common Law Public Trust Doctrine.

The initial screening did not involve scoring, but was a pass/fail determination. Two of the three proposals, EKN Development Group and VillaSport, did not pass the initial screening and were not eligible for further consideration and were rejected.

In the interest of transparency, and to inform the Commission, I will review what the two rejected proposals were for and why they were rejected. The proposal from EKN Development Group was to build a 550 room hotel with a public nature preserve and park. The advisory panel deemed the submittal incomplete and non-responsive, as the submittal did not include a response to one of the questions on capital investment and financial strength.

Specifically, the submittal did not provide information detailing the source amount, timing, and use of capital investment and other funds for the project, nor did the application provide evidence of funds or details on the applicant's ability to fund the project. There was also no discussion of any grants or loans the EKN
Development Group may need to obtain for the project. Therefore, Commission staff was unable to determine how EKN Development Group would finance the proposal.

A letter was sent to EKN Development Group on August 27th notifying them of the proposal failing the initial screening process. Thereafter, on September 4th, EKN Development Group sent a letter of protest and provided additional information that was not part of the original proposal requesting reconsideration of the rejection.

On September 12th, staff sent a letter advising pursuant to the submittal requirements of the RFP that after a proposal had been submitted, no modifications or additions to the proposal were allowed. Thus, staff confirmed the determination that the original proposal had failed the initial screening process for being incomplete and was correctly deemed non-responsive.

---o0o---

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: The proposal from VillaSport was for a peninsula regional aquatic and recreational park. The proposal included development of a 91,000 square foot indoor recreational facility with indoor pools, outdoor pools, cafes, exercise studios for group exercise classes, basketball court, relaxation lounges, saunas, steam rooms, a day spa, and an indoor and
outdoor kids play area.

The remaining site would be for multi-use spaces, encouraging a wide variety of year-round recreation, fitness, and water sport activities, including a boathouse for rental of personal watercraft, such as kayaks, and a plaza adjacent to the boathouse for food truck events.

The proposal stated the indoor recreational facility would be a necessary component of the overall proposal, because it would provide the revenue stream to support the operations and management of the rest of the site.

Staff sent a letter to VillaSport on August 27th notifying them of the rejection of their proposal in the initial screening process for being inconsistent with the Public Trust Doctrine. While most of the aquatic and recreational park portions of the project would be comprised of uses that are consistent with the Public Trust, because they are water related recreational uses or provide access to the bay, the primary use of this -- of the property appeared to be for the indoor recreational facility, which is similar to private membership-based gyms.

Private usage-based gyms are typically not consistent with the Public Trust, because they serve the local community through memberships; provide a local
rather than statewide benefit; and are not water dependent.

On September 5th, VillaSport sent a letter protesting staff's determination stating the proposed project would be open to the entire public, and even the indoor recreational areas would be open to all on a pay-per-use basis providing many layers of public benefits to regional and statewide visitors. And that the indoor recreational facility would create a sustainable funding source for enhancement of the subject property with water and bay trail access, and ensure maintenance throughout the life of the project.

On September 12th, Commission staff sent a letter to VillaSport that the addition -- that we conducted the additional review and Commission staff's determination that the proposal is inconsistent with the Public Trust Doctrine remained unchanged.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: The third application making it through the screening process was from SPHERE Institute or SPHERE. Their proposal is to convert the subject property into a natural park with native habitat and new tidal marsh. A reconfigured bay trail, secondary trails, three large lawns, family picnic areas, bay access for kitesurfing, windsurfing, kayaks and
fishing, and a new park building with restrooms and possibly a vendor, such as for kayak rentals or a cafe, and an educational space.

The proposed park, including parking, would act as a demonstration project for a nature-based approach to sea level rise adaptation. The existing deteriorating seawall would be breached to introduce tidal action to create new tidal wetlands and transition zone habitat with an interpretive program providing education around sea level rise and the bays edge.

As mentioned, SPHERE passed the initial screening and its proposal was scored by the advisory panel.

On September 11th --

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Take your time. It's that season.

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: On September 11th, the advisory panel conducted an oral interview with SPHERE. Thereafter, a letter was sent to SPHERE notifying them they were the highest ranked scoring applicant, and as a result were awarded the opportunity.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: It is the opportunity for SPHERE to develop the site that is before the Commission today and not their long-term natural park. The Commission should generally consider the proposed
future lease when considering the short-term lease, but the Commission is not weighing approval of or committing to a long-term lease for park development and operation today.

The long-term proposal has not been analyzed under the California Environmental Quality Act, also referred to as CEQA, or by staff in sufficient detail. This proposed short-term lease under consideration today would give SPHERE the site control they need to conduct initial studies and complete their site analysis.

A short-term lease will allow SPHERE to begin applying for other permits and ensure their proposal can be permitted. Once SPHERE has completed their due diligence and their long-term proposal has undergone full analysis by staff, including the appropriate level of CEQA review, a long-term lease proposal will be brought before the Commission for its consideration.

While a short-term lease will allow SPHERE to continue to pursue their proposal, the lease will require them to maintain the site and improve overall safety for public access.

The proposed short-term lease would require SPHERE to provide improved public access through the site and continue to allow the public to park in the gravel parking lot free of charge. Provide -- private security
patrol and monitoring after sunset is anticipated to maintain safety and prevent chronic dumping of debris. SPHERE would become responsible for the ongoing vegetation management required to reduce fire risks, maintain clear access to fire hydrants and maintain access, appearance, and safety of the bay trail.

--o0o--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGER LAVOIE: Staff recommends the Commission authorize issuance of a general lease, other, to SPHERE for a term of three years for maintenance of public access and the site as a whole, including the installation of any security or safety improvements reasonable necessary to secure the property and minimize risk to the general public; removal of trash and access to the site to conduct all required studies needed for a detailed design, preparation of a CEQA document, and regulatory permit applications required to apply for a long-term lease in the future.

I am here to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much for that presentation, Nick. Rest your voice. There may be some questions.

But first, any comments or questions from Commissioners?

All right. Well, that was terrific. We've been
hearing about and talking about this site for quite some time. So why don't we move forward to comments from the public. I have a few people here signed up. Greg Boro, then Helen Wolter, then Brandon Dawson.

If anyone else would like to speak on Item 20[SIC], please submit a speaker slip. Thank you.

MR. BORO: Good day. I'm may need your water.

(Laughter.)

MR. BORO: No, I'm good. Thank you. Good day. Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners, and staff for allowing me the opportunity to speak today and to represent the SPHERE Institute.

We have a great opportunity to enhance the Burlingame bayfront. With our headquarters located directly adjacent to this property, we have an intimate relationship with the land and really see the potential for it. In fact, our staff has repeatedly participated in bayfront cleanup efforts on the adjacent parcel also controlled by State Lands, so we can't wait to deploy similar efforts to this one.

Our two and a half year path leading to today has been aided by advocacy groups, as represented by letters of support from, amongst others, the San Mateo Labor
Council, San Mateo Building Trades Council, Housing For
All Burlingame, Nuestra Casa, Committee for Green
Foothills, the Sierra Club, and others.

If our short-term lease is approved, we will
continue stakeholder engagement to complete the vision for
Burlingame shoreline park. SPHERE is excited to lead this
effort. We have begun to lay the groundwork with the San
Mateo Resource Conservation district, Resource LEGACY
fund, Peninsula Open Space Trust, and others to identify
funding for the construction phase. With the lease in
hand, we look forward to securing additional private
funding partners for the planning and maintenance
stages -- phases.

In an area with such dense development, we are
committed to providing quality open space along the bay
and improving access to the water. We know this is the
right thing to do. Our grant management experience, or
shall I say expertise, led us to take this action. Our
goal remains to provide the greatest benefit to the
greatest number of users.

I'll remain available to answer questions.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Helen Wolter, please.

MS. WOLTER: Good morning, Chair Kounalakis and
Commissioners. Thank you for your careful consideration
of possible uses for the property at 410 Airport Boulevard. Special thanks also to the staff for their thorough and conscientious vetting of best uses of public land under the Public Trust on the San Francisco Bay.

My name is Helen Wolter. I'm with the Committee for Green Foothills. The Committee for Green Foothills is a regional organization that advocates for the protection of open space, farmlands, and natural resources in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties. Our organization recognizes the importance of keeping the San Francisco Bay accessible to all, as mandated under the California Constitution, which states, "Access to the navigable waters of the State shall always be attainable for the people".

On behalf of our members, we support the staff recommendation for all open space at 410 Airport Boulevard, as staff believes the proposed lease is consistent with the Common Law Public Trust Doctrine and is in the best interest of the State.

We strongly support SPHERE's proposal that would enable the creation of a new natural living levee that would include transition zone native habitats. This living levee would provide greater resilience in the face of sea level rise, restore habitat for multiple types of wildlife, and create the basis for an improved and
attractive segment of the Bay trail and for the recreation opportunities.

The proposed shoreline park best complies with the Public Trust Doctrine as it includes public -- includes improved public access to the water, shoreline restoration, and recreational opportunities along the Bay. Furthermore, we believe that such improvements will offer environmental justice opportunities by increasing access for all.

Restoration of shoreline habitat on this 9.4 acre parcel could be a signature project for Burlingame and the State Lands Commission, as 90 percent of the Bay's historic wetlands have been lost to development. This is a remarkable opportunity to reclaim shoreline.

We do hope that the Commission recognizes this chance to create a long-term difference along the bay that counters development pressures. For an area with only one percent of open space left, there is not enough quality natural open space in this part of the Bay waterfront.

I am also submitting 2,065 signatures of people that support all open space at the site. The petition reads, "I support all nine acres of Burlingame Shoreline Park as a nature park that would improve public access to the Bay, restore wildlife habitat, and create a more flood resilient shoreline."
These are -- we submitted this earlier to Burlingame and we had less at that point. So we've been getting more signatures, but to give you a sense of what they all look like in one place.

We started gathering signatures in the late summer. When I was gathering signatures when I mentioned to people the possibility of another hotel, I was repeatedly told I don't want another hotel. We have enough. Having been an organizer for 20 plus years, I've never been on a project with the breadth and depth of support. Labor, housing, environmental, recreation, and EJ all support this project.

Respectfully, we request your vote of support of the staff recommendation to preserve and enhance the greenspace, so that the site is enjoyed for generations to come.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much.

The final speaker, Brandon Dawson, unless there is anyone else who would like to speak on Calendar Item 80, in which case please submit a speaker notice. Thank you.

Hello.

MR. DAWSON: Hello. Good morning, Madam Chair and members. My name is Brandon Dawson on behalf of Sierra Club California and our 500,000 members, and
supporters across the state of California.

We support the proposal to lease the Burlingame shoreline property and the development of a park. In our view, it will provide multiple public benefits, such as providing needed open space in an area that has a disadvantaged community very close. And so it will allow access to these members of this community, where otherwise they'd have to travel far distances to likely see such a natural open space.

It will also provide outdoor nature, education opportunity, and preserve habitat for fish and wildlife in a time when we know climate change is greatly affecting our natural habitats and our wildlife in the state of California. And it will also provide an opportunity to establish a natural barrier to sea level rise and storm surge in a way that would allow the land to be held in Public Trust and to model responsible solutions to a critical statewide issue.

We believe the proposal is the right thing to do and we strongly urge your vote, yes, on this measure.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. Thank you. I have no more speaker slips.

Do we have a motion?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I move approval.
COMMISSIONER YEE: Second.


EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I would like to just make a couple of comments, if that's okay with the Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Please, yes.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So in this -- the entire evolution of this property, the Commission embarked on an effort that was unprecedented from the Commission's perspective, in terms of understanding that there were a lot of different interests in the development of this property. And the Commission directed staff, in response to those many interests, to go out into the community of Burlingame and the greater region to really obtain what their perspectives, what their thoughts were, ideas, their values, and their needs for their waterfront.

We did that through a robust public engagement process that resulted in a Public Trust needs assessment. From there, the Commission directed us to go through a very public RFP process to solicit very specific proposals.

The action that the Commission just took today is the next step in that very public process. But with that said, this is a short-term lease. And with the
information that's gained by the new lessee, through the next three years, we will develop both the CEQA document and start negotiating a future longer term use of the property that will come back to the Commission for your full discretionary review.

So I just want to kind of put this all in perspective that there is still a very robust effort that both the short-term lessee must go through and that the Commission still retains full discretion in terms of the ultimate long-term use of the site.

But I wanted to put that in the context of the overall unprecedented nature of how the Commission has pivoted as a land manager mostly reactive and been proactive in soliciting the public's desires, needs, and value for this site.

So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you very much, Ms. Lucchesi. And what is the next order of business?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Let me just check my notes. We are -- unless the Commissioners want to make any comments more generally, we can move right into public comment.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Okay. I have several speakers who've signed up for public comment. First, Jennifer Savage, and then I have Alison Madden, and then
Buckley Stone. And if anyone else would like to speak during public comment, please fill out a speaker's slip and submit it.

Thank you.

MS. SAVAGE: Hi. Jennifer Savage, Surfrider Foundation again. With our tens of thousands of supporters in California and our hundreds of thousands of supporters throughout the nation, Surfrider represents a broad constituency concerned with the protection of our state's ocean, beaches, and waves.

I should know better. All right.

We have been engaged in the process of exploring the potential for offshore wind energy off the California coast and elsewhere for years. So I came today specifically to thank you for postponing the Ideol item at the last meeting, not because it was inherently good or bad, but we just wanted more time to understand what it meant. And so I want to thank you for that, and also thank your staff for all the outreach that has happened since then. It's been really heartening to have the opportunity to hear more about the specific project, as well as contribute to the larger discussion around California's renewable energy needs. We look forward to continued engagement on that.

And then after hearing Executive Officer
Lucchesi's report, I was just reminded of how from ensuring better coordination and communication with the Coastal Commission around the impacts of sea level rise that are to come, to the cleanup and decommissioning related to offshore oil drilling, that your staff's work and the Commission's direction aligns so often with Surfrider's commitment to public access and resource protection.

And so on behalf of the thousands of recreational coastal users and people who love the California coast and ocean, I wanted to commend your staff and say thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Well, thank you for that. And thank you also for Surfrider's commitment to engaging and cleaning up the Tijuana River Valley, because it has been very substantial and important.

All right, next we have Alison Madden, and then Buckley Stone.

MS. MADDEN: Good day, Lieutenant Governor and Commissioners. If I could, for just a second, I didn't know that that was going to be on the agenda and I didn't have time to run back and do a speaker's card, but I wanted to say that I just went through the Community Advocate Leadership Academy with Green Foothills and very much support their position on this project.

And I also want to say I really think it's great
that you pivoted, because I remember reading in the paper one of the Burlingame city council members, and it was printed in our San Mateo County Daily Journal, said there's this really weird agency that's telling us we need a hotel and that a public park is not a statewide benefit.

And I do think that you really pivoted from analysis that has been communicated in the past. And as the Chair of San Francisco Bay Marinas For All, we very much support the use that was the one that was not ruled out in the RFP process.

And specifically, we also support Surfrider. I just met their co-founder in a Green New Deal strategy meeting last week. And his nickname is Bird Legs. And he, you know, gave us a lot of color on the start of the Surfriders.

I wanted to say with respect to the reason that I'm here today is obviously on Docktown, you know, we've come here and we've spoken several times before. And we just want to keep the issue on your radar and in front of you.

I want to introduce into the public record two different communications. One is to the Palo Alto Daily Post that is likely to be printed as an op-ed. And it's a response to Dave Price, who is the editor and publisher. And he wrote a article as community benefits as bribes.
And it's something that's been affecting a lot of the developments on the peninsula.

And then I transmitted it to one of our local progressive politicians who's running for senator, and that's Josh Becker, and we also have Sally Lieber. So the Democratic party there has endorsed both Josh and Sally, and they're both progressives. And they'll be running against one of our Redwood City Council Members Shelly Masur, who's always -- also running for Senate.

And I just don't feel that any other city on the peninsula would have made people homeless and under-housed in the way that Redwood City did. And I'm not going to read it verbatim, but I want to say that we're still there, for 14 households at Docktown. We're desiring to have the ten percent safety and security allowance that the BCDC permits. And again you sit on the Board. Literally, they issue permits for that.

Right close to this open space is a Millbrae marina that has 50 liveaboards. In Redwood City, there's multiple liveboard marinas. And so that's the BCDC whose land is also characterized underlying as Public Trust.

And so we're under SLC and not BCDC, but BCDC also always safety and security residential liveaboards. There may be some dialogue about whether that's very few, or ten percent, or more than ten percent, or less, but it
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Can I just ask you how much more time you'd like to request?

MS. MADDEN: Sure. Not much, a minute or two just because I spoke on the --

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Two more minutes on the o'clock.

Go ahead.

MS. MADDEN: Thank you. I appreciate that. So I say to Josh that we have UDs in front of the Supreme Court still and my charter lawsuit is in front of the First District Court of Appeals. And this is unfortunately just getting started in a legal sense. The upshot is that in a time of climate impact, and sea level rise, and an affordable housing crisis, why would Redwood City want to make people homeless?

Not only that, they're forcing people out for peanuts and becoming houseless and underhoused, a real tragedy and absolutely avoidable. We're not opposed to the upland development and support it, in fact. It's Strata, and Michael Cohen whom I like personally and went to Hastings at the same time. Actually, different years, but same law school.

So I testified for the upland development. They're only having half the density they could have had,
beautiful landscaping and they're cleaning a toxic site. But they had to promise to build a city road to get it. And I don't think that's right.

I don't care about Blomquist extension but funding soccer fields and larding -- and build roads and the like should not be privatized. Lording money over non-profits desperate for funding such as Magic Playground and Boys and Girls Clubs is just plain manipulative.

So that's some of what we're facing, what's driving these developments. And the other one is an email to the council and port showing how the course of conduct that they engaged in is putting people at real risk of harm. And there are people breaking into the empty floating homes, kicking them in, somebody walking around with an axe last week. A group of three guys walking off with stuff that they stole from one of the barges. It's very dangerous.

And also when you thin out a marina like that, the wind and the tide actually made one of the big floating homes break loose and almost hit another person's boat. So it's really reckless and it didn't have to happen. We don't think that you intended in issuing any kind of a guidance or opinion that everything happen on a one or two year time frame.

And by the way, it was $28 million they've spent.
They're giving these away for a few thousand dollars. It's an absolute travesty and we'd appreciate some support.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you.

Buckley Stone, I believe is also here to speak on this same issue.

MR. STONE: Governor Kounalakis, Commissioner Yee and Miller, and also Jennifer Lucchesi we've met before. My name is Buckley Stone and I am president of a nonprofit organization called San Francisco Bay Marinas For All. I lived in Redwood city for 20 years. And, at that time, there was Pete's Harbor, there was Peninsula Marina, and there was Docktown. Those marinas were not under the BCDC kind of jurisdiction. And it was up to the harbor masters to decide who could liveaboard and who couldn't. And it met the Redwood City charter for affordable or low-income housing, the 15 percent required easily.

They tore out that Peninsula Marina ten years ago, 300 people lost their homes. They tore out Pete's Harbor six years ago, 200 liveaboards lost their homes, had to move away. Redwood City is a charter city and they decided that kind of condos, and apartments, and high rental rates were the thing to do.

I am Coast Guard Certified on boating safety skills, Group 46, and certified for boat handling and...
navigation skills. I have been a liveaboard for 26 years. I am a disabled veteran. And I wouldn't be able to live in the Bay Area at all if it weren't for the fact that I could liveaboard.

I want you to also know that someone San Francisco Bay Marinas For All does support SPHERE, and -- but their wetlands -- Burlingame waterfront is just a great, great project that should be really considered. Three years is not going to be enough for the natural habitat to do it's thing, but it's headed in the right direction.

I hope that you realize that the last livable place in Redwood city, which was a international port wouldn't be Redwood City if it weren't for the redwood trees that they floated down from the hills, put on boats like this Alma, and turned it into a shipping port have now erased almost all the marinas. It's like there's no boating in this place where it was -- boating created it.

We need to hang on to that heritage. And we're losing it everywhere. I had to move all the way over to Alameda to find a marina that I could liveaboard, which was sad, because I was going to the Palo Alto VA, a great place next to Stanford. I had a lot of studies done on me.

Now, I'm in the Oakland catchment and the closest
semi-hospital there I have is right here in Martinez. And it's made it very difficult to handle my health issues. But that's okay, it's all worth it. I live in gratitude.

Docktown needs to be addressed in a fair way. It really does. It's the last bit of heritage of a marine-created environment and city. And I would thank -- thank you all. Just don't -- don't sweep it under the rug.

Thank you very much for your time and it's a pleasure to see you again and you'll be hearing from us.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thanks so much or coming down.

The last speaker, unless anyone else would like to speak, submit a speaker slip, is Destinee Dixon.

MS. DIXON: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is Destinee Dixon. Sorry I'm little a bit late. So I know I missed it on the calendar, but I'm actually here on behalf of ourself. We are the applicants for the Crockett Marina and The Nantucket.

A little bit of background, we purchased the light house in Glen Cove Marina about 12 years ago. So we have completely remodeled and changed that reputation. And in regards of what's going on in Crockett, it's obviously unfortunately kind of a similar situation at the moment.
So over the last ten months, we've been in communication with State Lands Commission, as well as Union Pacific and the railroad. At the moment, we are passing back plans and forth with the railroad. They're saying that there is not an issue with us getting a lease. The issue is the communication with them and Public Utilities Commission of the changes and the upgrades that need to be done in regards to safety down there.

We are at the mercy of their time. And we are definitely still in the process. We're pretty adamant and definitely doing everything possible to move forward. And we're hoping that with everything going on in Crockett, we can get on the December calendar in the hopes of being able to get a future lease down there.

So, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: Thank you. Thanks very much for coming down. So we will now adjourn into closed session.

Thank you.

(Off record: 11:53 a.m.)

(Thereupon the meeting recessed into closed session.)

(Thereupon the meeting reconvened open session.)

(On record: 12:34 p.m.)
CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: I call this meeting back to order.

Ms. Lucchesi, is there anything to report from closed session?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No.

CHAIRPERSON KOUNALAKIS: That concludes our meeting. We are adjourned.

(Thereupon the California State Lands Commission meeting adjourned at 12:34 p.m.)
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